ORIGINAL 205

96-005-0/**7** Verde River

ASSESSMENT OF THE VERDE RIVER'S NAVIGABILITY PRIOR TO AND ON THE DATE OF ARIZONA'S STATEHOOD, FEBRUARY 14, 1912

by

Douglas R. Littlefield, Ph.D. Littlefield Research Associates 6207 Snake Road Oakland, California 94611 510-339-1017

October 3, 1997

ASSESSMENT OF THE VERDE RIVER'S NAVIGABILITY PRIOR TO AND ON THE DATE OF ARIZONA'S STATEHOOD, FEBRUARY 14, 1912

рÀ

Douglas R. Littlefield, Ph.D. Littlefield Research Associates 6207 Snake Road Oakland, California 94611 510-339-1017

October 3, 1997

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	LIST OF MAPS AND ILLUSTRATIONS			iv
PURPOSE AND METHODOLOGY ORGANIZATION OF REMAINDER OF REPORT 9 CHAPTER 1: U.S. GOVERNMENT HISTORICAL RECORDS FEDERAL SURVEYS SURVEYORS' MANUALS	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	• •		vi
### PURPOSE AND METHODOLOGY	INTRODUCTION			1
CHAPTER 1: U.S. GOVERNMENT HISTORICAL RECORDS FEDERAL SURVEYS	PURPOSE AND METHODOLOGY			. 2
CHAPTER 1: U.S. GOVERNMENT HISTORICAL RECORDS FEDERAL SURVEYORS' MANUALS	ORGANIZATION OF REMAINDER OF REPORT			. 9
SURVEYORS' MANUALS				
SURVEYORS' MANUALS	CHAPTER 1: U.S. GOVERNMENT HISTORICAL RECORDS F	EDEF	RAL	
The 1851 Manual	SURVEYS			
The 1855 Manual	SURVEYORS' MANUALS			
The 1864 Instructions	The 1851 Manual			
The 1881 Instructions	The 1855 Manual			. 17
The 1890 Manual	The 1864 Instructions			. 18
The 1890 Manual The 1894 Manual The 1894 Manual The 1902 Manual The 1902 Manual The 1902 Manual SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS REGARDING SURVEYORS' MANUALS AND MEANDERING U.S. GOVERNMENT ORIGINAL SURVEYS OF LANDS ALONG THE VERDE RIVER Teast	The 1881 Instructions			. 19
The 1894 Manual				
The 1902 Manual				
SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS REGARDING SURVEYORS' MANUALS AND MEANDERING				
MEANDERING U.S. GOVERNMENT ORIGINAL SURVEYS OF LANDS ALONG THE VERDE RIVER 1911 Subdivision Survey of Township 2 North, Range 7 East				
U.S. GOVERNMENT ORIGINAL SURVEYS OF LANDS ALONG THE VERDE RIVER				
VERDE RIVER 1911 Subdivision Survey of Township 2 North, Range 7 East				
1911 Subdivision Survey of Township 2 North, Range 7 East				26
7 East				,
1902 Subdivision Survey and 1911 Subdivision Resurvey of Township 3 and 4 North, Range 7 East				. 28
Resurvey of Township 3 and 4 North, Range 7 East				
East	Posumiou of Township 3 and 4 North P	ange.	- 7	
1873 Subdivision Survey of Township 13 North, Range 5 East; 1877 Subdivision Surveys of Townships 14-15 North, Range 4 East; and 1877 Subdivision Surveys of Townships 15-16 North, Range 3 East				20
5 East; 1877 Subdivision Surveys of Townships 14-15 North, Range 4 East; and 1877 Subdivision Surveys of Townships 15-16 North, Range 3 East	1972 Cubdiction Current of Morrath 12 North	Dat		. 23
14-15 North, Range 4 East; and 1877 Subdivision Surveys of Townships 15-16 North, Range 3 East				
Subdivision Surveys of Townships 15-16 North, Range 3 East				
Range 3 East	14-15 North, Range 4 East; and	L.	0// LL	
1892-1893 Subdivision Survey of Townships 13-14 North, Range 5 East, and Township 14 North, Range 4 East				
North, Range 5 East, and Township 14 North, Range 4 East				
Range 4 East				
1907 and 1908 Subdivision Survey of Township 17 North, Range 3 East				
North, Range 3 East				
1902 Subdivision Survey of Township 18 North, Range 2 East				
2 East	North, Range 3 East		•	
1902 Subdivision Survey of Township 18 North, Range 1 East	1902 Subdivision Survey of Township 18 North	Ra	nge	
1 East	2 East	• •	٠	
1883 Subdivision Surveys and 1909 Resurveys of Townships 17 and 18 North, Range 1 West	1902 Subdivision Survey of Township 18 North	, Ra	nge	
Townships 17 and 18 North, Range 1 West 42 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS REGARDING U.S. GOVERNMENT SURVEYS ALONG THE VERDE RIVER			•	
Townships 17 and 18 North, Range 1 West 42 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS REGARDING U.S. GOVERNMENT SURVEYS ALONG THE VERDE RIVER	1883 Subdivision Surveys and 1909 Resurv	eys	of	
SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS REGARDING U.S. GOVERNMENT SURVEYS ALONG THE VERDE RIVER	Townships 17 and 18 North, Range 1 West	: .	•	. 42
CHAPTER 2: LAND PATENTS AND STATE GRANTS	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS REGARDING U.S. GOVE	RNM	ENT	
CHAPTER 2: LAND PATENTS AND STATE GRANTS				
HISTORICAL MAPS OF THE VERDE RIVER REGION 500 BACKGROUND INFORMATION ON HOMESTEADING AND FEDERAL LAND				
HISTORICAL MAPS OF THE VERDE RIVER REGION 500 BACKGROUND INFORMATION ON HOMESTEADING AND FEDERAL LAND	CHAPTER 2: LAND PATENTS AND STATE GRANTS		٠	. 56
BACKGROUND INFORMATION ON HOMESTEADING AND FEDERAL LAND				
		AL I	AND	
	PATENTS			. 57

Significance of Patents to Verde River's Potential	
Navigability	60
Navigability	
STUDY AREA	62
Federal Patents on the Verde River in Township 13 North, Range 5 East	62
Federal Patents on the Verde River in Township 14	0 &
North, Range 4 East	64
Federal Patents on the Verde River in Township 15	
North, Range 4 East	65
Federal Patents on the Verde River in Township 15	
North, Range 3 East	67
Federal Patents on the Verde River in Township 16	
North, Range 3 East	68
North, Range 3 East	70
Federal Patents on the Verde River in Township 18	70
North Range 1 Fact	72
North, Range 1 East	, 2
	73
North, Range 1 West	
VERDE RIVER'S NAVIGABILITY	73
Desert Land Entries Overlying the Verde River in	
Township 15 North, Range 4 East	75
FEDERAL LAND GRANTS TO ARIZONA	78
STATE DISPOSITION OF FEDERALLY-GRANTED LANDS	79
State Patents in Township 15 North, Range 3 East .	80
CONCLUSIONS REGARDING FEDERAL LAND PATENTS TO PRIVATE	
PARTIES, GRANTS TO THE STATE OF ARIZONA, AND STATE	0.7
PATENTS	81
CHAPTER 3: U.S. GOVERNMENT HISTORICAL RECORDS REPORTS AND	
OTHER DOCUMENTS	88
RECORDS OF THE U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY	89
The Wheeler Survey	89
U.S. Geological Survey Annual Reports	90
U.S. Geological Survey Water Supply Papers	93
Unpublished Records of the U.S. Geological Survey .	96
RECORDS OF THE U.S. RECLAMATION SERVICE	99
U.S. Reclamation Service Annual Reports	100
Unpublished Records of the U.S. Reclamation	
	101
	105
SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS REGARDING U.S. GOVERNMENT	
REPORTS AND OTHER DOCUMENTS	108
CHAPTER 4: MISCELLANEOUS DOCUMENTS AND PHOTOGRAPHS	109
	109
	112
	113
SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS REGARDING MISCELLANEOUS	
DOCUMENTS	116

CHAPTER 5: WESTERN WATERCRAFT AT THE TURN OF THE CENTURY	
NAVIGATION ON THE COLORADO RIVER	133
WESTERN WATERCRAFT IN GENERAL	137
SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS REGARDING WESTERN WATERCRAFT	139
GENERAL SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS REGARDING THE VERDE RIVER	140
ADDENDIV A INDUDITAND DECORDS ADDITION AND SANGERS	
APPENDIX A UNPUBLISHED RECORDS ARCHIVES AND AGENCIES .	144
ARIZONA STATE UNIVERSITY (ARIZONA HISTORICAL	
FOUNDATION)	144
ARIZONA STATE UNIVERSITY (LUHRS SPECIAL COLLECTIONS)	144
ARIZONA STATE ARCHIVES, PHOENIX	144
ARIZONA STATE LAND DEPARTMENT, PHOENIX	145
ARIZONA STATE LIBRARY, PHOENIX	145
CALIFORNIA STATE LIBRARY, SACRAMENTO	145
CAMP VERDE HISTORICAL SOCIETY, CAMP VERDE, ARIZONA	146
FORT VERDE HISTORICAL PARK, CAMP VERDE, ARIZONA	146
SALT RIVER PROJECT, PHOENIX	146
SALT RIVER PROJECT, PHOENIX	149
U.S. LIBRARY OF CONGRESS	155
U.S. NATIONAL ARCHIVES ROCKY MOUNTAIN REGION.	
DENVER	155
U.S. NATIONAL ARCHIVES, SOUTHWEST REGION, LAGUNA	
NIGUEL	159
U.S. NATIONAL ARCHIVES I, WASHINGTON, D.C	159
U.S. NATIONAL ARCHIVES II, COLLEGE PARK, MARYLAND	160
UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA	170
UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA	170
WATER RESOURCES CENTER ARCHIVES, BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA .	170
WILL REDUCKEED CHIER ARCHIVED, DERREDEI, CALIFORNIA .	1/0
APPENDTY R DURITSHED SOUDORS	171
APPENDIX B PUBLISHED SOURCES	173
ADDICTED AND COURD MADERALD DOCUMENTS	1/1
ARTICLES AND OTHER MATERIALS	1//
APPENDIX C FEDERAL AND STATE PATENTS	180
FEDERAL PATENTS	190
FEDERAL PATENTS	100
INTOONI DIMIN INTUITO	103
APPENDIX D VITA OF DOUGLAS R. LITTLEFIELD	190
APPENDIX E VITA OF JENNIFER HOLWEGER	194
TNDEY	106

LIST OF MAPS AND ILLUSTRATIONS

u.s.	General Land Office Survey Plat of Township 2 North,	
	Range 7 East (1913), U.S. Bureau of Land Management,	
		14
u.s.	General Land Office Survey Plat of Township 3 North,	
	Range 7 East (1903), U.S. Bureau of Land Management,	
		15
U.S.	General Land Office Survey Plat of Township 3 North,	
	Range 7 East (1913), U.S. Bureau of Land Management,	
		46
U.S.	General Land Office Survey Plat of Township 13 North,	
	Range 5 East (1873), U.S. Bureau of Land Management,	
		47
U.S.	General Land Office Survey Plat of Township 13 North,	
	Range 5 East (1895), U.S. Bureau of Land Management,	
		48
U.S.	General Land Office Survey Plat of Township 17 North,	
	Range 3 East (1910), U.S. Bureau of Land Management,	
		49
U.S.	General Land Office Survey Plat of Township 18 North,	
	Range 2 East (1903), U.S. Bureau of Land Management,	
		50
U.S.	General Land Office Survey Plat of Township 18 North,	
	Range 1 East (1904), U.S. Bureau of Land Management,	
		51
U.S.	General Land Office Survey Plat of Township 17 North,	
	Range 1 West (1884), U.S. Bureau of Land Management,	
		52
U.S.	General Land Office Survey Plat of Township 17 North,	
	Range 1 West (1910), U.S. Bureau of Land Management,	
		53
U.S.	General Land Office Survey Plat of Township 18 North,	
	Range 1 West (1884), U.S. Bureau of Land Management,	
		54
U.S.	General Land Office Survey Plat of Township 18 North,	
	Range 1 West (1910), U.S. Bureau of Land Management,	
		55
U.S.		82
		83
		84
Samp.	e Master Title Plat Used by U.S. Bureau of Land	
•	Management	85
Samp	e Page from Historical Index to Master Title Plat Used by	
•		86
Ariz		87
	graph of "Camp Verde Dam - Site No. 1," U.S. National	
		17
Phot	graph of "Camp Verde Dam - Site No. 2," U.S. National	
		18
Phot	graph of "Camp Verde Dam - Site No. 4," U.S. National	
	Archives, Record Group 115, Denver, CO	19
	, or a construction of the contraction of the contr	

* / /	Photograph of "Prehistoric Ruins at the Confluence of the Verde River and Oak Creek, 1880s," Fort Verde State Historical Park	120
	Photograph of "Indian Ruins Near the Confluence of the Verde River and Oak Creek, no date," Fort Verde State	101
	Photograph of "Ruins at the Confluence of Verde River and Oak	
	Creek, April, 1887," Fort Verde State Historical Park . Photograph of "The Cottonwood Ford at Fort Verde, 1886-1887,"	
	Fort Verde State Historical Park	123
	Historical Park	124
	Verde State Historical Park	125
	1914, "Arizona Historical Foundation	126
	1914, "Arizona Historical Foundation	127
	1914, " Arizona Historical Foundation	128
	Photograph of "United Verde Copper Co's Smelter Low Pressure Pump House, Clarkdale, AZ, Jan. 28, 1916," Jerome	
	Historical Society	129
	Clarkdale, AZ, Jan. 28, 1916," Jerome Historical Society	130
	Photograph of "Looking Down the Verde River from Back of Brick Plant, Clarkdale, AZ, Jan. 28, 1916," Jerome Historical	
	Society	131

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The purpose of this report is to assess the navigability of the Verde River between its confluence with the Salt River upstream to its headwaters on or before February 14, 1912 -- the date In many cases, the types of records Arizona became a state. consulted to create this report were identical to those used in Littlefield Research Associates' December 5, 1996, "Assessment of the Salt River's Navigability Prior to and on the Date of Arizona's Statehood, February 14, 1912." While the types of records may have been the same, the geographic focus of those records in relation to this report was on the Verde River. Because the types of records were identical, the discussion of the records' general significance is similar to that in the Salt River report (notably, for example, the discussion about the importance of federal surveying instructions that begins on page 10 below). final use and disposition of the two reports may be different, and therefore, Littlefield Research Associates decided to make each report stand on its own and include all relevant material, whether duplicated or not.

To make the evaluation of the Verde River's navigability in 1912, a wide array of published and unpublished documents and photographs were consulted (discussed in greater detail in the Introduction beginning on page 1 below and listed in the appendices beginning on page 144 below). This survey of hundreds of primary and secondary sources yielded a wide spectrum of historical views of the Verde River, from federal surveys and reports, land

settlement records created by the U.S. and Arizona governments, explorers' journals, diaries, early pioneer reminiscences, and many other records.

Taken as a whole, these records overwhelmingly illustrate that prior to and at the time of Arizona's statehood the Verde River was considered not navigable by virtually every contemporaneous observer. The historical record amply demonstrates that the Verde River was highly erratic, subject to flooding and major channel changes, blocked by obstacles (both natural and manmade), and diverted for irrigation needs. In short, the Verde River was not navigable on February 14, 1912.

INTRODUCTION

The answer to the question of who is the original owner of the bed of a stream or lake bed anywhere in the United States depends on what that waterway was like at the time the region became a state. Historically, this principle stems from the original thirteen American colonies' relationship with the Crown of England. Over centuries, common law had evolved in England establishing that the King owned the beds of commercially navigable waterways in order to protect their accessibility for his subjects. This royal power had evolved in order to prevent parties from building structures such as wharfs, docks, or mill dams that might interfere with commercial boat traffic. The beds of non-navigable waterways where transportation was not an issue, in turn, were owned by adjacent landowners. This principle was well established under English common law long before the American Revolution, and it therefore applied to the American colonies as well to royal subjects in England.

Following the American Revolution, the rights and duties of the Crown passed on to the newly independent states, thus making them the owners of the beds of commercially navigable streams and lakes within their boundaries by virtue of their new-found sovereignty. The United States Constitution subsequently mandated that all new states enter the Union on the same footing as the original thirteen. Therefore, as additional states joined they became the owners of the beds of waterways within their borders that were navigable at the time of their statehood.

In Arizona's case, this "same footing" doctrine means that if any stream or lake within the state was navigable on February 14, 1912 -- the date Arizona jointed the Union -- its bed was Arizona's sovereign property. If the stream was not navigable, ownership of the bed remained in the United States government's hands until lands adjacent to the body of water were patented or otherwise disposed of. At that time, the bed of the stream or lake to the middle became the property of the individual land owners on either side of the body of water. 1

PURPOSE AND METHODOLOGY: The purpose of this report is to examine what the Verde River was like at the time of Arizona's statehood and to determine whether the stream prior to or on that date was considered commercially navigable. The chronological time period covered extends from the pre-statehood era to the years shortly after statehood. The geographic range is from the Verde River's confluence with the Salt River upstream to the Verde's headwaters near Paulden, Arizona (about twenty-five miles north of Prescott).

A wide variety of published and unpublished sources were utilized in creating this study. The vast majority of these documents are primary rather than secondary sources to obtain the most accurate descriptions of the Verde River. To locate relevant sources, Littlefield Research Associates developed a preliminary list of terms for searching many local, state, and national

The fundamental U.S. Supreme Court case confirming this doctrine is <u>The Steamer Daniel Ball v. United States</u>, 77 U.S. 999 (1871).

archives. We also used the list to search published primary sources. The list was supplemented as research brought to light new topics related to the Verde River. Since individual archives have different means of listing their holdings, we adapted our list to accommodate specific locations. Some of the terms most commonly used throughout the research were Verde, Camp Verde, Fort Verde, McDowell, Apache, Jerome, Clarkdale, and Paradise Valley.

A lengthy list of Arizona and federal government agencies' names was also searched for records they may have generated regarding the Verde River. Agencies (and their predecessors) whose names were searched include the Arizona State Land Department, Arizona Attorney General's Office, Arizona Water Commissioner, Arizona State Planning Board, Arizona Secretary of State, U.S. Congress, U.S. Geological Survey, U.S. Bureau of Land Management, U.S. Bureau of Reclamation, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, U.S. Department of Agriculture (and its subagencies such as the Forest Service), U.S. Bureau of Indian Affairs, and the U.S. Army Adjutant General's Office, among others.

Research began at the Water Resources Center Archives at the University of California, Berkeley. Although located in California, this library is one of the premier depositories for manuscript collections and published government reports relating to water resources in the entire United States (particularly the American West). The Water Resources Center Archives contains manuscript collections of the papers of prominent civil engineers whose work dealt extensively with irrigation, flood control, and

hydroelectric power. Included are the papers of Elwood Mead (head of the U.S. Reclamation Service in the 1920s), James Dix Schuyler (a consulting engineering who was active in water resource development throughout the West in the late nineteenth century), and other participants helping to alleviate the water problems associated with the arid and semi-arid West.

The Water Resources Center Archives also holds many published government documents relating to water issues, including a complete set of published U.S. Geological Survey Water Supply Papers and Bulletins (many of which were relevant to the history of the Verde River Valley) as well as all of the U.S. Reclamation Service Annual Reports published around the time of Arizona statehood.

The Bancroft Library, also at Berkeley, is one of the most important depositories for unpublished primary source materials and rare secondary source records on the history of the American West. Collections at the Bancroft relating to the Verde were reviewed as well as published reports of nineteenth-century explorations of the area. Since many of the individuals who visited the region were there specifically to report on its potential in relation to agriculture and water resources, their reports are especially useful to ascertaining the historical nature of the Verde River.

The University of California, Berkeley, was also the site of research on boating around the time of statehood. Published reports of the Commissioner of Corporations were searched to determine the state of technology on shallow-draft watercraft prior to and in 1912. Also examined were records and newspaper accounts

about boating on the Colorado River. This stream was a catalyst for advances in boating technology because of its swift current, shallow water, and frequently changing channel. Information on watercraft on the Colorado are useful to understand river boating throughout the West -- including on the Verde -- around the turn of the century.

Berkeley is also a depository for all published federal government reports and studies, and since the university is one of the oldest in the West, its holdings are more complete than at many other universities in the region. Examined were a multitude of reports conducted by U.S. government agencies regarding Arizona in general and the Verde Valley more specifically. Most of these reports covered such topics as flood control, irrigation, and the utilization of various natural resources. These documents provided descriptions of the Verde at different points in time leading up to and shortly after Arizona's statehood. Some of the reports are specific to the Verde River, but much of the information found was contained in larger studies on Arizona. A computer search also was done of files compiled by Congressional Information Services (CIS) to find Congressional documents, hearings, and reports relevant to the Verde River.

In addition to the sources obtained at the University of California, Berkeley, documents held by the U.S. Bureau of Land Management in Phoenix were reviewed — records that are some of the most important concerning the Verde River around the time of statehood. The Bureau of Land Management holds the records of the

original U.S. General Land Office surveys of the American West, and the Bureau's office in Phoenix has those relevant to the area through which the Verde River flows. The original surveys were carried out to prepare the public domain for homesteading and to ascertain what resources lay in the public domain. The records of the surveys, many of which were done in the nineteenth century, include the original surveyors' plats and field notes. documents are highly detailed in relation to the characteristics of lands in the West, including along the Verde River. surveyors were required to "meander" all navigable bodies of water (follow the sinuosities of the banks) and to keep detailed notes of these meanders, survey documents are vital to understanding what the Verde was like at the time of survey. (See Chapter 1 beginning on page 10 for a more detailed discussion of how surveys and meanders were to be conducted.)

The Phoenix office of the U.S. Bureau of Land Management also provided copies of U.S. General Land Office Master Title Plats and Historical Indexes. These records were used to determine how the federal government disposed of the public lands in Arizona through which the Verde River flowed. From this material, any U.S. patent that either overlaid or bordered the Verde River was obtained. Federal patents are critical in determining how U.S. government officials (in addition to federal surveyors) considered public lands in Arizona. If federal officials had considered the Verde River to be navigable, they would not have issued patents for lands lying in the bed of the river. There is no indication, however, in

over 120 federal patents overlying the Verde River from its confluence with the Salt River to its headwaters that the U.S. government hesitated to grant title to the bed and the banks of the river to patent applicants. (See Chapter 2 beginning on page 56 for a more detailed discussion of the significance of federal patents.)

Federal patents and their supporting files, combined with historical maps obtained from the U.S. Geological Survey and U.S. General Land Office, were used to create Exhibits 1-6, which illustrate the location of all patents and federal land grants along the Verde River. (See maps folded inside front pocket of this report.)

Additional research at archives in the Phoenix area was carried out. This included contacting various local archives and the Arizona Historical Society to determine their respective holdings. Furthermore, the Arizona State Archives in Phoenix provided more rare state and territorial government documents and manuscript collections. These materials included the unpublished papers of agencies such as the Arizona State Land Department, the Arizona Water Commissioner, the Arizona State Planning Board, and the Arizona Secretary of State.

After reviewing the historical records of the Arizona State Land Department at the State Archives, research was also done at the agency's Phoenix office. The Arizona State Land Department provided copies of patents issued by Arizona in parcels that had been granted to the state by the federal government. Some of the

corresponding application files for the state patents were also obtained and reviewed. (See the folded map in back pocket of this report for the location of some of these state patents.)

The Salt River Project Archives in Tempe was also a critical location for research. The Project has an extensive archival collection and an excellent collection of historical photographs. These materials were searched thoroughly, and copies of particularly demonstrative photos have been reproduced in Chapter 4 of this report, which begins on page 109 below.

The documents and photographs found at the Salt River Project Archives were also useful as a lead-in to research at the U.S. National Archives in Washington, D.C. While at the National Archives, a wide variety of federal agency files, including those of the U.S. Bureau of Indian Affairs, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, the U.S. General Land Office, the Office of the U.S. Secretary of Interior, and the U.S. Geological Survey, were searched. These records contain unpublished paperwork substantiating and augmenting the conclusions gleaned published government documents.

Finally, in relation to other unpublished federal records, the Rocky Mountain branch of the National Archives in Denver, Colorado, was also visited to undertake a more thorough search of records of the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation. These records provided a rich source of information from an agency directly involved with water resource management around the time of Arizona's statehood -- an agency that had studied the Verde River extensively in preparation

for possibly constructing a major irrigation project utilizing the stream's flows.

organization of Remainder of Report: Based on this extensive research, it became evident that one of the most important sets of records dealing with the Verde River were the U.S. General Land Office original surveys and patent records. Therefore, the first two chapters of this report deal with the significance of those documents. Other government records (both published and unpublished) will be discussed in Chapter 3. Chapter 4 examines miscellaneous documents and historical photographs. Chapter 5 contains a discussion of boats typically used on western rivers around the turn of the century. The last section of the report contains a general summary and conclusions. This is followed by an index and appendices listing sources consulted.

To facilitate reference throughout the main body of the report, footnotes run continuously rather than starting from number one in each chapter.

CHAPTER 1: U.S. GOVERNMENT HISTORICAL RECORDS -- FEDERAL SURVEYS

One of the largest and most important groups of records created in relation to the Verde River prior to and around the time of Arizona's statehood in 1912 are those of the U.S. government, especially federal surveys done by the U.S General Land Office (today, the Bureau of Land Management). When the United States became the owner of the vast territory acquired from Mexico at the end of the Mexican-American War in 1848, federal officials were anxious to determine the characteristics and value of what the U.S. had gained. Moreover, they wanted to prepare the region for orderly occupation by American settlers to solidify control over the region. To ready the new lands for homesteading and to record those lands' characteristics, the federal government undertook formal surveys. Because those surveys were highly detailed, the original plats of the area near the Verde River and the related survey field notes contain a wealth of information about the nature of that stream.

SURVEYORS' MANUALS: Due to the need for accuracy and consistency in carrying out the federal surveys, the U.S. government issued a series of manuals during the nineteenth and early twentieth centuries designed to direct surveyors in their work. To grasp the significance of these manuals in relation to establishing whether bodies of water were deemed navigable or non-navigable, it is important to understand the books' provisions and how they changed over time.

The 1851 Manual: The 1851 version of Instructions to the Surveyor General of Oregon; Being a Manual for Field Operations governed how some of the earliest public land surveys were done in the American West. This manual had been adopted by the U.S. General Land Office to standardize survey work in California and Oregon, which were the most significant areas of western American settlement in the late 1840s. The manual was the first formal surveying handbook issued by the federal government to provide guidance for surveyors mapping the vast public domain acquired from Mexico and opened under treaty with Great Britain; previously, the U.S. government had issued directions to surveyors in the field on an individual basis or through Surveyors General assigned to specific territories.²

The <u>Instructions to the Surveyor General of Oregon</u> provided that public lands were to be subdivided into a series of eversmaller grids within grids to allow the precise location of individual tracts. This system would facilitate the disposal of the public domain in an orderly fashion and at the same time record the characteristics of that land in substantial detail. The largest grids were to be six miles square and would be created by

The <u>Instructions to the Surveyor General of Oregon</u> is reprinted in C. Albert White's <u>A History of the Rectangular Survey System</u> on pages 433-456. White's book was published by the U.S. government in 1983 as a review of all practices used by federal surveyors on public domain lands since the initial surveys of the Old Northwest (today, Ohio and other parts of the upper Midwest) were undertaken in the late 1700s. Aside from a detailed history of those procedures, White's book reprints many of the original surveying instructions. See C. Albert White, <u>A History of the Rectangular Survey System</u> (Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of the Interior, 1983).

the surveying of township and range lines. The directions in the Instructions to the Surveyor General of Oregon providing for the establishment of these large blocks derived from the same process that had been used in other earlier public land territories and states, and the size of the blocks was based on Thomas Jefferson's original estimate that each block, composed of many small farms, would be the proper size to support a small town at its center. Jefferson's ideas were first enacted into law in the Land Ordinance of 1785, and the first surveys under this legislation were done what is today the State of Ohio. The grid procedure (with various modifications) was used in most new territories added to the United States in the years that followed.

To establish township and range lines, a base line and meridian were chosen as starting lines within the state or territory to be surveyed. In Arizona, the initial base line and meridian intersected at a point on a hill just south of the junction of Salt and Gila rivers. That location had been chosen in 1865 by John A. Clark, Surveyor General of New Mexico Territory, to begin the Arizona surveys. The beginning marker had been originally established by the Mexican Boundary Commission in 1851 to create a point on the U.S.-Mexico border prior to the Gadsden Purchase of 1853, which created the present boundary between the United States and Mexico. Actual surveys did not begin in Arizona, however, until 1871. Using the Gila and Salt River Base and

³ C. Albert White, <u>A History of the Rectangular Survey System</u> (Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of the Interior, 1983), pp. 137, 147.

Meridian to start, township and range lines were run in Arizona by federal surveyors working their way gradually north and south to create township lines and east and west to establish ranges. 36 one-square-mile blocks that resulted were called townships (as distinct from township lines). They were numbered on the basis of how far north or south and east or west of the initial base and meridian they lay. For example, the first township to the north and east of the intersection of the Gila and Salt River Base and Meridian was identified as township 1 north, range 1 east. The township directly north of that was township 2 north, range 1 east, and the township to the east of that point was township 2 north, range 2 east. All townships to the south and west of the initial base and meridian were identified in a similar fashion. region of concern to this report -- the area along the Verde River from its confluence with the Salt River upstream to its headwaters -- the lands surveyed under this rectangular survey system lay in townships 2 to 18 north and ranges 1 to 7 east and 1 to 2 west (the Verde crosses the Gila and Salt River Meridian).

Simply translated, this means that the area of focus of this report is in the second through the eighteenth tiers of townships north of the Gila and Salt Base and in the first through seventh tiers of townships east of the Gila and Salt River Meridian and the first and second tier of townships west of that meridian. The township and ranges through which the Verde River flows can be easily located on the U.S. Geological Survey's relatively recent topographical maps of the region. The U.S. Geological Survey

quadrangle maps covering the study area are Camp Verde (1969), Hot Springs (1967), Wet Bottom Mesa (1967), Chalk Mountain (1967), Horner Mountain (1967), Horseshoe Dam (1964), Granite Reef Dam (1964), Bartlett Dam (1964), Maverick Mountain (1964), and Lion Mountain (1964).

With exterior township and range lines established, federal surveyors subsequently divided each township into thirty-six subblocks called "sections," most of which were 640 acres, or one mile square. Due to the curvature of the earth and other irregularities in the earth's surface, the sections that ran along the western and northern edges of each township were sometimes slightly adjusted to be more or less than a square mile. The sections were numbered within each township in an "S" fashion beginning with the northeast square and heading west for sections one through six. Section seven then appeared immediately south of section six, and sections then went east through section twelve. The remaining sections were numbered in the same "S" fashion until section thirty-six was reached in the southeastern most part of the township.

Surveyors laying out the township, range, and section lines were provided with very precise instructions for measuring these lines because accuracy was critical for these lands to be transferred out of the public domain in a reliable manner. In addition, for those areas remaining in the public domain, the precise rules for surveying and for noting the characteristics of the land gave the U.S. government an extremely valuable record of what it owned through the field notes that surveyors were required

to make. The field notes were to include any notable features of the land such as streams, rivers, lakes, roads, irrigation ditches, or other prominent landmarks. In addition, surveyors were to provide general descriptions of each township upon completing the survey of a township. Using their field notes, surveyors were then to draw and forward original survey maps to the Surveyor General of the respective state or territory along with the accompanying field notes for final approval.

The <u>Instructions to the Surveyor General of Oregon</u> contained several provisions that are relevant to navigable bodies of water and other obstructions and therefore are important in relation to any consideration of the Verde River's navigability or non-navigability. First, the instructions provided that when surveyors encountered "impassable obstacles, such as ponds, swamps, marshes, lakes, rivers, creeks, &c.," they were to extend the survey line from the opposite side of the obstacle using triangulation or other surveying techniques. In addition, the surveyors were to "state all the particulars in relation thereto in your field book." Moreover, the instructions continued,

at the intersection of lines with both margins of impassable obstacles, you will establish a Witness Point, (for the purpose of perpetuating the intersections therewith) by setting a post, and giving in your field book the course and distance therefrom, to two trees on opposite sides of the line, each of which trees you will mark with a blaze and notch facing the post; but on the margins of navigable water courses, or navigable lakes,

you will mark the trees with the proper number of the fractional section, township, and range.4

The Instructions to the Surveyor General of Oregon also provided that when surveyors encountered navigable bodies of water, special survey markers called "meander corner posts" were to be "planted at all those points where the township or section lines intersect the banks of such rivers, bayous, lakes, or islands, as are by law directed to be meandered."5 (Federal legislation directing that navigable bodies of water be meandered by U.S. surveyors was first passed in 1796, but that law did not specify what constituted navigability. Nonetheless, the 1796 law is now codified in 43 U.S.C. 931.) Therefore, where township, range, section, or fractional section lines encountered bodies of water, witness posts were to be established if those bodies were not navigable, but meander corner posts were to be placed where the lines intersected navigable bodies of water. As the instructions explained, surveyors were to note

[i]ntersections by line of water objects. All rivers, creeks, and smaller streams of water which the [survey] line crosses; the distance on line at the [witness] points of intersection, and their widths on line."
[Emphases in original.]

Instructions to the Surveyor General of Oregon; Being a Manual for Field Operations (1851), reprinted in C. Albert White, A History of the Rectangular Survey System (Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of the Interior, 1983), p. 438.

⁵ Instructions to the Surveyor General of Oregon; Being a Manual for Field Operations (1851), reprinted in C. Albert White, A History of the Rectangular Survey System (Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of the Interior, 1983), p. 439. On the federal legislation mandating meanders of navigable bodies of water, see White, A History of the Rectangular Survey System, p. 30.

Surveying lines that intersected navigable bodies of water were to be done as follows:

In cases of **navigable streams**, their width will be ascertained between **meander corners**, as set forth under the proper heading. [Emphases in original.]

Aside from these general directions, surveyors were also given precise instructions for measuring the sinuosities of navigable bodies of water, including rivers, streams, lakes, ponds, or bayous. Between the meander corner posts, the directions of the edges of the banks were to be measured going downstream by recording degree bearings. The details of this meandering were to be recorded in the surveyor's field book as a separate set of records from the surveys of township, range, and section lines.

Finally, as if these instructions were not specific enough, the <u>Instructions to the Surveyor General of Oregon</u> contained detailed examples of surveying notes so that field surveyors would understand virtually any type of circumstance they might encounter.⁸

The 1855 Manual: Between 1851 and 1864, the U.S. General Land Office published only one a slightly revised version of the 1851

⁶ Instructions to the Surveyor General of Oregon; Being a Manual for Field Operations (1851), reprinted in C. Albert White, A History of the Rectangular Survey System (Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of the Interior, 1983), p. 444.

⁷ Instructions to the Surveyor General of Oregon; Being a Manual for Field Operations (1851), reprinted in C. Albert White, A History of the Rectangular Survey System (Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of the Interior, 1983), p. 442.

⁸ C. Albert White, <u>A History of the Rectangular Survey System</u> (Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of the Interior, 1983), passim.

work. The 1855 manual (bearing the lengthy title <u>Instructions to</u> the <u>Surveyors General of Public Lands of the United States, for</u> Those <u>Surveying Districts Established in and Since the Year 1850;</u> Containing Also, A Manual of Instructions to Regulate the Field Operations of Deputy Surveyors, Illustrated by <u>Diagrams</u>) contained more detail than the 1851 instructions. Yet it remained virtually identical in substance with regard to recording navigable and non-navigable bodies of water.

The 1864 Instructions: Nine years after the 1855 manual had appeared, the U.S. General Land Office began to modify its instructions for how surveyors dealt with navigable non-navigable bodies of water. Therefore, the 1851 and 1855 instructions, as modified in 1864, defined how the earliest federal surveyors in Arizona recorded bodies of water because those surveys commenced in 1868. In 1864, the 1855 surveyors' manual was amended by Instructions to the Surveyors General of the United States, Relating to Their Duties and to the Field Operations of Deputy Surveyors. The 1864 revision made no changes to the section of the 1855 manual that dealt with "insuperable objects on line." fact, the 1864 amendments did not discuss these instructions at all, presumably leaving this part of the 1855 manual intact.

⁹ For the 1855 discussion of how bodies of water were to be recorded, see <u>Instructions to the Surveyors General of Public Lands of the United States</u>, for Those <u>Surveying Districts Established in and Since the Year 1850; Containing Also</u>, A <u>Manual of Instructions to Regulate the Field Operations of Deputy Surveyors</u>, <u>Illustrated by Diagrams</u> (1855), reprinted in C. Albert White, <u>A History of the Rectangular Survey System</u> (Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of the Interior, 1983), pp. 458, 461, 464-465.

Regarding meanders and navigable streams, the 1864 amendments added some important criteria to which streams would be meandered:

Rivers not embraced in the class denominated "navigable" under the statute [see page 16 regarding this law], but which are well-defined natural arteries of internal communication, and have a uniform width, will be meandered on one bank. [Emphasis added.]

The instructions added that for the sake of consistency, one-bank meanders were to be done on the right side (looking downstream) unless obstacles made it necessary to switch to the left bank. If a change to the left were made, it was to be done at a point where a survey line crossed the stream and recorded in the field notes. 10

The 1881 Instructions: On May 3, 1881, the U.S. General Land Office once again updated its directions to federal surveyors by issuing Instructions of the Commissioner of the General Land Office to the Surveyors General of the United States Relative to the Survey of the Public Lands and Private Claims. In this manual, much of the instructions remained the same as in the 1855 manual (as amended in 1864), including, for example, how surveyors were to establish witness posts at intersections with non-navigable "insuperable objects on line." Here, as in 1851 and 1855, surveyors were told that when they encountered obstacles such as ponds, swamps, lakes, rivers, and creeks, they were to use triangulation to establish the distance across those non-navigable obstacles on line. Also as in the 1851 and 1855 manuals, surveyors

Instructions to the Surveyors General of the United States, Relating to Their Duties and to the Field Operations of Deputy Surveyors (1864), reprinted in C. Albert White, A History of the Rectangular Survey System (Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of the Interior, 1983), p. 504.

were to set a witness post on the line on each side of obstacle, and they were to measure to two trees on opposite sides of the line for each post. Each tree was to be marked with a notch and blaze facing the post, and the degree bearing and distance from the trees to their respective witness posts on line were to be noted in the field notes. 11

For navigable bodies of water, as had been the case in the 1851 and 1855 manuals (as amended in 1864), the surveyors were told that "on the margins of navigable water-courses, or navigable lakes, you will mark the trees with the proper number of the fractional section, township and range." And similar to the 1851 and 1855 instructions, the 1881 manual provided that "[m]eander corners are established at all those points where the lines of the public surveys intersect the banks of such rivers, bayous, lakes, or islands as are by law directed to be meandered." (See page 16 above for the meaning of the phrase "as are by law directed to be meandered.")

In terms of how meanders were to be carried out, the 1881 directions repeated the information from the 1855 manual as well as

Instructions of the Commissioner of the General Land Office to the Surveyors General of the United States Relative to the Survey of the Public Lands and Private Claims (1881), reprinted in C. Albert White, A History of the Rectangular Survey System (Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of the Interior, 1983), p. 516.

Instructions of the Commissioner of the General Land Office to the Surveyors General of the United States Relative to the Survey of the Public Lands and Private Claims (1881), reprinted in C. Albert White, A History of the Rectangular Survey System (Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of the Interior, 1983), pp. 516-517.

the 1864 addition that rivers that were not navigable "under the statute" but that were "well-defined natural arteries of internal communication" were to be meandered on one bank only. The balance of the instructions for meandering was also drawn from either the 1855 instructions or the 1864 amendments. 13

The 1890 Manual: Nine more years elapsed before the U.S. General Land Office revised its surveying instructions. On January 1, 1890, the agency issued its Manual of Surveying Instructions for the Survey of the Public Lands of the United States and Private Land Claims. Many of the surveying instructions were identical or nearly interchangeable with the previous work, including those for For example, the 1890 instructions recording major obstacles. about how to chronicle "insuperable objects on line" continued to provide that surveyors were to use triangulation to measure across the obstruction. Surveyors were still also instructed to set a witness post on line at the edge of non-navigable the obstacle, and to give the course and direction to two nearby trees on opposite sides of the line, each of which were to be notched and marked with a blaze facing the witness post. And, as had been the case in the 1855, 1864, and 1881 manuals, the 1890 directions also stated that for navigable bodies of water, meander posts were to be set where

Instructions of the Commissioner of the General Land Office to the Surveyors General of the United States Relative to the Survey of the Public Lands and Private Claims (1881), reprinted in C. Albert White, A History of the Rectangular Survey System (Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of the Interior, 1983), pp. 523-524.

lines intersected these obstacles, and meanders were to be run following the course of the river. 14

A significant change had been made to the instructions for what bodies of water were to be meandered, however. Whereas in 1881, surveyors were to meander navigable streams (both sides) and any non-navigable body of water used for "internal communication" (on one side only), the 1890 manual deleted the instructions to meander non-navigable bodies of water that were used for "internal communication." In addition, the 1890 manual no longer told surveyors to meander streams that were considered navigable, as the 1881 manual had provided "under the statute." Instead, the 1890 instructions stated:

Both banks of **navigable** rivers, as well as of all rivers not embraced in the class denominated as "navigable," the right angle width of which is **three chains** and upwards, will be meandered on **both** banks by taking the general courses and distances of their sinuosities, and the same are to be entered in the field book. Rivers not classed as navigable will not be meandered above the point where the average right-angle width is less than three chains. [Emphases in original.]¹⁵

In short, there had been two changes to what should be meandered:

1) navigable bodies of water (1881 -- "as are by law directed to be meandered" and "under the statute"; 1890 -- "embraced in the class

Manual of Surveying Instructions for the Survey of the Public Lands of the United States and Private Land Claims (1890), reprinted in C. Albert White, A History of the Rectangular Survey System (Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of the Interior, 1983), p. 560.

Manual of Surveying Instructions for the Survey of the Public Lands of the United States and Private Land Claims (1890), reprinted in C. Albert White, A History of the Rectangular Survey System (Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of the Interior, 1983), p. 568.

denominated as 'navigable'"), and 2) non-navigable streams (1881 -used for "internal communication," one bank to be meandered; 1890
-- no reference to use for "internal communication," but more than
three chains wide, both banks to be meandered).

The 1894 Manual: On June 30, 1894, the U.S. General Land Office issued its 1894 Manual of Surveying Instructions for the Survey of the Public Lands of the United States and Private Land Claims. In relation to directions for meandering, the 1894 manual had major changes in what bodies of water were to be meandered. The new instructions still called for bodies of water "embraced in the class denominated 'navigable'" to be meandered. In addition, as had been the case in the 1890 manual, all non-navigable bodies of water that were more than three chains wide were to be meandered, but here the 1894 manual added an important instruction. Both navigable and non-navigable streams (more than three chains wide) were to be meandered "at the ordinary mean high water mark" (emphasis in original), and their general courses and sinuosities in the appropriate field notebook. were to be recorded Furthermore, in another significant change, the 1894 manual provided that "[s]hallow streams, without any well-defined channel or permanent banks will not be meandered; except tide-water steams, whether more or less than three chains wide, which should be meandered at ordinary high-water mark, as far as tide-water extends." (Emphasis in original.) 16

^{16 1894} Manual of Surveying Instructions for the Survey of the Public Lands of the United States and Private Land Claims (1894), (continued...)

The 1902 Manual: Shortly after the turn of the century, the U.S. General Land Office once again revised its surveying handbook, releasing Manual of Surveying Instructions for the Survey of the Public Lands of the United States and Private Land Claims on January 1, 1902. There were significant differences between the 1902 manual and its 1894 predecessor regarding meandering. First, the 1902 manual observed that the term "meander" had frequently been misapplied in the past by surveyors, which had important implications for lands adjoining the meander lines. The 1902 manual stated:

The running of meander lines has always been authorized in the survey of public lands fronting on large streams and other bodies of water, but does not appear to have been proper in other cases. The mere fact that an irregular or sinuous line must be run, as in the case of a reservation boundary, does not entitle it to be called a meander line except where it closely follows a stream or lake shore. The legal riparian rights connected with meandered lines do not apply in case of other irregular lines, as the latter are strict boundaries. [Emphasis added.] 17

What the manual meant was that the beds and banks of bodies of water that were navigable (and thus meandered) were held by the states whereas the beds and banks of non-navigable bodies of water were held by the adjoining riparian land owners. Therefore,

^{16(...}continued)
reprinted in C. Albert White, <u>A History of the Rectangular Survey</u>
System (Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of the Interior, 1983),
p. 621.

Manual of Surveying Instructions for the Survey of the Public Lands of the United States and Private Land Claims (1902), reprinted in C. Albert White, A History of the Rectangular Survey System (Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of the Interior, 1983), p. 717.

meander lines needed to be clearly identified and had to be distinct from other irregular survey lines, such as those utilized for marking the edges of Indian and other federal land reservations.

Regarding which bodies of water were to be meandered, the 1902 manual had one addition to the 1894 instructions. The new direction provided that streams less than three chains wide were not to be meandered

except that streams which are less than three chains wide and which are so deep, swift and dangerous as to be impassable through the agricultural season, may be meandered, where good agricultural lands along the shores require their separation into fractional lots for the benefit of settlers. But such meander surveys shall be subject to rejection if proved unnecessary by field inspection.¹⁸

The 1902 manual also retained the instruction that shallow streams "without any well-defined channel or permanent banks, will not be meandered; except tide-water streams, whether more or less than three chains wide, which should be meandered at ordinary highwater mark, as far as tide-water extends." 19

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS REGARDING SURVEYORS, MANUALS AND MEANDERING: In short, by the time Arizona entered the Union on

Manual of Surveying Instructions for the Survey of the Public Lands of the United States and Private Land Claims (1902), reprinted in C. Albert White, A History of the Rectangular Survey System (Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of the Interior, 1983), p. 718.

Manual of Surveying Instructions for the Survey of the Public Lands of the United States and Private Land Claims (1902), reprinted in C. Albert White, A History of the Rectangular Survey System (Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of the Interior, 1983), p. 718.

February 14, 1912, there had been substantial revisions and alterations to the instructions to federal surveyors concerning how they were to mark and record the intersection of survey lines with non-navigable and navigable bodies of water. Although initially, only navigable bodies of water were to be meandered, that direction had been expanded over the years to include some non-navigable bodies of water. In addition, as the 1902 instructions illustrated, surveyors also used the term "meander" (frequently incorrectly) to identify irregular survey lines along reservation boundaries.

U.S. GOVERNMENT ORIGINAL SURVEYS OF LANDS ALONG THE VERDE RIVER: Prior to Arizona's statehood in 1912, various areas along the Verde River were surveyed and in some cases resurveyed, both in relation to exterior township and range lines as well as for interior section and subsection lines. Because surveyors whose work involved marking only exterior lines generally did not have the responsibility to undertake meanders where necessary (unless their contracts covered both interior and exterior surveys, which was common), the field notes of the exterior surveys are of limited value to this report and will not be discussed here. Instead, the field notes of interior surveys and resulting plats will be examined in detail for information regarding surveyors' judgments and descriptions regarding the Verde River's navigability or non-navigability as well as its general characteristics.

The interiors of the townships through which the Verde River flows between the confluence with the Salt River and its headwaters

were surveyed initially over a wide range of years, most of which were prior to statehood. Township surveys that took place before statehood were done in general beginning in the early 1870s. Resurveys of some townships before statehood were done in 1909 and 1911. Because of the large number of different survey dates, cumulatively they were done according to the instructions of many of the survey manuals discussed above. Significantly, while there were at least eight federal surveyors who mapped the Verde between the Salt and the Verde's headwaters prior to 1912 and while those surveys were done under the instructions of different survey manuals, at different times of year, and in different years, not one of the surveyors recorded information about the Verde that would be consistent with navigability.

Because of the importance of these initial federal surveys in relation to establishing the nature of the Verde River, they will be discussed in detail here, and in general, the discussion will be in an up-river manner. Since surveyors' notes were compiled in the field as surveys were undertaken, and plats were later drawn based on the notes, the notes for each township survey will be discussed first followed by the corresponding plats. A large number of the pre-1912 field notes and plats for townships along the Verde above the Salt River have been reviewed. A few were illegible or non-existent, and a significant portion of the townships were either surveyed after 1912 or were never surveyed at all due to the difficulty of the terrain, their inclusion within national forests or Indian reservations, or their unsuitability for settlement. In

addition, the notes and plats for township 17 north, range 1 east, and township 17 north, range 2 west, were not reviewed because they were not available when this report was drafted. Both of these townships, however, contain only very small segments of the Verde River. Because of the length of the Verde River and because in many cases adjacent township interior subdivisions were done by the same surveyor who applied the same standards and used the same terminology to describe the stream, only representative samples of the extant pre-1912 subdivision surveys will be discussed here. Nevertheless, the conclusions presented in this discussion of federal surveys and what they reveal about the nature of the Verde River are derived from many more surveys than are discussed here.

1911 Subdivision Survey of Township 2 North, Range 7 East:
The most downstream portion of the Verde River where it meets the
Salt River lies in the northern part of section 5, township 2
north, range 7 east. On January 25, 1911, Robert A. Farmer
meandered both banks of the Verde River in this township as part of
his survey of the Salt River Indian Reservation boundary. The
meanders of the both banks of the Verde are recorded in Farmer's
field notes of the survey and are shown on the plat of this
survey.²⁰ The meanders, however, were not done as a result of

²⁰ Robert A. Farmer, "Field Notes of the Survey of the Subdivision of T. 2 N. R. 7 E., Salt River Indian Reservation, of the Gila and Salt River Principal Meridian in the State of Arizona," pp. 11-17, approved by the Commissioner of the U.S. General Land Office March 29, 1913, U.S. Bureau of Land Management, Phoenix, Arizona [LRA Box/File: 23/1]; Farmer, Plat of Township 2 North, Range 7 East, Gila and Salt River Meridian, Arizona, approved by the Commissioner of the U.S. General Land Office March (continued...)

Farmer's determination that the Verde River was Instead, Farmer's meanders were consistent with the instructions of the 1890 survey manual, as continued in the 1894 and 1902 manuals (see respectively above pages 21, 23, and 24) that "[b]oth banks of navigable rivers, as well as of all rivers not embraced in the class denominated as 'navigable,' the right angle width of which is three chains and upwards, will be meandered on both banks by taking the general courses and distances of their sinuosities, and the same are to be entered in the field book." (Emphases original.)²¹ Farmer's field notes and his plat (see page 44) clearly demonstrate that the reach of the Verde River in this township was considerably wider than three chains, and the stream thus met the criteria for meandering non-navigable bodies of water. That Farmer's treatment of the Verde was based on the instructions to meander non-navigable bodies of water over three chains wide and not on the requirement to meander navigable streams and lakes will be more evident by his assessment of the Verde in the next township to the north, which is discussed below.

1902 Subdivision Survey and 1911 Subdivision Resurvey of
Township 3 and 4 North, Range 7 East: The southern part of
township 3 north, range 7 east, through which the Verde River

^{20(...}continued)
29, 1913, U.S. Bureau of Land Management, Phoenix, Arizona [LRA Box/File: 23/1].

Manual of Surveying Instructions for the Survey of the Public Lands of the United States and Private Land Claims (1890), reprinted in C. Albert White, A History of the Rectangular Survey System (Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of the Interior, 1983), p. 568.

flowed was not surveyed for subdivision lines because it was within the original boundaries of the Salt River Indian Reservation. However, the portion of the township north of Indian reservation and the part of township 4 north, range 7 east (both of which lay within the abandoned Fort McDowell Military Reservation) were surveyed in 1902 by Philip Contzen and resurveyed in 1911 by Robert Farmer. Township 3 north, range 7 east, will be discussed here as representative of the two townships.

Both the original survey and the resurvey of these townships clearly indicated that the Verde River had been meandered. Yet, as was the case in the township downstream, the meanders had been undertaken by Contzen and Farmer based on the 1890 instruction to meander non-navigable bodies of water more than three chains wide. This can be determined from other information available in the plats and notes by Contzen and Farmer. For example, Contzen's general description of township 3 north, range 7 east, pointed out that the former military reservation:

is well watered by the Verde River, which carries an abundance of water, making irrigation successful. . . . There is [sic] quite a number of settlers in the Verde Valley who have made substantial improvements and have land under cultivation. 22

Although Contzen specifically recorded the "abundance of water" in the Verde River, he made no observations of any features suggesting navigability. On the contrary, his 1902 plat of township 3 north,

²² Philip Contzen, "Field Notes of the Subdivision of Fort McDowell Field," pp. 237-239, vol. R1788, approved by the U.S. Surveyor General July 22, 1902, U.S. Bureau of Land Management, Phoenix, Arizona [LRA Box/File: 23/2].

range 7 east (see page 45), indicated the presence of two roads paralleling the stream, one on each side of the river. The presence of roads adjacent to the stream implies a lack of navigability. Second, the plat noted irrigation ditches heading on both banks of the stream but carried no suggestion of wharves, docks, or other features associated with navigation.²³

Robert Farmer's resurvey of the former Fort McDowell Military Reservation in February and March 1911 confirmed Contzen's treatment of the Verde as being non-navigable (see page 46 for Farmer's plat). Farmer's field notes of townships 3 and 4 north, range 7 east, recorded the placement of meander corners on the Verde in a manner consistent with the 1890 surveying instructions calling for meanders of non-navigable bodies of water that were more than three chains wide. At nearly every intersection with the river, Farmer routinely noted the width of the bed of the river as well as the distance across the channel of water that lay within the bed itself. In nearly each instance, both the bed and the channel were well over three chains wide. At nearly election, Farmer's description of the Verde at places where survey lines intersected

²³ Philip Contzen, "Plat of Township 3 North, Range 7 East, Gila and Salt River Meridian within the Abandoned Fort McDowell Military Reservation," approved by the U.S. Surveyor General on July 22, 1902, U.S. Bureau of Land Management, Phoenix, Arizona [LRA Box/File: 23/2].

²⁴ Robert A. Farmer, "Field Notes of the Survey of the Subdivision and Meanders of T. 3 N., R. 7 E., Salt River Indian Reservation, of the Gila and Salt River Principal Meridian in the State of Arizona," pp. 4, 6, 7, 9, 11, 16, 19, 21, 23, 24, 27, 29, 32, 36, 39, 49, 50, vol. R2396, approved by the Commissioner of the U.S. General Land Office March 29, 1913, U.S. Bureau of Land Management, Phoenix, Arizona [LRA Box/File: 23/2].

that stream denoted a river that would be difficult to navigate. For example, while running the line north between sections 31 and 32, he first set a meander corner on the right bank. He then wrote:

3.36 [chains] Enter shallow channel of Verde River, 1 ft. deep, course SE. 8.00 [chains] Leave channel; thence across sand bar, parallel to bank. 15.00 [chains] The right bank of main channel, course SE. 21.90 [chains] The left bank of Verde River, 8 ft. high.

Here, he set another meander corner.²⁵ Comparable descriptions of sand bars and shallow water were offered throughout the remainder of the field notes of this survey.²⁶ Farmer also wrote in his field notes that he "did not attempt to run line bet. secs. 5 and 6, from the temp. cor. of secs. 5, 6, 7 and 8, on sand bar, as this entire line was in river channel and on sand bar; and it was impracticable to [go] up the channel on account of high water."²⁷ Later in the notes, he added:

Robert A. Farmer, "Field Notes of the Survey of the Subdivision and Meanders of T. 3 N., R. 7 E., Salt River Indian Reservation, of the Gila and Salt River Principal Meridian in the State of Arizona," pp. 1C-2, vol. R2396, approved by the Commissioner of the U.S. General Land Office March 29, 1913, U.S. Bureau of Land Management, Phoenix, Arizona [LRA Box/File: 23/2].

Robert A. Farmer, "Field Notes of the Survey of the Subdivision and Meanders of T. 3 N., R. 7 E., Salt River Indian Reservation, of the Gila and Salt River Principal Meridian in the State of Arizona," pp. 4, 6, 7, 9, 11, 16, 19, 21, 23, 24, 27, 29, 32, 36, 39, 49, 50, vol. R2396, approved by the Commissioner of the U.S. General Land Office March 29, 1913, U.S. Bureau of Land Management, Phoenix, Arizona [LRA Box/File: 23/2].

Robert A. Farmer, "Field Notes of the Survey of the Subdivision and Meanders of T. 3 N., R. 7 E., Salt River Indian Reservation, of the Gila and Salt River Principal Meridian in the State of Arizona," p. 40, vol. R2396, approved by the Commissioner of the U.S. General Land Office March 29, 1913, U.S. Bureau of Land Management, Phoenix, Arizona [LRA Box/File: 23/2].

NOTE: The line bet. secs. 29 and 30 was run at a time when the water in the river was very low, and it was possible to run on the sand bar, and set temp. 1/16 sec. cors. Nos. 6 and 12, and 1/4 sec. cor., bet. secs. 29 and 30. When the lines through the S 1/2, middle, and N 1/2 of sec. 29 were run, the high water had come, and it was impossible to reach 1/16 and 1/4 sec. cors.; therefore, these lines were run West, on true lines.²⁸

Finally, in the general description of the township, Farmer observed that there was a considerable degree of variation in the Verde River's depth of flow. As he explained, "[a]t the time of survey, it [the Verde River] was very low, being from 2 1/2 to 4 ft. deep."²⁹

1873 Subdivision Survey of Township 13 North, Range 5 East;

1877 Subdivision Surveys of Townships 14-15 North, Range 4 East;

and 1877 Subdivision Surveys of Townships 15-16 North, Range 3

East: The townships along the Verde River between township 4

north, range 7 east, and township 13 north, range 5 east, either

were surveyed after 1912 and therefore provide little useful information about the stream before Arizona statehood, or were

²⁸ Robert A. Farmer, "Field Notes of the Survey of the Subdivision and Meanders of T. 3 N., R. 7 E., Salt River Indian Reservation, of the Gila and Salt River Principal Meridian in the State of Arizona," p. 53, vol. R2396, approved by the Commissioner of the U.S. General Land Office March 29, 1913, U.S. Bureau of Land Management, Phoenix, Arizona [LRA Box/File: 23/2].

²⁹ Robert A. Farmer, "Field Notes of the Survey of the Subdivision and Meanders of T. 3 N., R. 7 E., Salt River Indian Reservation, of the Gila and Salt River Principal Meridian in the State of Arizona," p. 102, vol. R2396, approved by the Commissioner of the U.S. General Land Office March 29, 1913, U.S. Bureau of Land Management, Phoenix, Arizona [LRA Box/File: 23/2].

never surveyed at all due to the rugged terrain and their inclusion in national forests. 30

The next area relevant to this survey study, therefore, is township 13 north, range 5 east, the area near present-day Camp Verde. Part of this township, including that portion of the Camp Verde Military Reservation (today, Fort Verde State Historic Park), within the township, was surveyed in 1873 by C. Burton Foster. Four years later, Foster also carried out subdivision surveys of parts of townships 14-15 north, range 4 east, and townships 15-16 north, range 3 east -- other areas of significant settlement in the Verde Valley.

Because Foster's treatment and descriptions of the Verde River were comparable in all of these surveys, only his work in township 13 north, range 5 east, will be discussed here. Between December 2 and 6, 1873, Foster surveyed the subdivision lines of that township, but he undertook no meanders of the Verde River. His failure to establish meander corners and to meander the stream was consistent with instructions set forth in the 1864 surveying manual (see page 18 above) that only navigable bodies of water were to be meandered on both banks. In general, his commentary when he encountered the stream was limited to a statement that it was about

Going upstream, these include townships 5-7 north, range 7 east; townships 7-11, range 6 east; township 11 north, range 7 east; township 12 north, range 6 east; township 12 north, range 5 east; and township 12.5 north, range 4 east.

one chain wide, and it had a gentle current and sandy bottom.³¹ Further upstream and four years later, while Foster undertook no meanders and his descriptions were roughly the same about the Verde, he did take note of a dam crossing the river.³²

Foster's general description of township 13 north, range 5 east, included the following description of the Verde:

The Rio Verde flowing through the Tp.in a S.E. direction is a stream with banks about 3 feet high and of uniform width. The amount of water flowing with gentle current through its channel at an average depth of about 3 feet varies but little during the different seasons of the year and seldom overflows its banks.

He also observed that there were several farms on the east side of the river, using water from the Verde and from Clear Creek for irrigation, and he added that there was "in process of construction a large ditch to irrigate the valley lands in Tp. on the W. side of the Rio Verde."³³

The plat of township 13 north, range 5 east (see page 47), showed the Verde River flowing in a southeasterly direction, a

³¹ C. Burton Foster, "Field Notes of the Subdivision Lines of Fractional Township 13 North, Range 5 East, Gila and Salt River Meridian, Territory of Arizona," pp. 1, 17, 27, 31, 32, 48, 51, 52, 59, 62, 65, vol. R137, approved by Surveyor General July 1, 1874, U.S. Bureau of Land Management, Phoenix, Arizona [LRA Box/File: 23/4].

The dam was in township 16 north, range 3 east. See C. Burton Foster, "Field Notes of the Subdivision Lines of Fractional Township 16 North, Range 3 East, Gila and Salt River Meridian," p. 27, vol. R217, approved by the Surveyor General Dec. 27, 1877, U.S. Bureau of Land Management, Phoenix, Arizona [LRA Box/File: 32/1].

³³ C. Burton Foster, "Field Notes of the Subdivision Lines of Fractional Township 13 North, Range 5 East, Gila and Salt River Meridian, Territory of Arizona," pp. 73-76, vol. R137, approved by Surveyor General July 1, 1874, U.S. Bureau of Land Management, Phoenix, Arizona [LRA Box/File: 23/4].

portion of which was through the Camp Verde Military Reservation. There is no indication on the plat that Foster meandered the Verde River. No meander lines appear on the plat; no meander data appear in the margins; and no surveyor is listed as having undertaken meanders. Aside from the lack of meanders, there is another indication on the plat that Foster did not consider the Verde River to be navigable -- he noted what he labelled as a hay road running parallel to the river. The road suggests that the river was not used for commercial transportation.³⁴

1892-1893 Subdivision Survey of Townships 13-14 North, Range 5 East, and Township 14 North, Range 4 East: Between December 29, 1892, and January 5, 1893, Daniel Drummond surveyed the interior areas of the Camp Verde Military Reservation within townships 13-14 north, range 5 east, and township 14 north, range 4 east. Some, but not all, of this area had been surveyed originally by Foster in 1873 and 1877. Because Drummond's descriptions and treatment of the Verde were similar in all three townships, only township 13 north, range 5 east, will be discussed here.

Drummond's survey had been conducted under the directions in the 1890 manual (see page 21 above) telling surveyors to meander navigable bodies of water as well as streams over three chains wide that were not navigable. Under the directions for non-navigable bodies of water over three chains wide, Drummond clearly

³⁴ C. Burton Foster, "Plat of Township 13 North, Range 5 East, Gila and Salt River Meridian, Arizona," approved by the Surveyor General on July 1, 1874, U.S. Bureau of Land Management, Phoenix, Arizona [LRA Box/File: 23/4].

established meander corners at each intersection with the Verde, and he noted in most cases that the stream was considerably wider than three chains. In his general description of the township, Drummond wrote:

The Verde River is a fine stream of living water flowing through the Reservation from north to south and contains ample water for the purposes of irrigation. The high flood of Feby. 1891 has washed several hundred acres of fine bottom land, leaving in its stead stones, rocks, and gravel, forming the present bed of the river. Since the flood the banks of the river average a width of nearly 20 chains. 36

Drummond's repetitive notation in the field notes that the Verde River was greater in width than three chains indicate that the basis for his meanders stemmed from the instructions to meander non-navigable bodies of water over three chains. This conclusion is substantiated by the indication on the plat for Drummond's survey, which covered all three townships in that survey (see page 48). That plat noted that a major irrigation ditch lay on the west side of the river. Another smaller ditch took water at a diversion dam in township 14 north, range 5 east, and it flowed south into

Janiel Drummond, "Field Notes of the Resurvey of the Camp Verde Military Reservation, Arizona," pp. 13-18, vol. R2020, approved by the Surveyor General on April 21, 1893, U.S. Bureau of Land Management, Phoenix, Arizona [LRA Box/File: 23/4].

³⁶ Daniel Drummond, "Field Notes of the Resurvey of the Camp Verde Military Reservation, Arizona," pp. 130-131, vol. R2020, approved by the Surveyor General on April 21, 1893, U.S. Bureau of Land Management, Phoenix, Arizona [LRA Box/File: 23/4].

township 13 north, range 5 east. In addition, roads roughly paralleled the stream through all three townships.³⁷

1907 and 1908 Subdivision Survey of Township 17 North, Range 3 East: Between December 16 and 20, 1907, and approximately a year later, Jesse B. Wright undertook the first survey of part of the interior subdivision lines of township 17 north, range 3 east — the area just upstream on the Verde River from townships originally surveyed thirty years earlier by C. Burton Foster. The manual that directed how Wright was to carry out his survey was the 1902 edition (see page 24 above), which instructed surveyors to meander navigable bodies of water as well as non-navigable watercourses that were more than three chains wide. Nevertheless, Wright undertook no meanders of the Verde in this township, indicating both that he did not consider the stream to be navigable as well as the fact that in most of the places he encountered the Verde River, that stream was smaller than three chains wide. ³⁸

Wright's general description of the township included this commentary on the Verde River:

The soil along the Verde river is very fertile, and there is much valuable land lying within the surveyed section, which could be irrigated, from the ample supply of water in the Verde river. The western portion of the Tp. is very rough, broken by deep canons and gulches leading to

Daniel Drummond, "Survey Plat of Camp Verde Military Reservation, Arizona," U.S. Bureau of Land Management, Phoenix, Arizona [LRA Box/File: 23/4].

³⁸ Jesse B. Wright, "Field Notes of the Partial Subdivision of T. 17 N. R. 3 E. of the G. & S.R.B. & Meridian, Arizona," pp. 5, 6, 8, vol. R2145, approved by the Surveyor General on Sept. 16, 1909, U.S. Bureau of Land Management, Phoenix, Arizona [LRA Box/File: 32/2].

the Verde river, as is also the northeastern portion of ${\rm Tp.}^{39}$

Not only did Wright's field notes suggest the Verde River to be non-navigable, but so too did the plat of the township (see page 49). No meander lines appear along the Verde's banks, and in a table on the right margin of the plat labelled "Meanders of," no meander data were entered. Furthermore, in a table at the bottom of the plat identifying which parts of the interior subdivision, exterior lines, and meanders were undertaken by various surveyors, no one is identified as having done meander surveys. Finally, also suggesting that the Verde River was not used for carrying commerce is the presence of a road roughly paralleling the river. 40

Because the interior subdivision lines to township 17 north, range 2 east (the next township upstream on the Verde River) were not surveyed until 1956, the subsequent relevant township to this study is township 18 north, range 2 east. This township was surveyed by James B. Girand in the summer of 1902. The Verde River flowed through the southwest corner and crossed just the line between sections 31 and 32 before exiting on the western edge of the township. When Girand encountered the Verde River on this line,

³⁹ Jesse B. Wright, "Field Notes of the Partial Subdivision of T. 17 N. R. 3 E. of the G. & S.R.B. & Meridian, Arizona," p. 9, vol. R2145, approved by the Surveyor General on Sept. 16, 1909, U.S. Bureau of Land Management, Phoenix, Arizona [LRA Box/File: 32/2].

⁴⁰ Jesse B. Wright, "Plat of Township 17 North, Range 3 East, Gila and Salt River Meridian, Arizona," approved by the Surveyor General on Sept. 16, 1909, U.S. Bureau of Land Management, Phoenix, Arizona [LRA Box/File: 32/2].

his field notes suggest that his assessment of the stream was that it was non-navigable. Not only did he not establish meander corners, but due to the difficulty of the terrain, he had to improvise to estimate the distance across the stream as being eighty links (4/5 of a chain) wide. While it is impossible to determine whether Girand was relying upon the new 1902 manual of surveying instructions (which had been released on January 1, 1902 -- see page 24) or the previous 1894 manual (see page 23), in either case he would have been required to establish meander corners for navigable bodies of water as well as non-navigable streams over three chains wide. Therefore, his failure to establish meander corners indicated that he believed the stream to be a non-navigable watercourse less than three chains wide. His only comment about the river in the general description of the township was to observe: "The S.W. portion of this township is well watered [by] the Verde River running through it, and the water is clear and pure."41

Girand's plat of the township (see page 50) likewise carried no intimation that the Verde was navigable. Not only do no meander lines appear along the river, but there is no listing for a surveyor who might have done meanders. Furthermore, in the right

⁴¹ James B. Girand, "Field Notes of the Survey of the Subdivision Lines of Township No. 18 North, Range No. 2 East, of the Gila and Salt River Base and Meridian in the Territory of Arizona," pp. 19, 46-47, vol. R237, U.S. Bureau of Land Management, Phoenix, Arizona [LRA Box/File: 32/4].

margin in a table entitled "Meanders of," there is no meander data entered there. 42

1902 Subdivision Survey of Township 18 North, Range 1 East: Continuing upstream, between February 8 and 18, 1903, John F. Hesse surveyed the interior subdivision lines of township 18 north, range 1 east. The Verde River ran through the southern portion of the township, but there is no indication that Hesse thought the stream was navigable. Hesse undertook no meanders of the stream, and at each encounter he simply measure the distance across and gave the stream's direction of flow. In general, the Verde was considerably smaller than three chains wide, and thus his treatment of the stream was consistent with the 1902 manual's instructions not to meander bodies of water if they were less than three chains in width or if they were non-navigable. 43 Similarly, no meander lines appear on the plat (see page 51); no meander data is recorded in the margin; and no surveyor is listed as having undertaken meander surveys.44

⁴² James B. Girand, "Plat of Township 18 North, Range 2 East, Gila and Salt River Meridian, Arizona," approved by the Surveyor General on Jan. 23, 1903, U.S. Bureau of Land Management, Phoenix, Arizona [LRA Box/File: 32/4].


⁴³ John F. Hesse, "Field Notes of the Survey of the Subdivision Lines of Township 18 North, Range 1 East, of the Gila and Salt River Base and Meridian in the Territory of Arizona," pp. pp. 1H, 20, 40, vol. R234; pp. 78, 80, vol. R235, approved by the Surveyor General April 23, 1904, U.S. Bureau of Land Management, Phoenix, Arizona [LRA Box/File: 33/1].


⁴⁴ John F. Hesse, "Plat of Township 18 North, Range 1 East, Gila and Salt River Meridian, Arizona," approved by the Surveyor General April 23, 1904, U.S. Bureau of Land Management, Phoenix, Arizona [LRA Box/File: 33/1].


and 18 North, Range 1 West: J.T. Smith surveyed the interior subdivision lines of townships 17 and 18 north, range 1 west, in September 1883. These townships were also resurveyed by Alfred N. Oliver in May and June 1909. The notes and plats of these surveys, which would have been done under the directions of the 1864 and 1902 manuals (see pages 18 and 24 above), confirm both surveyors' assessments of the Verde River being a non-navigable stream. No meander data appear in any of the notes, and none appear on the plats (see pages 52 to 55 for the plats).


⁴⁵ J.T. Smith, "Field Notes of the Survey of the Subdivision Lines of Township 17 N. Range 1 W., Gila and Salt River Base and Meridian, Arizona," approved by the Surveyor General Feb. 13, 1884, U.S. Bureau of Land Management, Phoenix, Arizona [LRA Box/File: 33/2]; Smith, "Plat of Township 17 North, Range 1 West, Gila and Salt River Meridian," approved by the Surveyor General Feb. 13, 1884, U.S. Bureau of Land Management, Phoenix, Arizona [LRA Box/File: 33/2]; Smith, "Field Notes of the Survey of the Subdivision Lines of Township 18 N., Range 1 W., Gila and Salt River Base and Meridian, Arizona," approved by the Surveyor General Feb. 13, 1884, U.S. Bureau of Land Management, Phoenix, Arizona [LRA Box/File: 33/3]; Smith, "Plat of Township 18 North, Range 1 West, Gila and Salt River Meridian," approved by the Surveyor General Feb. 13, 1884, U.S. Bureau of Land Management, Phoenix, Arizona [LRA Box/File: 33/3]; Alfred N. Oliver, "Field Notes of the Survey of the Private Claim Lines and Resurvey of the Subdivision Lines of Tps. 17 N., Rg. 1 W. of the Gila and Salt Rive Meridian, Territory of Arizona," approved by the Surveyor General April 27, 1910, U.S. Bureau of Land Management, Phoenix, Arizona [LRA Box/File: 33/2]; Oliver, "Plat of Township 17 North, Range 1 West," approved by the Surveyor General April 27, 1910, U.S. Bureau of Land Management, Phoenix, Arizona [LRA Box/File: 33/2]; Alfred N. Oliver, "Field Notes of the Survey of the Private Claim Lines and Resurvey of the Subdivision Lines of Tp. 18 N., Rg. 1 W., of the Gila and Salt River Meridian, Territory of Arizona," approved by the Surveyor General April 27, 1910, U.S. Bureau of Land Management, Phoenix, Arizona [LRA Box/File: 33/3]; Oliver, "Plat of Township 18 North, Range 1 West, Gila and Salt River Meridian, Arizona," approved by the Surveyor General April 27, 1910, U.S. Bureau of Land Management, Phoenix, Arizona [LRA Box/File: 33/3].


SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS REGARDING U.S. GOVERNMENT SURVEYS ALONG THE VERDE RIVER: Federal government surveyors were specifically charged with the task of identifying navigable streams as part of their surveying duties, and the manuals and instructions under which they carried out their work were very precise about how navigable bodies of water were to be distinguished As part of the U.S. government's surveying non-navigable ones. efforts, the areas along parts of the Verde River were surveyed and Significantly, while those resurveyed many times before 1912. surveys were done at varying times of year, in different years, and by at least eight individuals, all of the descriptions and plats that resulted from this work consistently portrayed the Verde River as being a non-navigable stream.























Township No. 2 North Range No. 7 East of the Gila and Salt River Meridian, Arizona.

SALT RIVER INDIAN RESERVATION. OFFICIALLY FILED 6-16-1913

:	Surveys Designated	By Whom Surveyed	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	When Surveyed
	Standard lines	R.A.Farmer		
.	Townskip " Subdivision "	* * *	*************************	January 4, 1911. 24-25,1911.
ı	Moundor " Boundary "			- 25,1911.
		**************************************		***************************************
ø	Carlos distributions			

CHAPTER 2: LAND PATENTS AND STATE GRANTS

The U.S. Congress passed a variety of homestead laws in the middle-to-late nineteenth century designed to facilitate the settlement of newly acquired lands in the West. The laws resulted in thousands of federal patents being issued to eager settlers determined to establish homes and farms in the West's unfamiliar climate. Before discussing federal land patents in relation to the Verde River a few words need to be said about the stream's location as portrayed on various maps since this has bearing on related patent positions.

HISTORICAL MAPS OF THE VERDE RIVER REGION: The U.S. Geological Survey began mapping some of the areas surrounding the Verde River prior to Arizona's admission to the Union in 1912. These were not the first maps to be made of the region, however. As noted in Chapter One, the U.S. General Land Office had conducted original surveys along the Verde beginning in the 1870s to facilitate homesteading and to create accurate legal descriptions of property. Subsequent mapping by the U.S. Geological Survey for the Verde River area began in the early 1900s resulting in numerous The oldest historical quadrangles for areas topographic maps. along the Verde River include Fort McDowell (1906 -- see page 82 below), Turret Peak (1929 -- see page 83 below), and Cave Creek (1939 -- see page 84 below).

In comparing the original U.S. General Land Office survey plats and the early U.S. Geological Survey topographic maps, it is clear that in some locations the Verde River changed channel.

Because of these shifts in the Verde River's position, homestead patents discussed below have been placed on maps showing the stream's position as of the date of the original surveys and at the time the earliest U.S. Geological Survey topographic maps were drawn. In addition, U.S. Forest Service maps have been consulted to help reconstruct the location of the Verde River as close to the time of statehood as possible. (See Exhibits 1-7 folded inside the front pocket of this report.)

BACKGROUND INFORMATION ON HOMESTEADING AND FEDERAL LAND PATENTS: With U.S. General Land Office surveys having provided an orderly system for the federal government to dispose of the public domain in the Territory of Arizona, settlers began to acquire parcels of land through homesteading. The various homestead laws passed by U.S. Congress in the late nineteenth century generally required a settler to file an application and make a small payment for a given parcel of land with the nearby federal land office. 46 The application would describe the land by township, range, and section, and within each six-hundred-forty-acre section by a fractional identification. For example, a typical one-hundredsixty-acre parcel might be described as the northeast quarter of section 7, township 17 north, range 2 east, Gila and Salt River Base and Meridian. A forty-acre parcel might be the northwest quarter of the southeast quarter, and a twenty-acre parcel might be the west half of the southwest quarter of the southwest quarter.

⁴⁶ The most important of these laws was "An Act of Secure Homesteads to Actual Settlers on the Public Domain," 37th Cong., 2nd Sess., ch. 75 (1862).

Once the application was filed, the settler was required to live on the land for a number of years and make various improvements. When the necessary time had elapsed, he or she could return to the land office with witnesses to file affidavits stating that homesteading requirements had been met. There, the settler would also complete any remaining paperwork. The affidavits and paperwork created a patent file that contained a great deal of information about the settler and the land he or she wanted to acquire. These patent files are available at the National Archives in Washington, D.C., and those relating to the Verde River have been used in the preparation of this report.

The applicant and witness affidavits typically described the parcel in question, the number of acres, the crops farmed, the improvements made, as well as other pertinent information (such as, for example, irrigation canals and diversion points). Depending on the parcel, the type of patent, and whether there was any controversy involved, the patent file might also contain other information such as court documents. If the land office approved the affidavits, the settler would pay an additional small fee, and he or she would be rewarded with the patent (legal title) to his parcel.

In relation to the Verde River, there many patent applications that were filed for parcels in sections overlapping the stream between the western boundary of township 17 north, range 2 west and the southern boundary of township 13 north, range 5 east. The lands lying between township 13 north, range 5 east, southward to

the Verde's confluence with the Salt River were withdrawn from the public domain for various reasons such as U.S. Reclamation Service projects, national forests, and Indian reservations. Those townships, therefore, do not have any patents recorded. Patents on non-withdrawal lands can be located on the U.S. Bureau of Land Management's Master Title Plats and Historical Indices (see pages 85 and 86 for examples of these documents), which are cartographic records of how the U.S. government has disposed of (or otherwise encumbered) the public domain. The Master Title Plats and Historical Indexes also show land grants made to the State of Arizona.

The three sets of records discussed above (U.S. Geological Survey historical topographic maps, U.S. General Land Office original survey plats, and Bureau of Land Management's Master Title Plats) were used to create Exhibits 1-7, which are located in the front and back pockets of this report. The U.S. Geological Survey historical topographic maps and the U.S. General Land Office survey plats were digitized and combined by Salt River Project Cartographics using a GIS computer system. With this product, the U.S. Bureau of Land Management's Master Title Plats and Historical Indices were consulted to place the federal patents upon the newly created map and produce Exhibits 1-6. (For Exhibit 7, which shows state patents, the same process was used, using state plats created by the Arizona State Land Department -- see page 79 below regarding state acquisition and disposition of federally-granted lands.)

Significance of Patents to Verde River's Potential Navigability: Federal patents to private parties and the supporting files are important for several reasons in ascertaining the potential navigability of the Verde River around the time of statehood.47 First, the patents indicate the total amount of land awarded by the United States. The acreage is significant because if the Verde River flowed through the parcel and had been considered navigable, federal officials would not have granted the title of the bed of the stream to private parties since Arizona would have owned it due to state sovereignty. As a result, a patent to a quarter section through which the stream ran would have been recorded as somewhat less than one-hundred-sixty acres (a full section is six-hundred-forty acres). Moreover, if the river had been considered navigable, an irregularly-shaped parcel next to the river would have been identified as a "government lot" instead of as an even division of a six-hundred-forty-acre section. words, a patent to a small parcel of land lying next to a navigable body of water would have a reference to, hypothetically, "government lot 3, consisting of 27.4 acres."48

⁴⁷ Copies of patents for this report were obtained from the U.S. Bureau of Land Management in Phoenix. Patent files were obtained from the National Archives in Washington, D.C.

⁴⁸ For details on how federal surveyors were to handle creating government lots next to navigable bodies of water, see <u>Instructions</u> to the <u>Surveyor General of Oregon; Being a Manual for Field Operations</u> (Washington, D.C.: Gideon and Co., 1851), reprinted in C. Albert White, <u>A History of the Rectangular Survey System</u> (Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of the Interior, 1983), pp. 434, 436-437. See also for examples of how government lots were established, <u>Instructions to Deputy Surveyors of the United States</u> (continued...)

Importantly, none of the federal patents that overlay the Verde River (regardless of their respective dates) contain any provisions for reserving the bed of the river to Arizona. There is also no evidence that Arizona, upon statehood, chose lands in lieu of those previously patented upon the river bed -- which the state would have been entitled to do had the river been navigable. (Inlieu, or indemnity, selections were public domain lands chosen by a state or railroad to compensate for overlapping claims to state or railroad ownership elsewhere.) While there are some government lots lying next to meandered portions of the Verde, those lots were not created due to the stream's navigability. Instead, the lots were formed because of surveying instructions pertaining to meanders of non-navigable bodies of water (see Chapter One above).

Another reason why patents are important to help determine whether the Verde River was navigable at the time of statehood relates to their supporting files. Since a settler had to sign an affidavit regarding improvements and similar documents had to be secured from eyewitnesses, a patent file not only reiterates acreage being assigned, but it also can convey details such as whether the farmer built an irrigation ditch from the Verde River or whether he used the river for other purposes. Again, nothing in the supporting files suggests that the Verde River was navigable or that settlers used the stream for conveying commerce.

for the District of Illinois and Missouri (St. Louis: N.p., 1856), reprinted in ibid., pp. 425, 430.

FEDERAL PATENTS TO PRIVATE PARTIES IN THE PRINCIPAL STUDY AREA: This report will discuss representative federal patents along the Verde River between township 13 north, range 5 east, upstream to township 17 north, range 2 west. While this part of the report does not include every township or every patent within this area to keep the discussion to manageable proportions, all patents in all townships for the area have, in fact, been reviewed. None contradicts the evidence presented here.

Much of the land through which the Verde River flows below township 13 north, range 5 east, was withdrawn for various public purposes or was too rugged for settlement. Because no patents were granted there, therefore those townships are not discussed in this part of the report. All of the Verde River patents considered here are displayed on the six federal map exhibits included in the front pocket of the report. In the discussion presented here, representative patents and their files will be reviewed going upstream.

Federal Patents on the Verde River in Township 13 North, Range 5 East: This township was one of the earliest areas settled along the Verde River. Although it is not part of the present-day incorporated limits of the town of Camp Verde, the region lies just south of it, and therefore has a history similar in nature. The residents of this part of the Verde Valley used the Camp Verde Post Office, and frequently referred to the town as their home. The land in the area was quite fertile and therefore attracted many early homesteaders.

One such person was Joseph H. Morrison. On January 21, 1913, Morrison made a homestead entry application for land lying in and around the Verde River in section 27 of township 13 north, range 5 east. Morrison, however, failed to make his final proof on the land before it was withdrawn for the Coconino National Forest and also for the proposed Camp Verde Reservoir site. In 1918, Morrison applied for reinstatement of his entry. In an October 16, 1920, letter to the Secretary of the Interior regarding this parcel, Arthur Powell Davis of the Reclamation Service quoted the president of the Paradise Verde Irrigation District:

All but two acres of the claim lies below the water line of the proposed Camp Verde Reservoir. About sixteen acres are in cultivation and fifteen acres more capable of irrigation but now unimproved. The remainder is rough and stony or lies in the river bed. [Emphasis added.]

On Morrison's subsequent "Application for Reduction of the Required Area of Cultivation," he wrote that

[t]he north ten acres is a high mesa about 150 feet above the rest of the land -- the balance a fairly leval [sic] piece of land except -- a part comprising over twenty acres is river bottom. Neither the twenty acres in river and 10 acres on mesa can be farmed. [Emphasis added.]

Additionally, a survey plat of the tract completed by C.G. Ricketson in 1920 shows the river clearly as a part of the land in question. Despite all this information explicitly stating that the application included the river bed, the federal government eventually granted patent 844648 to Morrison without withholding

the bed and the banks of the river due to the sovereign rights of Arizona. 49

Long before Morrison came to the valley, settlement had started in this township with the arrival of Frank Jordon, who received his homestead entry patent in 1886. His land, located in section 8, also had the Verde River running directly though it. No land was withheld from Jordan's parcel due to the sovereign rights of the future state of Arizona to the bed and banks of the Verde River. He was granted 144.38 acres in the form of patent 134 in 1886. The acreage for this patent is somewhat less than a full quarter section of 160 acres because the Verde River was meandered during a resurvey of the township in 1892-1893. The meanders, however, were not done due to the stream's navigability. (See page 36 above for details.)⁵⁰

Federal Patents on the Verde River in Township 14 North, Range 4 East: In sections 2 and 3 of township 14 north, range 4 east was a tract sought by Robert M. Rogers. He received cash entry patent 238 for it on December 16, 1889. Though it is clear from historical maps that the Verde River ran directly through this land, none of this parcel was reserved due to Arizona's future

⁴⁹ Homestead Entry Patent File 844648, 1913, Serial Land Patents, Records of the U.S. General Land Office, Record Group 49, U.S. National Archives, Washington, D.C. [LRA Box/File: 34/9]; Homestead Entry Patent 844648, 1922, U.S. Bureau of Land Management, Phoenix, Arizona [LRA Box/File: 26/26].

⁵⁰ Homestead Entry Patent 134, 1886, U.S. Bureau of Land Management, Phoenix, Arizona [LRA Box/File: 26/26].

sovereign ownership of the bed and the banks of navigable streams.⁵¹ Similarly, no land was withheld from cash entry patent 149, lying upstream in section four. Instead, this 160 acre parcel of land was patented to Josiah Marr on December 5, 1884. Despite the river's obvious presence in the tract, there is no evidence that any acreage was withheld from the patent for Arizona.⁵²

In addition to the patents discussed here, there are numerous other patents and related files in this township that all have similar characteristics. In each case, while the Verde River ran through these parcels, no land was withheld from patenting due to the presence of the stream.

Federal Patents on the Verde River in Township 15 North, Range 4 East: Other examples of patents being issued for lands through which the Verde River flowed can be found in township 15 north, range 4 east. For instance, homestead entry patent 88173 was granted to Samuel G. Wallingford in 1909. The land lying in the western portion of this parcel in section 33 clearly was in the bed of the Verde River. Nonetheless, Wallingford was granted a patent for the entire acreage, which, included adjacent land in the patent in section 4. No land was withheld for the future sovereign rights of Arizona.⁵³

⁵¹ Cash Entry Patent 239, 1889, U.S. Bureau of Land Management, Phoenix, Arizona [LRA Box/File: 26/26].

⁵² Cash Entry Patent 149, 1884, U.S. Bureau of Land Management, Phoenix, Arizona [LRA Box/File: 26/26].

⁵³ Homestead Entry Patent 88173, 1909, U.S. Bureau of Land Management, Phoenix, Arizona [LRA Box/File: 26/26].

Upstream from Wallingford, Louis Tiszo made a homestead entry on June 15, 1903, for land lying in section 18 in this same township. According to maps showing the Verde around the time of statehood, the river flowed through Tiszo's entire parcel of land. On his final proof, located in his patent file, Tiszo wrote that he was "[a]bsent two months in each year, both self and family, on account of high water principally . . . absent in December and January annually." One of Tiszo's witnesses wrote that the family was absent during those months because the "children could not cross river during rainy season [to attend school]." Not only was the Verde River clearly located on the land, but the ability of the children to cross the stream during drier months strongly suggests its non-navigability. Furthermore, no land was withheld from the patent on behalf of Arizona's sovereign right to ownership of the bed and banks of navigable streams. Tiszo was granted the full eighty acres in 1911.54

There are other patented parcels through which the Verde River flowed in this township that further confirm the non-navigability of the stream. However, because some of these patents were acquired under the Desert Land Act of 1877 and because that law had unique requirements that relate to the issue of navigability, they are discussed below at page 73.

⁵⁴ Homestead Entry Patent File 171435, 1903, Serial Land Patents, Records of the U.S. General Land Office, Record Group 49, U.S. National Archives, Washington, D.C. [LRA Box/File: 34/1]; Homestead Entry Patent 171435, 1911, U.S. Bureau of Land Management, Phoenix, Arizona [LRA Box/File: 26/26].

Federal Patents on the Verde River in Township 15 North, Range Like the other townships surrounding it, this township patents that demonstrate the contains many original navigability of the Verde River. In section 12, a homestead application was made by James W. Anderson for land lying mostly in the southeast quarter of the section. It is clear from historical mapping sources that the Verde River ran through this parcel of land. Nonetheless, on September 3, 1884, Anderson received patent 78 for a total of 160 acres. There is no indication that the federal government reserved any of the bed and banks of the river for Arizona. Although this land eventually reverted back to the federal government and then was transferred to Arizona, there is no indication that the state claimed the land under its sovereign rights to the beds and banks of navigable streams. Instead, the state received this land as part of the federal grant to Arizona of all sections 2, 16, 32, and 36 within the state. (See page 78 for full discussion of state grants.)

North of Anderson's land lay a parcel filed for by Caroline E. Adams. These lands lay in a portion of the southwest quarter of section 1, and the Verde River ran through the parcel. Adams wrote to the Commissioner of the General Land Office substantiating this fact. In this 1915 letter, Adams observed that the forty acres upon which she had filed her claim were "cut up considerable by the river and washes and a part of it is a rocky hill." Despite the fact that the some of the land lay in the Verde's bed, the U.S.

granted homestead entry patent 680819 to Adams in 1919, reserving no land for Arizona. 55

Federal Patents on the Verde River in Township 16 North, Range 3 East: Continuing upstream, there are more examples of patents which indicate the Verde River's non-navigability. Forest in-lieu selection 264746 lay in sections 17 and 20 of township 16 north, range 3 east, both of which had the Verde River running through them. This parcel had been chosen in 1911 by the Santa Fe Pacific Railroad as an in-lieu selection for railroad grant lands relinquished elsewhere in Arizona (in this case, for the San Francisco Mountains Forest Reserve). Prior to confirming title to the railroad, however, the United States had to determine whether the land had any hydroelectric power possibilities, and to that end the General Land Office sent Silas L. Gillan to inspect the property. Gillan reported that "approximately half of the land embraced within these subdivisions is gravelly river bottom and adjacent gravelly bench land." He added:

The N1/2NW1/4 and SW1/4NW1/4, Sec. 17 is crossed by the Verde River. I roughly estimated the flow of the Verde River on January 17, 1912, at 100 second ft. . . . Based upon a flow of 90 second ft., a figure which probably represents the minimum flow, a quantity sufficient for the generation of about 8 H.P. per ft. of available head, it will be seen that a comparatively high head will be necessary to secure any practicable power development. Reference to the U.S. Geological Survey topographical map [illegible], will show that between Sec. 17 and Packard's ranch, a distance of about nine miles, the fall is less

Homestead Entry Patent File 680819, 1915, Serial Land Patents, Records of the U.S. General Land Office, Record Group 49, U.S. National Archives, Washington, D.C. [LRA Box/File: 34/5]; Homestead Entry Patent 680819, 1919, U.S. Bureau of Land Management, Phoenix, Arizona [LRA Box/File: 26/26].

than 200 ft. Above Packard's ranch, the flow in the Verde River is considerably less than 90 second feet, because at this point Sycamore Creek comes in. . . The river bed in the northerly portion of Sec. 17 is sandy and gravelly and is not suitable for the foundation of a dam to hold the Verde River while in flood stages. [Emphasis added.]

Commenting on Gillan's findings, George Otis Smith, director of the U.S. Geological Survey, noted that the 90 second feet was "a limited supply of water," and continued that "the fall is hardly sufficient to warrant the development of power by the construction of a dam since there are apparently no suitable reservoir sites in the vicinity."

Not only do the above documents indicate that the Verde River was not navigable, but so too does the fact that the United States patented the 240 acres of land to the Santa Fe Railroad Company in 1912. No land was reserved for Arizona in the bed and banks of the Verde due to that stream's possible navigability. 56

Also in section 17 of township 16 north, range 3 east, lay a parcel granted to Willie A. Jordan in 1895. The Verde River flowed directly through this land, and Jordan's patent file made this fact clear. James Jordan, one of Willie Jordan's witnesses (and probably a relative), stated that "[the land] is on the Verde River and south or nearly south of the Fleet Ranch." Nonetheless, the

⁵⁶ Forest Lieu Patent File 264746, 1911, Santa Fe Pacific Railroad Company, Serial Land Patents, Records of the U.S. General Land Office, Record Group 49, U.S. National Archives, Washington, D.C. [LRA Box/File: 34/1]; Forest Lieu Patent 264746, Bureau of Land Management, Phoenix, Arizona [LRA Box/File: 26/26].

federal government patented this 160-acre parcel on June 24, 1895, without reserving the bed and the banks of the river Arizona.⁵⁷

Federal Patents on the Verde River in Township 17 North, Range 3 East: Going upstream on the Verde into township 17 north, range 3 east, settlement along the Verde River was more sparse. Nevertheless, there are patents in this township that further demonstrate the Verde River's non-navigability. On June 11, 1914, for example, Timothy P. Sullivan applied for a homestead patent for land lying in section 33 of this township. The Verde River ran through the southwest corner of this parcel, and the patent file underscored this point. Because the tract lay within the boundaries of the Coconino National Forest, it was surveyed by that agency's staff. The field notes of the survey were checked and approved on August 30, 1912, by the Forest Supervisor. In those notes, surveyor Thomas E. McCullough noted that at 19.10 chains, he went

[a]cross the west edge of area plowed. Twelve chains from [a point I marked] H 4 is the east bank of the Verde River. Corner H 5 is in the running wash of this Verde River and can only be located by intersection or by plane table. . . . [At five chains I went] to the edge of the east bank of the Verde River, up over the bank and thru [sic] thicket of willow and locust. . .

The plat accompanying the field notes clearly indicated that the Verde River ran directly through the tract. Sullivan's final proof also noted that "[a]ll [land] fenced except small part river rund

⁵⁷ Cash Entry Patent File 330, 1887, Serial Land Patents, Records of the U.S. General Land Office, Record Group 49, U.S. National Archives, Washington, D.C. [LRA Box/File: 34/8]; Cash Entry Patent 330, 1895, U.S. Bureau of Land Management, Phoenix, Arizona [LRA Box/File: 26/26].

thru [sic]." (Emphasis added.) Despite the fact that the river flowed directly across this land, Sullivan received homestead entry patent 793381 in 1921 without reservation of the bed and the banks of the Verde River for Arizona.⁵⁸

At the northern end of township 17 north, range 3 east, the Sycamore Mining, Smelting & Development Company filed a mining patent claim in section 7. Mineral survey 2868 of what was called the "Gold Tooth" claim was undertaken by J.J. Fisher on November 3 and 4, 1910, to determine the legal boundaries of the tract. His survey notes clearly showed that part of the border of the mineral claim had the Verde River flowing through it:

Beginning at Cor. No. 1, the S.W. Cor. of the location, identical with same, a monument of stones 2.5 ft. base, 1.5 ft. high. This Cor. being located in the channel of the Verde River, a point unsuitable for the establishment of a permanent corner, I establish a W.C. hereafter described at N. 10 30' W. 98 ft. dist., thence from true corner point I ran N. 10 30' W. [Emphasis added.]

While the survey notes demonstrated that the Verde River flowed through the tract, they also indicated the stream to be forty feet wide and eighteen inches deep.

In approving the mineral claim on February 7, 1913, the Acting District Forester observed that "[t]he Verde river runs through the claim from west to east." And, suggesting the river was not used for transportation, he added that "Verde Valley railroad is on an average of 18' to 20' higher than the river along here." Yet

⁵⁸ Homestead Entry Patent File 793381, 1914, Serial Land Patents, Records of the U.S. General Land Office, Record Group 49, U.S. National Archives, Washington, D.C. [LRA Box/File: 34/9]; Homestead Entry Patent 793381, 1921, U.S. Bureau of Land Management, Phoenix, Arizona [LRA Box/File 26/26].

despite the river's presence in the mineral claim, the U.S. patented the land in May 1913, reserving no land for Arizona. 59

Federal Patents on the Verde River in Township 18 North, Range 1 East: Moving closer to the headwaters of the Verde River, Joseph Brazil filed a homestead claim on land lying in the Tusayan National Forest in sections 20 and 29. When plotted on historical maps of the region, it is clear that the river flowed through a large portion of section 29. On July 14, 1913, District Forester Paul E. Millar submitted a report recommending favorable action on the claim. In this report, Millar wrote:

Power possibilities exist as tract crosses Verde River which flows about 1,000 miner's inches of water. . . . The S1/2 SW1/4 embraces about forty acres of rocky woodland mesa some 300 feet above the Verde River and the remainder is the precipitous rocky slope of Hells Canyon, no ground suitable for agriculture. SW1/4 SE1/4 of Section 20 embraces the precipitous rocky walls, sandy flood plain and rocky bed of the MC Canyon, no agricultural land. NW1/4 NE1/4 Section 29 embraces mouths of Hells and MC Canyons and rocky bed of Verde River. [Emphasis added.]

At the end of the report, Millar wrote that the parcel "is held to maintain a watering place on the Verde River for the cattle owned by claimant." No land was reserved for Arizona due to the presence of the Verde River. Brazil was granted 160 acres in the form of homestead patent 444072 in 1914.60

⁵⁹ Mining Entry Patent File 335072, 1910, Serial Land Patents, Records of the U.S. General Land Office, Record Group 49, U.S. National Archives, Washington, D.C. [LRA Box/File: 34/2]; Mining Entry Patent 335072, 1913, U.S. Bureau of Land Management, Phoenix, Arizona [LRA Box/File: 26/26].

⁶⁰ Homestead Entry Patent File 444072, 1913, Serial Land Patents, Records of the U.S. General Land Office, Record Group 49, (continued...)

Federal Patents on the Verde River in Township 17 North, Range 1 West: Continuing upstream, Farrall Tiernan made final proof for a cash entry patent on November 6, 1886, for land lying in section 3 of township 17 north, range 1 west. The Verde River flowed through this land. John Duke, one of Tiernan's witnesses, stated in his witness affidavit that "the Verde river runs through it [the patent], it is mountainous." (Emphasis added.) Frank Krell, another witness for Tiernan, wrote that the "Verde River runs through the center of it. There is about fifteen acres of bottom land on the river and the rest is hilly land on both sides." (Emphasis added.) Even the claimant himself, when asked, "[d]oes your claim control or include any water supply?" answered that "[i]t does. The Upper Verde River." Nonetheless, the federal government patented the land without reserving the bed and the banks of the stream for Arizona. Tiernan received the full 160 acres of land on September 28, 1894.61

THE DESERT LAND ACT OF 1877 AND ITS RELEVANCE TO THE VERDE RIVER'S NAVIGABILITY: In addition to patented lands already discussed, other parcels along the Verde River were claimed under the terms of the Desert Land Act. Passed by Congress on March 3,

^{60(...}continued)
U.S. National Archives, Washington, D.C. [LRA Box/File: 34/3];
Homestead Entry Patent 444072, 1914, U.S. Bureau of Land
Management, Phoenix, Arizona [LRA Box/File: 26/26].

⁶¹ Cash Entry Patent File 318, 1886, Serial Land Patents, Records of the U.S. General Land Office, Record Group 49, U.S. National Archives, Washington, D.C. [LRA Box/File: 34/8]; Cash Entry Patent 318, 1894, U.S. Bureau of Land Management, Phoenix, Arizona [LRA Box/File: 26/26].

1877, this law was intend to allow arid lands to be claimed in larger blocks than under other homestead acts since desert lands were less productive (from an agricultural perspective) than non-arid lands. The Desert Land Act allowed a settler to file an application for up to 640 acres. The relevance of the Desert Land Act to the question of the Verde River's navigability lies in the requirement that the desert land being claimed had to be irrigated before a final patent would be awarded. Importantly, the water used in that irrigation had to be taken from a non-navigable stream:

Provided however that the right to the use of water by the person so conducting the same, on or to any tract of desert land of six hundred and forty acres shall depend upon bona fide prior appropriation: and such right shall not exceed the amount of water actually appropriated, and necessarily used for the purpose of irrigation and reclamation: and all surplus water over and above such actual appropriation and use, together with the water of all, lakes, rivers and other sources of water supply upon the public lands and not navigable, shall remain and be held free for the appropriation and use of the public for irrigation, mining and manufacturing purposes subject to existing rights. [Emphasis added.]⁶²

There were over fifty applications for land under the Desert Land Act in lands adjacent to the Verde River, many of which cited that stream as their source of water. All of the applications were initially accepted by the U.S. General Land Office in Phoenix. The logical conclusion from these applications is that the Verde River (as the source for reclamation of these lands) must have been considered non-navigable by the applicants as well as by the

⁶² Desert Land Act, 19 U.S. Stat. 377 (1877) [LRA Box/File: 9/15].

administrators of the U.S. General Land Office. Although many of the applications were subsequently cancelled or relinquished due to failure to fulfill the Desert Land Act's requirements, the mere fact that the applications were initially accepted indicates a contemporaneous belief that the Verde River was not navigable. There is no indication the cancellations and relinquishments were due to the navigability of the Verde River.

North, Range 4 East: At least two of the desert land entries not only cited the Verde River as the source of water, but they also had the stream running through them. On December 11, 1911, Dea Mee Get applied for a desert land entry patent for land in section 18 of township 15 north, range 4 east. The Verde River not only ran through a large portion of this claim, but Get also declared that he would reclaim his land with water from the Verde River. Land Agent A.A. Wilhelm wrote a favorable report on Get's application on a March 5, 1914, which subsequently was added to Get's patent file. Wilhelm stated that "the water right consists of an appropriation from the Verde River, which is said to be perennial and it is believed the water appropriated is sufficient to permanently reclaim the entry." The Get's final proof stated:

The Verde River runs beside the land or adjoining it... The Verde River [is my source of water], I can pump upon my land about 250 gals per minute. I acquired water right under the State law on waters.

This patent file is important in many respects. First, the fact that the U.S. patented this land despite the fact that the Verde River ran through it suggests that the federal government did

not believe the stream to be navigable. No acreage was removed for the sovereign rights of Arizona to the bed and the banks. Second, the claimant declared the Verde River as the source of water, and the Desert Land Act required that water to be from a non-navigable stream. On October 29, 1914, Get was granted patent 439098 for forty full acres. 63

Just north of Get's land lay another parcel of prime land also in section 18 of township 15 north, range 4 east. On February 11, 1914, Ada D. Andrews filed a desert land application for this parcel through which the Verde River flowed. On the application, Andrews stated that the land

borders on the Verde River and that there is through or upon said land Verde River; that said land is not naturally irrigated or watered, nor overflowed at any season of the year by the foregoing or any other natural stream, spring, or other body of water; that I expect to obtain my water supply to irrigate said land from Verde River by pumping and canals and ditches. [Emphasis added.]

Almost four years later, Andrews stated on the final proof that "Verde River passes through land," and witnesses concurred.

In January, 1919, the U.S. General Land Office investigated the application, and a special agent submitted a report recommending that the patent be issued. In the report, the agent wrote that

[t]he Verde River passes through said described lands. The 13-acre tract is on the south side of the

⁶³ Desert Land Entry Patent File 439098, 1911, Serial Land Patents, Records of the U.S. General Land Office, Record Group 49, U.S. National Archives, Washington, D.C. [LRA Box/File: 34/3]; Desert Land Patent 439098, 1914, U.S. Bureau of Land Management, Phoenix, Arizona [LRA Box/File: 26/26].

river. . . . [The main ditch] has been constructed to irrigate all the irrigable land south of the river. The ditch in question has never been used, but Andrews advised me that he intended to remove his engine and pump and irrigate all irrigable land in the approximately three forties on the south side of the river. [Emphasis added.]

Despite the obvious fact that the Verde River flowed through this claim, the U.S. nonetheless patented the land to Andrews without reserving the bed and the banks for Arizona. Even more telling, however, was the fact that the Verde River was stated to be the source of water to reclaim this tract -- a statement that only would have been possible had the stream been considered non-navigable.⁶⁴

The history of Desert Land Act entries along the Verde Rive supports the evidence from homestead and cash entry patents that the Verde River was not considered navigable by contemporaneous observers. No mention was made in the Desert Land Act applications of reserving the bed and the banks of the Verde River for Arizona due to the sovereign rights of the state for those parcels overlying the river. Moreover, the fact that over fifty desert land entries cited the stream as a source of water indicates that many individuals thought the stream not to be navigable. In fact, the evidence indicates that all contemporaneous observers considered the Verde to be non-navigable.

⁶⁴ Desert Land Entry Patent File 717758, 1914, Serial Land Patents, Records of the U.S. General Land Office, Record Group 49, U.S. National Archives, Washington, D.C. [LRA Box/File: 34/5]; Desert Land Patent 717758, 1919, U.S. Bureau of Land Management, Phoenix, Arizona [LRA Box/File: 26/26].

FEDERAL LAND GRANTS TO ARIZONA: Arizona, like other public domain states, obtained land by Congressional grants to support certain public interest objectives prior to and following Historically, such grants to new states had started statehood. with Ohio's admission to the Union in 1802, although over the years the types and sizes of the grants varied from state to state. Grants to Arizona covered a variety of purposes. For example, prior to statehood, Congress reserved for Arizona all sections 16 and 36 for the purpose of supporting public schools. At statehood, sections 2 and 32 were added (also for schools), with all four sections totaling 8,093,156 acres throughout the state. addition to this land, 1,446,000 more acres were given to Arizona internal improvement, swamp, saline, instead of the agricultural college grants provided to earlier states. Moreover, an additional one million acres were granted to Arizona to pay for bonds issued by certain counties.

Aside from sovereign lands (which were determined navigability and not by an act of Congress) and lands in sections 2, 16, 32, and 36, Arizona was allowed considerable leeway in selecting the other federally granted lands. In addition, Arizona had flexibility in selecting "in-lieu" or indemnity acreage if the state), mineral lands (which were denied to reservations, or other conflicting claims overlay any section 2, 16, 32, or 36. Likewise, if a navigable body of water overlay any of these four sections, the state could take lands elsewhere equal in size to the total area of the bed of the body of water.

Significantly, Arizona made no in-lieu selections to compensate for the area covered by the Verde River's bed in sections 2, 16, 32, and 36 or in other federal lands granted to the state where they overlay the Verde.

There are several places along the Verde River where sections 2, 16, 32, or 36 have the stream running through them. One example is in township 16 north, range 3 east, which has the Verde flowing through the northwestern corner of section 16. Arizona could have selected "in-lieu" lands to replace those covered by the Verde due to a "double" claim, if in fact the river had been considered such lands were selected. navigable. No however, thus demonstrating that in relation to this section state officials did not consider the stream to be navigable. Comparable circumstances apply to the other sections 2, 16, 32, or 36 through which the Verde River flowed.

STATE DISPOSITION OF FEDERALLY-GRANTED LANDS: In the years following statehood in 1912, Arizona's officials confronted the daunting task of disposing of millions of acres given to the state by Congress for various purposes. To do this, the Arizona State Legislature created an initial version of the Public Land Code in a special 1915 session, which laid out the manner in which the state would dispose of its public land. The basic procedure established by the code was to advertise the proposed sale of state land for at least ten consecutive weeks in a newspaper regularly circulated in Phoenix, send an appraiser to the land to make a report and set a minimum price, and then sell the land to the


highest bidder. The purchaser would receive a certificate of purchase, indicating his or her promise to pay any balance in addition to state taxes. Once full payment had been received, an Arizona state patent was issued.


This section of the report will demonstrate that Arizona officials did not consider the Verde River to be navigable when granting title to parcels through which the stream flowed to private parties. The discussion centers around the land in section 12 of township 15 north, range 3 east, which is the only area where Arizona granted patents to lands through which the Verde ran. (For the location of state patents discussed here, see Exhibit 7 in the pocket at the back of this report.) Information about state patents is derived from the state patents themselves and related state patent files at the Arizona State Land Department.


State Patents in Township 15 North, Range 3 East: It is unclear why the state of Arizona obtained the lands located in the southwest quarter of section 12 of township 15 north, range 3 east, since that land was originally patented by the federal government to James W. Anderson (see page 67 above). However, it is clear that once the state received the lands, it subsequently sold them to private parties. The three state patents which touch the Verde River in section 12 (53654, 6938, 7323) were all sold to Verde Valley Manor Retirement Center at various dates during the 1980s. There is no indication that the state attempted to retain any portion of the lands due to their location in the bed of the Verde River (see page 87 below for the state plat of this section).

CONCLUSIONS REGARDING FEDERAL LAND PATENTS TO PRIVATE PARTIES. GRANTS TO THE STATE OF ARIZONA, AND STATE PATENTS: In conclusion, the federal government granted over one hundred separate patents that touched or overlay the Verde River to private individuals. not one case did any of these patents or the supporting patent files indicate that acreage was being withheld due to possible ownership of the bed of the Verde by the state of Arizona. In each case where patents were applied for, several parties expressed implicit opinions on the navigability of the Verde through the request for and award of lands through which the river flowed. These included the patentee, his witnesses, and officials of the U.S. General Land Office. It is significant that cumulatively, literally hundreds of people made judgments concerning the Verde River's navigability in this manner opinions spread chronologically in many years, throughout different seasons, and over a large geographic area.

The patents issued by the state to private parties for land through which the river ran provided another perspective. If the state believed it owned the bed and banks of the river, it certainly would have considered the stream's navigability in disposing of those lands. Collectively, therefore, federal patents, Congressional grants to Arizona, and state patents strongly suggest that both federal and state officials did not perceive the Verde River to be navigable.








TOWNSHIP 14 NORTH RANGE 4 EAST OF THE GILA AND SALT RIVER MERIDIAN, ARIZONA STATUS OF PUBLIC DOMAIN LAND AND MINERAL TITLES AND ACQUIRED LANDS YAVAPAI COUNTY 4034 2 40.24 1 40.34 4 40.31 3 40.15 2 39.96 5 41.75 4 39.66 3 39.59 2 39.51 1 39.44 8 41.38 7 41.41 6 43.47 5 43.11 4 40.21 3 40.15 2 40.09 1 40.03 4 40.04 5 38.00 10 43.16 11 42.66 INDEX TO SEGREGATED TRACTS 6 38.13 10 40.78 9 32.73 18 24.87 58 12/27/1877 ORIGINAL BURYEY 13 42.31 12 41,99 AR 026538 /PL 187 # 53.25 TE 20.17 5 42.28 PHX 07808R WD Recon All Min Proc 1837 Will Prescott H.E. 508849 0/6 3,40.23 + 42.36 T 32.34 1106577 845 D/C 0/C FOR ORDERS EFFECTING DISPOSAL OR USE OF UNIDENTIFIED LANDS WITHDRAWN FOR CLASSIFICATION. 8 37.68 MINERALS, WATER AND/OR OTHER PUBLIC PURPOSES, 19 43.81 10 40.28 11 40.28 REPER TO INDEX OF MISCELLANEOUS DOCUMENTS 10 37 76 4 29.56 3 29.56 2 29.56 1 29.56 4 29.17 3 27.94 2 26.47 AZA 23038 QCD from US 40.00 8 40.00 7 40.00 8 40.00 5 40.00 6 40.00 7 40.00 8 49.06 300 14 Lat 6 1 33.56 38.92 + 40.00 ____ 2 33.50 17 16 Fig 49.28 3 33 56 4 33.60 E 22.00 22 21 20 3 3360 7 40.61T 33.60 8 39.70 1 33.58 7 39.70 8 36.18 1 2 19.98 ž 33.52 3 23.64 28 29 3 33.4 4 33 42 2 33.53 33 31 32 3 33.6 AR CITEZI PL 167 CUMMENT TO 4 33.70 Gemp Verde Militery Reservation (Abandoned) Wal Horsheyn AZ Visitor SCALE T. 14H R. 4E 10 5 0 10 20 30 60 30 enems to the inch

TOWNSHIP 14 NORTH RANGE 4 EAST OF THE GILA AND SALT RIVER MERIDIAN, ARIZONA

.					SUBD	IVIS	ION				T			AND SALT RIVER MERIDIAN, ARIZONA
SECTION	NE	½	NW 1/4	sw 1/4	SE !		LOTS	OTHER DESCRIPTION	ACRES	KIND OF ENTRY	SERIAL FILE	DATE OF ACTION	DATE POSTED	- REMARKS -
*				NE NWSW SE	8			1		PURPOSE OF ORDER	ONDER NUMBER	1	I	E. G. DATE CLOSED, TERMINATED, REJECTED OR RESCINDED
7					111111	W SE	TON ONDERS	LIFECTING DISPOSAL ON C	AE OF UNIDEN	TIPIED LANDS WITHDRAWN FOR CLASS	SFICATION, MINERALS	, WATER, AND/OR	OTHER PUBL	IC PURPOSES, AND INDIAN TREATIES, REFER TO INDEX OF MISCELLANEOUS DOCUMENTS
,		_	- - - -	\Box		+1		Hee Mee						
,					i	+		We.						
		77			 	††								
						11		HSR HSR	<u> </u>					
						$\dagger \dagger$	······································	Me's:				 		
1		11				\Box						-	·	
1		11	1111			+	······································	P89; 39 GE		EO Wdi, Camp Verde Mil, Res.		3/30/1870		Enigmt. E0 9/17/1876; Rest. E0 10/2/1890
7						††	·	MSD; See Remerks		EO Wdl. Add. to Comp Verde Hil.				
1	11				┞┼┼	$\dagger \dagger$				Res.	<u> </u>	10/24/1891		Rarden Tract
7	11	71			HH	††		MSB; See Remerks		O. Wdl. Comp Verde Ind. Res.	<u> </u>	11/9/1871		Land adjoining MW side of Camp Varda Hil, Res, for 10 miles on both sides of the
1	11	77	<u> </u>		┝╁╁	╁╂						<u> </u>		Verde River to old wagon road to Hew Mexico; I5N IE; Rest. E0 4/23/1875
1	77	11				††		M89; 15H 16		EO Pest. Camp Verde Ind. Res.	<u> </u>			
T	71	11	1111			\Box				0. 11/9/1871	_	4/23/1875		
T	11	11	 					#8B			<u> </u>		***************************************	
Ť		††				$\dagger \dagger$		M&B M&B						
T	11	†† †				††	3	Men					<u></u>	
T		††	<u> </u>	1111	++	╁╂		i	·	·				
T	$\top \!$	††	† 	╅	++	╁╁	1							
	77	11			11	††		MeB						
T		11	 	<u> </u>		$\dag \dag$		A11						
l,	.††	†_f -	 	++++	+	╁╂		Men						
Ė	77	† î -	 	<u>╶┼╶┼╌╂</u>	++-	H	1,2,3,4					<u> </u>		
r	11	┼ ╂	 	++++	++-	╁			•					<u> </u>
r	11	11	 	╅╂	┪	┼╂		H67: 134 4E		50 Enigmt, Camp Verde Hil. Res.		8/17/1876		Rev. 7/22/1884; Pest. E0 10/2/1890
r	††	† †	╀┼┼┼	- - - * 	- 	 × 								R
-	11	† - †-	┼┼┼╂			╂			160.00	hĒ	P 143	5/17/1881		Pal E/1/1992
H	 	† † -	╀┼┼	×	+	┼╂								<u></u>
┢	1	┼ ॅऻ	╂┼┼╂	╅╅	┸	$\vdash \vdash$			120.00	ИЕ	P 195	11/1/1882		Rel. 9/12/1983
	11	╁╁	* * * *	*		╟╂			160,00	HE	P 205	3/28/1883		Rel. 3/23/1888
┢	++-	11	╂┼┼╂	╁┼┼┼		┟┼²	2,3,4							
	††	╁┼	┞┼┼╂	+	+++	4		15h 45, 19t 45	161.74	DLE	P 13	6/14/1884		Rel. 2/10/1891
	+	-	├ ┼┼┼	╂	╅┼┤	+		P\$B		EO Rev. Wdl. Camp Verde Hil. Res.				
	 -	╢	┠┼┼╂	╂┼┼┼	++					EO 3/30/1870, 10/24/1871,				
-	╁╌┼╌	╌╂╌	┞╏	╁╁┼╂	╂╂┪	Ļ			·	8/17/1876		7/22/1884		
	++-	╁		╂═╂═╂	╅	- 			118.65	HE Pat,	60 -	9/3/188/i		
	╁╬┼╌				+++	- -								
	+	-1		╂	 * * 				170.23	C: Pat.	1/4/4	12/5/1884		Wb to 11.3. 5/10/1915
	+			╂╌╂╌╂	╂╌╂╌┦	× _								
×			┝╫╫	╁╁╁╂		_			20.00	CE Pat.	148	12/5/1884		WD to 11.5. 7/6/1915
			┝╌┼╌╂╌	 	<u> </u>	<u>×</u> _								NO CO 11.5. //0/1915
		-H		×	+ + +				160,00	CE Pat.	149	12/5/1324		
	-		- × × ×	<u> </u>	4-4-4	_	· · ·			C5 Pat.		12/5/12/4		
-			<u> </u>	 	111	<u> </u> :				IE Pat.		12/30/1374		
	┾╂┥		<u> </u>		××					CE Pat.		7/27/1885		
-		- -ĕ	×××	+++	$\downarrow \downarrow \downarrow$	\perp			150.00			11/18/1885		
_	- -			x x x	×					TS		11/18/1885		Cane, 8/20/1890
×	××	×	$+ \downarrow \downarrow$	$\bot \bot \bot \bot$	111					Τ¢				Canc. 7/19/1889
	 			x x						нє		11/18/1885		Canc. 7/19/1889
_	$\vdash \vdash \vdash$	44			\coprod				1	CE Pat.		2/25/1887		tel. 11/18/1895
_	_ _	$\perp \downarrow$		\coprod							237	12/16/1889	 	
_	$\sqcup \sqcup$			Ш		\int								
- 1	1 I I					T		······································						



CHAPTER 3: U.S. GOVERNMENT HISTORICAL RECORDS -- REPORTS AND OTHER DOCUMENTS

Although U.S. government survey records and documents relating federal and state patents are crucial to understanding perceptions of the Verde River prior to and in 1912, other U.S. government records -- both published and unpublished -- provide a wealth of supplemental information concerning that stream. Two of the most important federal agencies concerned with the region were the U.S. Geological Survey and the U.S. Reclamation Service (today, the Bureau of Reclamation). Both of these Department of the Interior agencies were heavily involved in the development of water resources in the American West in the late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries, and their records paint vivid pictures of the Verde River before and at the time of Arizona statehood. Aside from the Geological Survey and the Reclamation Service, another federal agency whose records reveal the nature of the Verde River is the U.S. Indian Service (now, the Bureau of Indian Affairs). This agency was responsible for the Salt River Indian Reservation and the Camp McDowell Indian Reservation (later incorporated into the Salt River Indian Reservation). The Verde River flowed through both reservations, and thus there is a considerable body of documentation concerning the river and its impact on Indian life available in Indian Service records.

Because of the importance of the records of the Geological Survey, the Reclamation Service, and the Indian Service, the documents they created will be discussed in detail in this report. There were, however, other federal agencies whose responsibilities brought them into contact with the Verde River. For example, the files of the Secretary of the Interior (the "parent" to the Geological Survey, Reclamation Service, and Indian Service) also contain descriptions of the Verde River. Although Secretary of the Interior records were thoroughly reviewed for this report, the characterizations of the Verde River in those documents essentially duplicate those of the Geological Survey, the Reclamation Service, and the Indian Service. Therefore, to avoid needless repetition, only the latter three agencies' papers will be reviewed here. That discussion will cover representative examples of thousands of pages of documents all substantiating that the Verde River was never viewed as a reliable means of commercial navigation.

RECORDS OF THE U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY: The U.S. Geological Survey and its predecessor agencies had started recording commentary concerning the West's resources as early as the 1870s.

The Wheeler Survey: For example, in 1872 George M. Wheeler was sent to obtain topographical information on Arizona and Nevada and to assess the region's resources, climate, and other qualities which might affect homesteaders. (Although this study of the West was conducted under the direction of the U.S. Army prior to the creation of the U.S. Geological Survey in 1879, Wheeler's records are considered part of the those of the Geological Survey's predecessor agencies.)

Following his exploration of the region, Wheeler submitted a report to Congress which contained a daily record of the journey as

well as descriptions of various subjects. In the diary, Wheeler mentioned several streams in Arizona, including the Verde, Gila, and Salt. None of these, however, were described as being navigable, although navigability was certainly a characteristic Wheeler would have commented on given his discussion of the Colorado River. Under a section entitled "Means of Communication," Wheeler noted that navigation on the Colorado had gone upriver as high as Camp Mohave. Yet Wheeler was pessimistic about reliable river transport anywhere in the West, even including on the Colorado:

One of the urgent wants felt in the promotion of our mining industry is that of increased and cheapened inland transportation. River transportation upon our western coast is, to a great extent, a failure, as beyond the Columbia and Colorado Rivers, that furnish somewhat irregular avenues of connection with the interior, no streams of considerable magnitude exist; river transportation, even in this very American age, loses its great power when pitted against railroads. 65

U.S. Geological Survey Annual Reports: Following the Wheeler Survey, the U.S. Geological Survey became more directly involved in examining water resources in the West. In 1888, the Geological Survey's director, John Wesley Powell, began what became known as the "Powell Irrigation Survey." Essentially a study of which arid lands in the West might be reclaimed by storing and diverting water from the region's streams, Powell's work led to increasingly

⁶⁵ George M. Wheeler, Report on Exploration of the Public Domain in Nevada and Arizona, House Ex. Doc. 65, 42nd Cong., 2 sess. (Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1872), pp. 17-19, 53 [LRA Box/File: 8/18].

frequent commentary in the Geological Survey's records regarding water resources throughout the western part of the United States.

The yearly reports drafted by the Geological Survey contain detailed information on many streams in the West, including the Verde River. For example, part II of the Eleventh Annual Report of the United States Geological Survey to the Secretary of the Interior, 1889-1890 included a section devoted solely to streams in the entire Gila Basin, which includes the Verde. John Wesley Powell, who wrote the report, noted the characteristics of the Gila and its tributaries:

In this basin are found rivers most difficult and dangerous to examine and control, differing in character and habit from those of the north as widely as in geographic position. In place of the regularly recurring annual floods of spring and early summer, so strongly marked on the discharge diagrams of other basins, these rivers [of the Gila Basin] show conditions almost the reverse, being at that season at their very lowest stages -- even dry -- and rising in sudden floods at the beginning of and during the winter. These floods are of the most destructive and violent character; the rate at which the water rises and increases in amount is astonishingly rapid, although the volume is not always very great. . . . From this it will be recognized that the onset of such a flood is terrific. Coming without warning, it catches up logs and bowlders [sic] in the bed, undermines the banks, and, tearing out trees and cutting sand-bars, is loaded with this mass of sand, gravel, and driftwood -- most formidable weapons for destruction.66

Powell made no exception to this description, and thus the characterization of the basin as a whole presumably applied to the Verde River as well as all other tributaries of the Gila. Such

Eleventh Annual Report of the United States Geological Survey to the Secretary of the Interior, 1889-1890, Part II-Irrigation (Washington D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1891), p. 58 [LRA Box/File: 9/9].

violent fluctuations in flow, carrying with them such destructive debris, would be an anathema to navigation. Furthermore, such variations would provide an unreliable channel for the purposes of commerce or for recreation.

The <u>Twelfth Annual Report of the United States Geological</u>

<u>Survey reiterated the nature of Gila Basin streams</u>, only this time they were specifically listed by name. Describing the Verde, Salt, Hassayampa, San Pedro, and Agua Fria as sources of potential irrigation water, the report stated that:

water is derived from the Gila River and its tributaries by means of canals and ditches, which distribute it to the fields of each farmer. These streams fluctuate greatly, being at times subject to sudden floods, especially during summer rains, when they often sweep out bridges, dams, and canal head words, while at other times they may diminish until the water almost disappears.⁶⁷

The dramatic fluctuation that typified the entire Gila Basin was more specifically noted in the <u>Eighteenth Annual Report of the United States Geological Survey to the Secretary of the Interior.</u>

1896-97. This volume carried a table showing the Verde River's monthly discharge at its mouth. According to the table, in January 1895 the maximum discharge had been 33,000 cubic feet per second, while the minimum had been only 527 cubic feet per second. 68

Twelfth Annual Report of the United States Geological Survey to the Secretary of the Interior, 1890-91, Part II-Irrigation (Washington D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1891), p. 292 [LRA Box/File: 9/9].

Eighteenth Annual Report of the United States Geological Survey to the Secretary of the Interior, 1896-97, Part IV-Hydrography (Washington D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1897), p. 297 [LRA Box/File: 9/9].

The <u>Nineteenth Annual Report of the United States Geological</u>
Survey to the <u>Secretary of the Interior</u>, 1897-98 further confirmed the agency's determination that the Verde River was highly erratic in flows. The author of the report wrote that the bed of the Verde at McDowell station, approximately a half mile above the stream's mouth:

is similar to the one of Gila River -- sandy and liable to change during a slight rise. . . . The bed of the river evidently changed during the last freshet, scouring out [the channel]. . . . The river fluctuated in this channel until August 23.69

U.S. Geological Survey Water Supply Papers: Aside from its annual reports, the U.S. Geological Survey also published a series of research treatises known as "Water Supply Papers." While these studies dealt with specific topics and geographic areas, some examined subjects which shed light on the nature of the Verde River prior to or at the time of Arizona's statehood. The Water Supply Papers further confirm the undependable and unpredictable nature of the stream. A number of these studies detailed the widely fluctuating flow of the Verde through tables. These indicated that at some times of year the stream had less than 100 cubic feet per second in it, while at other times flows could exceed 100,000 cubic feet per second. 70

Nineteenth Annual Report of the United States Geological Survey to the Secretary of the Interior, 1897-98, Part IV-Hydrography (Washington D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1899) [LRA Box/File: 9/9].

⁷⁰ Arthur P. Davis, <u>Surface Water Supply of the U.S.-Colorado River Basin</u>, U.S. Geological Survey Water Supply Paper No. 66 (Washington D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1902) [LRA (continued...)

In addition to detailing the unpredictable flows of the Verde in tables, the U.S. Geological Survey's Water Supply Papers also offered textual descriptions of the stream's characteristics. For instance, Frederick H. Newell, reporting in 1902 in Water Supply Paper No. 85, observed that the "channel [of the Verde River] is similar to that of Salt River -- sandy and liable to change during a slight rise." The following year, in Water Supply Paper No. 73, Arthur Powell Davis offered a more detailed picture of the Verde River:

Verde River drains the central portion of Arizona, and Upper Salt River the east central portion. Both streams are more or less torrential in character, the combined flow dwindling at times to about 100 cubic feet per second, and at other times reaching a volume more than

^{70(...}continued) Box/File: 26/26]; Arthur P. Davis, Water Storage On Salt River, Arizona, U.S. Geological Survey Water Supply Paper No. 73 (Washington D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1903) [LRA Box/File: 8/16]; Frederick H. Newell, Surface Water Supply of the <u>U.S.-Colorado River Basin</u>, U.S. Geological Survey Water Supply Paper No. 75 (Washington D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1903) [LRA Box/File: 26/26]; John C. Hoyt, Report of Progress of Stream Measurements for the Calendar Year 1903, Part IV. Interior Basin, Pacific, and Hudson Bay Drainage, U.S. Geological Survey Water Supply Paper No. 100 (Washington D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1904) [LRA Box/File: 10/27]; R.I. Meeker, et al., Surface Water Supply of Colorado River Drainage Above Yuma, U.S. Geological Survey Water Supply Paper No. 211 (Washington D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1908) [LRA Box/File: 10/27]; W.B. Freeman, et al., Surface Water Supply of the U.S. 1907-8, Part IX. Colorado River Basin, U.S. Geological Survey Water Supply Paper No. 249 (Washington D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1910) [LRA Box/File: 10/27]; W.B. Freeman, et al., Surface Water Supply of the U.S. 1909, Part IX. Colorado River Basin, U.S. Geological Survey Water Supply Paper No. 269 (Washington D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1911) [LRA Box/File: 10/27].

⁷¹ Frederick H. Newell, <u>Surface Water Supply of the U.S.-Colorado River Basin</u>, U.S. Geological Survey Water Supply Paper No. 85 (Washington D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1903), p. 21 [LRA Box/File: 26/26].

one hundred times as great. . . . [T]he greater portion of the basin, however, is of a mountainous character, being cut with profound canyons and dotted by rugged mountains. 72

The following year, John C. Hoyt added further detail about the nature of the Verde River in Water Supply Paper No. 100. Commenting on the nature of the stream at Camp McDowell, Hoyt noted that:

at low water the channel is oblique to the gaging section and measurements are made by wading at a point 400 feet above the cable. The channel is straight for a distance of 300 feet above and below the station, and has a width at low water of 100 feet and at high water of 450 feet. The current is swift. The right bank is high, rocky, clean, and is not subject to overflow; the left bank is low, clean, and is subject to overflow. The bed of the stream is composed of sand and is shifting.⁷³

In 1911, Water Supply Paper No. 269 confirmed that the bed of the Verde at Camp McDowell was "sandy and shifting." And, in commentary revealing the irregular nature of the stream's flows, the Water Supply Paper added that:

[f]rom June 11 to July 20, excepting July 6, measurements were made about 500 feet above cable by wading. Water too shallow at cable to make an accurate measurement.

⁷² Arthur P. Davis, <u>Water Storage On Salt River, Arizona</u>, U.S. Geological Survey Water Supply Paper No. 73 (Washington D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1903), pp. 9, 13 [LRA Box/File: 8/16].

Measurements for the Calendar Year 1903, Part IV. Interior Basin, Pacific, and Hudson Bay Drainage, U.S. Geological Survey Water Supply Paper No. 100 (Washington D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1904), pp. 31-36 [LRA Box/File: 10/27].

⁷⁴ W.B. Freeman, et al., <u>Surface Water Supply of the U.S. 1909</u>, <u>Part IX. Colorado River Basin</u>, U.S. Geological Survey Water Supply Paper No. 269 (Washington D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1911), p. 230 [LRA Box/File: 10/27].

Beginning July 23, measurements were resumed from the cable. 75

Additionally, Water Supply Paper No. 329 reported that in the year of Arizona's statehood, records measuring the Verde's flow had been made at three gaging stations, one near Camp Verde (at Camp Childs), one actually at Camp Verde, one downstream at Camp McDowell. At the first two stations, the channel was recorded as being mostly of sand and clay, and of a shifting nature. The station near Camp Verde, however, recorded that the channel "appears fairly permanent." Nevertheless, indicating the river's shallow nature, even at the Camp Childs measuring station some of the measurements had to be taken by wading the stream (as they also had been done at the other stations at times). 76

Unpublished Records of the U.S. Geological Survey: Aside from the published reports and Water Supply Papers created by the Geological Survey, the agency also generated other documents shedding light on the nature of the Verde River prior to and about the time of Arizona's statehood.

The unpublished records of George M. Wheeler that led to his published report to Congress in 1872 (see page 89 above) provide yet more information about the nature of the Verde River prior to

Part IX. Colorado River Basin, U.S. Geological Survey Water Supply Paper No. 269 (Washington D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1911), p. 231 [LRA Box/File: 10/27].

⁷⁶ Robert Follansbee, et al., <u>Surface Water Supply of the U.S.</u>, 1912-Part IX. Colorado River Basin, U.S. Geological Survey Water Supply Paper No. 329 (Washington D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1914), with quotation at p. 227 [LRA Box/File: 18/9].

Arizona's statehood in 1912. Wheeler's draft "Progress Report Upon Geographical and Geological Explorations and Surveys West of the 100th Meridian in 1872" observed that:

[t]here are three streams whose navigability gives them more or less importance as commercial lines, namely: the Columbia, the Sacramento, and the Colorado rivers. [Wheeler had reduced the number of navigable streams to two in his final report to Congress -- see above at page 90.] The limit of navigation of these streams for freight carrying vessels, has already been determined and from it, is deduced the conclusive fact that except for their advantages as an assistance to local interior traffic, and as the possible adjunct to trans-continental routes, that the standard for their usefulness has been fixed: which usefulness is governed by the rates of increase of commerce from the ports at their mouths to and from the head of navigation in each case.⁷⁷

Aside from the Wheeler Survey documents, other U.S. Geological Survey unpublished records also illustrate the nature of the Verde River. One particularly revealing unpublished report prepared for the Geological Survey was undertaken by E.C. Murphy to determine potential hydroelectric power sites within Arizona. Although written shortly after Arizona became a state, Murphy's report was based on data accumulated for many years prior to statehood, and it had been done to conform with provisions of the 1910 enabling act allowing Arizona to take steps to join the Union. That law, however, also prevented the future new state from selecting parcels valuable as hydroelectric power sites as part of acreage granted to Arizona by Congress. Murphy's report was the result of an

⁷⁷ George M. Wheeler, "Progress Report upon Geographical and Geological Explorations and Surveys West of the 100th Meridian in 1872," p. 256, Report on Wheeler Survey in 1872, Box 1, Entry 20, Record Group 57, Records of the U.S. Geological Survey, U.S. National Archives II, College Park, Maryland [LRA Box/File: 18/15].

investigation to locate those hydroelectric power sites so the United States could retain them. 78

Murphy's report was divided into three parts. These were an introduction, a section dealing with the Gila River, and a section covering the Salt River and its tributaries. Murphy noted that obtaining data on runoff on various streams was very difficult, but he nonetheless had been able to utilize some of the records of the Reclamation Service, the Arizona State Land Office, the Forest Service, and the Indian Irrigation Service. He also had consulted with county surveyors and engineers, and had visited many locations on horseback, on foot, or by wagon.

Regarding the Verde River, Murphy wrote that there was a long set of runoff data at Camp McDowell covering 1889-1914. The data, Murphy noted, indicated that there was an extreme variation in the Verde's flow on a monthly basis, with the highest rate of flow taking place in March (with a twenty-six-year average of 121,600 acre-feet) to a low in June (with an average of 8,700 acre-feet). Murphy observed that the "great variation in the annual run-off of streams in the Southwest is well illustrated" by these figures. 79

Further indicating the erratic nature of the Verde was Murphy's discussion of the impact of silt on hydroelectric power

⁷⁸ Each main part to Murphy's report was re-paginated beginning with page one. Therefore, all citations to his report will include the section as well as page number. See E.C. Murphy, "Water Power Utilization in Arizona," April 1915, Introduction, pp. 4-5, Salt River Project Archives, Phoenix, Arizona [LRA Box/File: 6/4].

⁷⁹ E.C. Murphy, "Water Power Utilization in Arizona," April 1915, Introduction, p. 13, Salt River Project Archives, Phoenix, Arizona [LRA Box/File: 6/4].

possibilities. In this context, he commented that "only a comparatively small part of the run-off can be utilized for power on account of the floods and long dry periods -- one-fifteenth to one-fifth in the case of the Verde River[.]"80

RECORDS OF THE U.S. RECLAMATION SERVICE: Following Congress's enactment of the 1902 Reclamation Act, many of the water resource duties formerly carried out by the hydrographic branch of the U.S. Geological Survey were transferred to the young U.S. Reclamation Service. Under the terms of the Reclamation Act, the new agency also was charged with the responsibility of selecting reservoir locations throughout the American West and constructing dams and irrigation canals at those sites. It was under this latter mandate that the agency planned the Salt River Project, including the construction of Roosevelt Dam and Granite Reef Dam on the Salt River. While these structures were on the Salt, part of the conception for the project, however, included utilizing the flows of the Verde River. In addition, the Reclamation Service undertook separate studies to determine the feasibility of building storage facilities on the Verde River. Since much of the work on the Salt River Project as well as the investigations of the Verde took place in the decade preceding Arizona's statehood, the Reclamation Service's records are extremely useful for determining the nature of the Verde River around that time.

⁸⁰ E.C. Murphy, "Water Power Utilization in Arizona," April 1915, Introduction, p. 17, Salt River Project Archives, Phoenix, Arizona [LRA Box/File: 6/4].

U.S. Reclamation Service Annual Reports: Like the Geological Survey, the Reclamation Service issued annual reports describing its activities, and these contain valuable descriptions of the Verde River.

Understandably, with Roosevelt Dam planned to be the principal storage facility for the Salt River Project and with the project itself to be located in the Salt River Valley, most of the annual reports' discussions of Arizona's streams focussed on those areas. Nevertheless, the <u>First Annual Report of the Reclamation Service</u>, from June 17 to December 1, 1902 commented on the nature of the Verde in the context of that stream being a tributary to the Gila:

The sources from which water may be obtained for reclamation of the arid lands in Arizona are, taken as a whole, the most erratic or irregular in the entire country. There are comparatively few rivers which flow throughout the year.⁸¹

The Third Annual Report of the Reclamation Service, 1903-4 provided greater detail about planning for the Verde River and the nature of that stream. Noting that that stream was much "fresher" and that "the flow in the river is but slightly less" than the Salt, the report pointed out that two possible dam locations had been investigated on the Verde. These were the Horseshoe and the McDowell sites, and the report added that more investigations and estimates were underway to determine which location was the most practicable. Nevertheless, the report gave no indication that

First Annual Report of the Reclamation Service, from June 17 to December 1, 1902 (Washington D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1903), p. 76 [LRA Box/File: 9/1].

either of these proposed structures might impede navigation on the Verde River.82

Unpublished Records of the U.S. Reclamation Service: Like the annual reports of the U.S. Reclamation Service, the agency's unpublished documents further depicted the Verde River as highly unpredictable and not useful for commercial navigation. While these files contain many documents describing the Verde River and proposals for dams on that stream -- none of which indicate that the river was a reliable means of navigation -- representative examples are provided here.

Early controversy over the possible construction of Horseshoe Reservoir was especially revealing in relation to the navigability or non-navigability of the Verde River. In a 1911 letter, Reclamation Service Director Frederick H. Newell wrote to engineer Louis C. Hill that if the Service continued with plans for Horseshoe Reservoir, it would likely cause controversy over which lands were to be included in the Salt River Project due to the larger storage Horseshoe Reservoir would provide. In his letter to Hill, Newell did not indicate that any objections might arise to building the storage facility due to any possible detrimental impact on the commercial navigability of the river. He did, however, explain his concern about a movement among Salt River Project water users to seek an extension of time to repay

⁸² Third Annual Report of the Reclamation Service, 1903-4, (Washington D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1905), p. 155 [LRA Box/File: 9/1].

construction costs and how he thought that issue related to when Horseshoe Reservoir might be built. As Newell explained to Hill:

We have already brought under irrigation a greater part of the land [in the Salt River Valley], but I understand that it will be necessary in the near future to exclude certain good lands and to definitely indicate which areas are to be irrigated, and which are to be thrown out. The doing of this will be difficult and disagreeable, will create many disappointments and arouse much antagonism; nevertheless it must be done. The people whose lands are excluded from irrigation will naturally turn at once to the question of an additional supply from the Horseshoe reservoir, and in my opinion, they should have fully in mind the fact that this will not be built until substantial repayments have been made by the lands irrigated. It seems to me wise to consider the making of tentative plans for the Horseshoe reservoir, with preliminary estimates of probable costs, and at the same shut down all extension of the work until substantial repayments have been made.83

Horseshoe Reservoir continued to be controversial for many years, including those following Arizona's statehood in 1912. A large number of the documents chronicling the debate over the reservoir, although created after 1912, continued to shed light on whether the Verde was navigable prior to that time. For example, on March 13, 1914, Reclamation Service officials held a public meeting in Phoenix with water users under the Salt River Project to discuss additional ways to develop more water for use in the Salt River Valley. Topics proposed included pumping and the construction of a reservoir on the Verde River in Paradise Valley. Four days after the meeting, Reclamation Service officials wrote to

⁸³ F.H. Newell to L.C. Hill, Nov. 25, 1911, "Salt River Project, Consulting Engineer Reports, January 1, 1907 - December 31, 1912," General Administrative and Project Records, 1902-1919, Entry 3, Records of the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation, Record Group 115, U.S. National Archives-Rocky Mountain Region, Denver, Colorado [LRA Box/File: 11/8].

the Secretary of the Interior detailing the outcome of the meeting, and the letter noted that there had been concern on the part of Paradise Valley residents regarding the proposed Verde River reservoir that its purpose was to increase acreage within the Salt River Project at the expense of available water supplies from the Verde River for the Paradise Valley. The Reclamation Service letter pointed out that the agency intended to print a public announcement that the water to be stored at the proposed Verde reservoir was only to supply 20,000 acres already being served under the Salt River Project that could not obtain adequate water from existing project sources. While the Reclamation Service letter clearly addressed misapprehensions by Paradise Valley water users that their water might be taken to irrigate large new areas of the Salt Valley, the letter contained no indication that there had been any opposition by navigation interests in the Verde Valley to the proposed reservoir during the many years the reservoir had been under consideration.84

Less than a month after the public hearing on ways to increase the Salt River Project's water supplies, Reclamation Service official F.W. Hanna responded to a letter he had received from G.F. Hart, president of the Paradise-Verde Water Users' Association. Hart had written on behalf of his constituents, who had requested

⁸⁴ A.P. Davis, et al., to the Secretary of the Interior, March 17, 1914, "Salt River Project. Board of Survey Reports. 544-D," General Administrative and Project Records, 1902-1919, Entry 3, Records of the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation, Record Group 115, U.S. National Archives-Rocky Mountain Region, Denver, Colorado [LRA Box/File: 11/2].

that the federal government build a reservoir on the Verde River to irrigate their lands. Hanna, in his response, informed Hart that the proposed Horseshoe Reservoir, if built, was designed to help regulate Verde River natural flow water already being used by farmers in the Salt River Valley -- not the Paradise Valley. Hanna claimed that Horseshoe Reservoir would be used to supplement storage at Roosevelt Dam. Nevertheless, flows of the Verde River were so irregular, according to Hanna, that Horseshoe Reservoir itself could not be relied upon alone, and therefore it was unsuitable as storage for the Paradise Valley. Hanna enclosed a table which showed that:

there are several years in which there would be absolutely no water available for storage. Moreover in the series of years from 1896 to 1904, inclusive, there are four years, three of which are consecutive, in which there would be no water supply for storage in the Horseshoe Reservoir, and there would be very little available water for the whole period of nine years. It appears, therefore, that the water supply even though the Horseshoe Reservoir is not constructed for the Salt River Project would be very unreliable for additional lands which would have to depend upon this source of supply alone. 85

Hanna's letter -- as well as a significant body of subsequent documentation concerning the Horseshoe Reservoir proposal for the Verde River -- underscored the highly erratic nature of the Verde River. The letter and other documents also demonstrated that even though planning for Horseshoe Reservoir had been underway for some

⁸⁵ F.W. Hanna to G.F. Hart, April 11, 1914, file Arizona Surveys & Investigations, Paradise Valley (Verde River) thru May 1918, Box 299, Entry 3, Records of the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation, Record Group 115, U.S. National Archives branch, Rocky Mountain Region, Denver, Colorado [LRA Box/File: 26/25].

time and was to continue for many more years, there had been and would not be any objections to blocking the river for commercial navigation by this major storage facility.

RECORDS OF THE U.S. INDIAN SERVICE: The Indian Service administered the Salt River and the Camp McDowell Indian reservations, through both of which the Verde River flowed. Federal Indian policy in the late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries was aimed at assimilating Indians into American society by training them in occupations such as farming. As a result, U.S. officials overseeing Indian reservations devoted a great amount of time to water resource development to facilitate irrigation on reservations. Visiting Indian Service inspectors and the U.S. Indian agents at the Salt River and Camp McDowell reservations, therefore, created a considerable body of unpublished documentary evidence concerning the Verde River.

For example, reporting to the Secretary of the Interior on irrigation at the Camp McDowell reservation in 1905, Indian Service Inspector W.H. Code commented on the impact of flooding on the channel of the Verde River:

The narrow valley of the Verde River embraced within the boundaries of this reservation has a steep gradient toward the river, and the Jones Canal [which served the reservation] winding its way around the base of foot hills for a distance of nearly six miles, interceps [sic] many drainage lines, which, in times of heavy stormes [sic], run vast quantities of water which sweep out canal banks and fill sections of the ditch with a heavy sand deposit. To further increase the difficulties, the banks of the Verde River at the head of ditch have suffered great erosion . . . and the river channel seems to have scoured down to a considerable depth, leaving the present

canal grade elevated a distance of several feet above the grade of river. 86

Further commentary on the vacillating nature of the Verde River's channel is contained in a May 20, 1905, letter from J.R. Meskimons, superintendent of irrigation for the U.S. Indian Service, to Indian Service Commissioner Francis E. Leupp. Meskimons was writing in regard to an irrigation survey that was in progress at the Camp McDowell Reservation. He wrote that:

[t]he map shows the position of the river at the time the survey was made. It has, however, changed its course since, to the great detriment of the head and upper portions of the (Jones) canal. . . . When this survey was made the river had cut within about fifty feet of the canal in one place only, and that at an angle in the canal. I therefore cut a new canal about 700 feet long, thus straightening the old and removing the canal approximately 100 feet from the river. However the grade stakes were hardly set when the river again rose and cut away its bank until it approached to within ten feet of the canal in one place, and in no place for a distance of about 3000 feet is the river more than 50 feet from the canal.

When the water again subsided the Indians cleaned the upper portion of the canal again and cutting to a very flat grade got water in the canal without the aid of a weir. . . . It can be readily seen that the canal is in a precarious condition, that one good heavy rain would destroy probably a mile of the upper end of same, beside washing out and filling up of the canal wherever the flood waters from the hills strike it.⁸⁷

The type of flooding described in the preceding two letters was hardly unusual according to other Indian Service documents. On September 22, 1905, William H. Gill, farmer in charge of the

⁸⁶ W.H. Code to Secretary of the Interior, March 11, 1905, file "Gila River 1905," District 4, Box 82, Entry 653, Record Group 75, Records of the U.S. Bureau of Indian Affairs, U.S. National Archives, Washington D.C. [LRA Box/File: 10/6].

⁸⁷ J.R. Meskimons to Francis E. Leupp, May 20, 1905, Salt River
Project Archives, Phoenix, Arizona [LRA Box/File: 29/5].

McDowell Reservation, submitted a summary for inclusion in a report by the Department of the Interior. He wrote that the Indians'

system of irrigation is attended with great expense of time and labor. The Verde River, from which the water is diverted, is a mountain stream which becomes a raging flood with every freshet, washing away the embankment at every arroyo, and filling the [Indians'] ditch with sand. This is apt to occur both in the rainy season in summer and also during the winter. 88

On February 10, 1909, C.R. Olberg, Superintendent of Irrigation, again noted the changing nature of the Verde's channel at Camp McDowell in a letter to W.H. Code:

[A] flood fifteen feet in depth . . . came down the Verde River a short time ago. This flood also ruined large bodies of tillable land; so that now the channel of the Verde River is approximately a mile in width. A crosssection of the valley there would show three benches: the upper end, on which lies the irrigable land; the second a large flat consisting of gravel and sand bars, in which are imbedded numerous trees and other debris; and the lower one, in which is the present bed of the river. . . . [To irrigate Indian lands, it would be necessary to] place a brush and rock dam across the Verde, about 150 feet in length, raising the elevation of Any general scheme of the water three feet. . . . reclamation for the McDowell Indians should also provide for the control of the Verde River. The bed of this river -- as already intimated -- is rapidly approaching the condition that now obtains on the Gila. The Verde River flows through a flat of sand and gravel bars, from one-half to three-quarters of a mile in width, bordered by cut banks from five to twenty feet in height. swings from one side of the flat to the other, and where it impinges against a cut bank, is continuously eroding away the land.89

⁸⁸ William H. Gill, "Report of Farmer in Charge of Camp M'Dowell Reservation," Sept. 22, 1905, Salt River Project Archives, Phoenix, Arizona [LRA Box/File: 29/5].

⁸⁹ C.R. Olberg to W.H. Code, Feb. 10, 1909, file "Camp McDowell 1909," District 4, Box 73, Entry 653, Record Group 75, Records of the U.S. Bureau of Indian Affairs, U.S. National Archives, Washington D.C. [LRA Box/File: 10/7].

Yet another Indian Service official commented in 1910 on the Verde River's erratic behavior and frequent channel changes at Camp McDowell:

The water proposition here under existing conditions is a very unsafe one. There is a sufficient amount in the Verde River to supply all the needs of the Indians located here but the uncertainty as to where the main channel will be from time to time makes the question of irrigation an uncertain one. Here the river is nearly, if not quite, a half mile wide from bank to bank. Today the main channel may be on one side and tomorrow one of the many floods of water many sweep down and change the entire course of the channel to the opposite side of the river, and pile up a sandbar between it and the head of the ditch; or, on the other hand, the swift current is liable to cut into the bank and ruin the intake for the canal by cutting out a deep channel any feet below the head of the canal, leaving it high and dry. . . . present canal is constructed for some distance through a sandbar and then for some distance follows near the bank of the river. Just at the present time, this canal is affording a good supply of water but the element of uncertainty as to its permanency, reduced the assurance of raising a crop almost to the minimum. The first floods coming down may render it absolutely useless.90

OTHER DOCUMENTS: The records of the federal agencies whose responsibilities were most closely associated with water resource development in the West (the Reclamation Service and the Geological Survey) as well as the records of the U.S. Indian Service consistently portrayed the Verde River as highly erratic with unpredictable flows and a shifting channel. Such a stream could hardly provide a reliable means of water-borne commerce.

⁹⁰ Report of Inspector Joe H. Norris, Camp McDowell, Arizona, April 9, 1910, Salt River Project Archives, Phoenix, Arizona, pp. 5-6 [LRA Box/File: 29/5].

CHAPTER 4: MISCELLANEOUS DOCUMENTS AND PHOTOGRAPHS

The following miscellaneous documents and photographs, gathered from many sources, reinforce the evidence found in federal surveys, federal and state patents, and other government documents indicating the lack of navigability of the Verde River.

MISCELLANEOUS HISTORICAL DOCUMENTS: Included in this discussion are textual records such as legislative pronouncements, the observations of irrigation enthusiasts, statements by local residents, commentary by an observer seeking to establish a national forest in lands through which the Verde flowed, and more historical studies. This material, which recent chronologically from 1865 to 1986, supports the findings in other parts of this report that the Verde River was erratic, unreliable, and blocked by obstructions such as sand bars, gravel beds, boulders, and diversion dams. These documents are representative of many more illustrating the same conclusions regarding the Verde.

As early as 1865, members of the Arizona Territorial Legislature, meeting in its second session, had reached the conclusion that the Verde River was not navigable. The legislators passed on December 28, 1865, a "Memorial Asking Congress for an Appropriation to Improve the Navigation of the Colorado River." Seeking \$150,000 to remove obstacles such as sand bars, snags, boulders, and other obstructions in the Colorado's bed, the memorial declared that "the Colorado River is the only navigable water in this Territory[.]" (Emphasis added.) It also noted that if the improvements were carried out, the Colorado would be

navigable as far as Callville, where a wagon road would connect with Salt Lake City. 91

Confirming the Arizona Territorial Legislature's conclusion that only the Colorado was navigable, an article published in 1892 noted that the Verde River was a "stream of considerable size and the largest tributary of Salt River." However, the article continued that "[t]he Rio Verde, like all Western streams, is quite copious during the spring months from the periodical rains and melted snow, but during the summer and autumn it is only a creek of 500 inches." Such variations in flow would have made the Verde extremely difficult to use for regular navigation. 92

Given the Verde's substantial flows during the spring, it is not surprising that interest emerged in damming the river to conserve its waters for irrigation. For instance, on January 17, 1898, the Rio Verde Canal Company recorded a claim with the Maricopa County Recorder for 5,000 cubic feet of Verde River water per second. In the claim notice, the water company stated its intention to "build and maintain a diversion dam across the Verde River . . . of the height of ninety-two (92) feet above the bed of said stream." The company also intended to build other dams,

[&]quot;Memorial Asking Congress for an Appropriation to Improve the Navigation of the Colorado River," <u>Acts, Resolutions, and Memorials of the Territorial Legislature of Arizona, 1865</u> (N.p., n.d), copy at Arizona Historical Foundation, Arizona State University, Tempe, Arizona [LRA Box/File: 8/23].

^{92 &}quot;The Rio Verde Company," <u>Phoenix Republican</u>, May 1892 (precise date unknown), reprinted in David M. Goodman, comp., <u>Arizona Odyssey</u> #2330 (Tempe: Arizona Historical Foundation, 1969) [LRA Box/File: 25/48].

including at what came to be known as the Horseshoe Reservoir site, one at the Lower Verde Reservoir site, and another named simply Storage Reservoir No. 4. Yet despite the fact that these dams would undoubtedly impede navigation, there is no evidence of any protests against the proposed dams by any navigational interests. 93

Not only would have dams hindered navigation on the Verde, but so too would have the impact of grazing on adjacent lands. S.J. Holsinger's 1904 "Report on the Proposed Verde River Forest Reserve, Arizona" described how the channel had been ruined by over-grazing on nearby lands. Focussing first on the quality of land, trees, and streams in an area encompassing the Verde River that was proposed to be set aside as a forest reserve, Holsinger wrote toward the end of his report:

[t]he Verde River thirty years ago had a well defined channel. Today it is so badly silted that irrigation is rendered expensive and difficult. To an unprejudiced mind the cause is easily discovered. Goats, sheep and cattle have closely browsed the brush. 94

Early Phoenix resident Carl Hayden also indicated that the Verde was not navigable. In his speech in front of the U.S. House of Representatives on February 3, 1916, the subject was flood control on non-navigable streams. House Resolution 122 had been introduced by the Speaker of the House, and Hayden interpreted the

^{93 &}quot;Claim of the Rio Verde Canal Company" (with quotation at page 1), Jan. 17, 1898, Salt River Project Archives, Phoenix, Arizona [LRA Box/File: 29/5].

⁹⁴ S.J. Holsinger, "Report on the Proposed Verde River Forest Reserve, Not Comprising Portions of the Prescott, Tonto, and Crook National Forests," p. 15, March 19, 1904, Salt River Project Archives, Phoenix, Arizona [LRA Box/File: 29/5].

Speaker's intention to be the creation of "a committee having jurisdiction over all bills relating to flood control whether the floods occur on navigable or non-navigable streams." explained his support of this resolution: "I come from a State where we have dry rivers and no harbors, and I want to see a committee established that will give consideration to the flood on nonnavigable streams." In commenting problems constitutionality of federal funding for flood control on nonnavigable streams, Hayden argued that such an expenditure not only had local advantages, but also was in the national interest. claimed that railroads were often affected by floods, which hurt interstate commerce, and that the U.S. Postal Service was also consistently interrupted by flooding. He also argued that the care of national defense would be assisted by funding for flood control, asserting that "troops can not be readily moved or supplied when the rivers are in flood."

Hayden's remarks in this speech make it clear that in his view all Arizona streams were non-navigable, including the Verde River. What Hayden sought, therefore, was money to curb flooding on the state's unpredictable streams, including the non-navigable Verde. 95

MORE RECENT HISTORICAL STUDIES: Karen Smith, a recent historian of the central Arizona area, noted that no river in that part of the state provided water transportation. In her doctoral

⁹⁵ Carl Hayden, "Speech of Hon. Carl Hayden, of Arizona, in the House of Representatives, Thursday, February 3, 1916," folder 11, box 653, Carl Hayden Papers, Mss. 001, Arizona State University, Tempe, Arizona [LRA Box/File: 6/15].

dissertation, which was later published as <u>The Magnificent</u>

<u>Experiment</u>, <u>Building the Salt River Reclamation Project</u>, 1890-1917

(1986), Smith described the Phoenix area:

On the face of it, the growth of metropolitan Phoenix from a dusty village located near the Salt River to the ninth-largest city in the United States has been something of an anomaly. There was no major railroad connection to Phoenix until the 1920s, no harbor or navigable river to spawn commerce, and no major trail or crossroads to lure tired travelers to stop. [Emphasis added.]

evidence retrieved in research, numerous photographs were also obtained. These provide visual documentation leading to the same conclusions reached in the unpublished and published document collections. Included in this report is a selection of photos illustrating various levels of the Verde River's flow. Some show the river to be extremely shallow, while others demonstrate the devastation of the regularly occurring floods. The photographs, spanning over thirty years from the 1880s to 1920 and originating in many different archives, provide visible evidence of the erratic and unreliable nature of the Verde River.

For instance, the three photographs taken in 1920 of "Camp Verde Dam-Sites" numbered 1, 2, and 4 (number 3 was simply a close-up of number 2) all demonstrate a rugged riverbed (see photos on pages 117, 118, and 119). Numerous rocks, sandbeds, and canyon

⁹⁶ Karen L. Smith, <u>The Magnificent Experiment</u>, <u>Building the Salt River Reclamation Project</u>, <u>1890-1917</u> (Tucson: University of Arizona Press, 1986), p. ix.

walls would have made navigation of this stretch of the Verde River impossible. 97

Upriver, in the Camp Verde Valley area, the river was perhaps not so rugged, but non-navigable nonetheless. The two photos showing the Indian ruins near the confluence of Verde River and Oak Creek clearly demonstrate the winding, narrow course of the Verde, hazardous at best for any type of boat (see photos on pages 120 and 121). Another, closer photo of these prehistoric ruins was taken on April 16, 1887, by Dr. Edgar A. Mearns, the Post Surgeon at Fort Verde from March 1884 to May 1888. Mearns was a prolific photographer and amateur archaeologist. His photograph of these ruins illustrate two points about the navigability of this river. First, shown in the foreground, the Verde River is clearly shallow bed and riddled with sand and gravel beds. Second, the photo shows horses and a wagon which presumably had to ford the river to get to their position in this picture. The ability to ford the river also suggests that this river was not navigable (see photo on page 122).

Another photo from the Fort Verde State Historical Park shows the Cottonwood Ford taken in 1886-1887. The photo shows several cows crossing at the ford, and it is apparent how shallow the river is (see photo on page 123).

Two more Mearns photographs further illustrate how difficult the river would have been to navigate. The first shows Fort Verde

⁹⁷ A.L. Harris, "Report on Paradise Verde Irrigation Project Near Phoenix, Arizona," May, 1920, Project Files, 1919-1920, Box 1109, Entry 7, Records of the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation, Record Group 115, U.S. National Archives -- Rocky Mountain Region, Denver, Colorado [LRA Box/File: 11/12].

residents standing on a sand bar in the Verde River, apparently demonstrating that this was a river that was easily crossed and shallow (see photograph on page 124). The last Mearns photo, showing two men in a boat in the foreground, could easily be misinterpreted. The caption for this photo, located at Fort Verde Historical Park, noted that "[i]t seems likely that this is the collapsable [sic] boat requested by the Post commander to aid in getting messages and couriers across the river when it was high or in flood." Importantly, this suggests that the boat was not used year round (there were fords available for crossing the river at most times), but that during flood season (usually January to March), the boat was used to get across the river. In other words, the boat was used as a way to avoid, rather than use, the Verde River (see photo on page 125).

Even further upstream, near Jerome and Clarkdale, historic photos of the river continue to demonstrate its non-navigable nature. The panoramic view of Clarkdale, Arizona (reproduced here in three segments), show that the river was sandy, broad, and shallow, marred in many places by wagon tracks (see photos on pages 126, 127, and 128). These tracks and the characteristics demonstrated by the river in these additional photos are some of the best evidence available as to the river's non-navigable nature.

In contrast to the photos already discussed are photos of the infamous 1916 flood on the Verde. The photographs of the flood show another side of the Verde River -- its erratic and unreliable nature. Taken near Jerome, the photos demonstrate just how


destructive this flood was. Despite the volume of water in the river, the Verde remained non-navigable during flood times due to the water's velocity and the debris that was present in the river during such times (see photos on pages 129, 130, and 131).

Contrasting images such as these lead to the same conclusion as the textual information. Such drastic changes in the river's condition made the stream completely unreliable for the purposes of navigation. Although this report also includes a photo which shows a boat on the river, it is clear from the majority of photos obtained that boating on the river was the exception rather than the rule and that the river was not reliable for transportation.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS REGARDING MISCELLANEOUS DOCUMENTS:
The wide variety of the miscellaneous documents and the historical photos discussed above all point to the same conclusion that the Verde River was not navigable prior to or at the time of Arizona statehood in 1912. The photographs and the documents produced by each organization, person, and agency demonstrated characteristics which made the Verde River unreliable for the purposes of consistent commercial navigation. Fluctuating flows, channel changes, and dams, all combined to cause major impediments to any sort of commerce on the Verde River.























Panoramic View Of Clarkdale, AZ, 03-25-1914, Arizona Historical Foundation











CHAPTER 5: WESTERN WATERCRAFT AT THE TURN OF THE CENTURY

At the turn of the twentieth century, the only river in the Southwest to be considered by most observers to be navigable was the Colorado. That stream's many sandbars, its widely fluctuating flow, and its generally unpredictable nature made the Colorado a testing ground for boats with shallow drafts and lightweight construction. Many attempts were made to navigate this tempestuous stream from its mouth in the Gulf of California as far upstream as possible, and stories of such expeditions appeared in a multitude of newspapers, promotional publications, as well as in published government documents. The significance of such attempts to navigate the Colorado was not lost on prospective businessmen, possible settlers, and military officials, all of whom hoped for easier access to the interior parts of the southwestern United The Colorado, of course, was not the only western river that experienced efforts to navigate it (others included the Columbia, Sacramento, and San Joaquin, to name a few), but the Colorado was the only river that offered possible water-borne access to the American Southwest. From accounts of river expeditions on the Colorado, therefore, some details about boat technology in relation to southwestern rivers around the time of Arizona statehood can be discerned. This is not to say that river travel was not attempted on other southwestern streams -- indeed, it certainly was because water travel in the nineteenth century was by far the most economical method of internal communication. Nevertheless, river navigation on other southwestern streams proved to be unreliable and risky, and the Colorado River was the only stream in the region where sustained attempts at regular navigation occurred. Therefore, a brief examination of the history of navigation on the Colorado can provide useful insight into the nature and technology of watercraft used for transportation on southwestern rivers at the turn of the century.

Additional information about southwestern watercraft operation can be found in reports written to describe general advances in boat construction. These traits, when combined with experiences on the Colorado River, can help shed light on the navigability of Arizona's streams such as the Verde River.

NAVIGATION ON THE COLORADO RIVER: Following the acquisition of much of the western part of the United States in the 1840s and 1850s, federal authorities sent many explorers West to determine just what the new territory held. Most often, these parties consisted of military officers who kept journals of their travels, making note of the natural environment, Indians, and possibilities for settlement. Some of these expeditions included references to travel on western rivers, notably the Colorado, although not all specified what types of vessels were used.

Probably the most famous of these was the expedition of John Wesley Powell through the Grand Canyon on the Colorado River in 1869. Powell, who used wooden dories to make the descent through the previously unexplored gorge, made it clear that while he had survived the experience, the multitude of rapids and other obstacles along this portion of the Colorado made it hardly

practicable as a possible water-based access route to the interior part of North America. Indeed, his experiences and those of his companions proved to be so frightening that several of them opted to climb out of the canyon (where they were subsequently killed by Indians) rather than continue on the river. 98

Whereas Powell had chosen to go downstream on the Colorado, other explorers tested the river's navigability by moving upstream from its mouth in the Gulf of California. Lieutenant J.C. Ives, for example, was one such officer. Sent to assess the utility of the Colorado River as a navigable waterway from where it discharged into the Gulf of California upriver to the Virgin River (today, near the central part of Lake Mead), he completed his report on March 23, 1858. In his account, Ives discussed the problems associated with navigating the Colorado, and he offered a recommendation for the type of boat for future use on the Colorado if the U.S. Government wanted to use it for transportation on a regular basis.

Although his experience came nowhere near the terrifying ordeal endured by Powell and his colleagues, Ives reported that the Colorado River was extremely difficult to navigate because the "channel is exceedingly circuitous and constantly shifting." Furthermore, Ives noted repeatedly the presence of sand bars and shoals, writing that "boats rarely make a trip between tide water and Fort Yuma without grounding many times a day. By working them

⁹⁸ See generally Wallace Stegner, <u>Beyond the Hundredth</u> <u>Meridian: John Wesley Powell and the Second Opening of the West</u> (Boston: Houghton-Mifflin, 1954).

about in the shifting sand . . . and as a last resort, by lightening the boat of the cargo, these shoals may always be passed with more or less labor." Due to these hazardous and difficult conditions, Ives recommended an "iron stern wheel boat, with the hull 100 feet long and the greatest breadth of beam 22 feet-built sufficiently [illegible] to ensure a drought when light, not exceeding 12 inches." Although Ives believed that five trips a year could be made on this river in such a watercraft, he repeatedly asserted that it was an extremely troublesome stream to navigate due to the rip and spring tides near its mouth, the constantly shifting channel, the numerous obstacles along the river, and finally, the rapids near the mouth of the Virgin. **

Later reports of attempts to navigate the Colorado suggested that the river had greater transportation possibilities than Lieutenant Ives had given it. The <u>History of Arizona Territory Showing its Resources and Advantages with Illustrations: Descriptive of its Scenery, Residences, Farms, Mines, Mills, Hotels, Business, Houses, Schools, Churches, Etc. (1884), for instance, provided excellent descriptions of the rivers of Arizona as well as boating in the late nineteenth century. This publication noted that a ship named the <u>Explorer</u> soon was expected to ascend the Colorado River. This vessel was fifty-four feet long</u>

⁹⁹ J.C. Ives, "Report Upon Navigable Portion of Colorado River, March 23, 1858," pp. 1, 2, 7, Box 2, Entry 726, Records of the Office of Explorations and Surveys, Miscellaneous Records, Records of the Office of the Secretary of the Interior, Record Group 48, U.S. National Archives II, College Park, Maryland [LRA Box/File: 18/14].

from the bow to the stern wheel. This was about half the length of Ives's recommended boat, presumably to make the craft more maneuverable in the shifting channel. Nevertheless, the <u>Explorer's</u> draft was reported to be two and a half feet, considerably more than Ives believed to be feasible on the Colorado River, at least if it was to ascend as far as the Virgin River. 100

While this account of a watercraft capable of navigating the Colorado was more promising than that offered by Lieutenant Ives, its tone of confidence, however, should be tempered with the knowledge that the book -- as its title suggested and like many similar regional chronologies of the day -- had been paid for by western promoters eager to attract businesses and settlers to the sparsely populated part of the United States. Ives's report, therefore, is probably more objective regarding the Colorado's possibilities as a transportation artery, at least below the Grand Canyon.

Other accounts printed in U.S. government documents further acknowledged the possibilities of using the Colorado below the Grand Canyon as an artery of commerce and transportation. A letter from Mr. J.A. Mellon, master of the Colorado River steamer Cochran, to the Bureau of Corporations written on January 30, 1907, noted that his ship weighed 237 tons and drew 20 inches of water when light and an additional 1 inch of water for every 10 tons of

History of Arizona Territory Showing its Resources and Advantages with Illustrations: Descriptive of its Scenery, Residences, Farms, Mines, Mills, Hotels, Business, Houses, Schools, Churches, Etc. (San Francisco: Wallace W. Elliot & Co., 1884) [LRA Box/File: 26/26].

freight. At the end of his letter, Mellon wrote that "I have come to the conclusion that any river that has over 4 feet fall to the mile can not compete with a railroad for freight or passengers." According to other records of the Bureau of Corporations, another Colorado steamer, the <u>Silas J. Lewis</u>, weighed 100 tons, drawing seven inches of water with no load and one inch more for every eleven tons. 101

western watercraft in General: Regarding western rivers more generally, the 1909 report of the Commissioner of Corporations provided additional insight on the state of navigation in the Southwest around the time of Arizona statehood in 1912. This document contained information about the types of vessels being used for navigation at the time. The report noted that "[o]n the western rivers there soon appeared the well-known flat-bottom, stern-wheel steamboat, adapted to the shallow waters of those streams, the design of which has not greatly changed for half a century." "[T]hose [vessels]," the report added, "used in the river trade are still mainly built of wood." When specifically discussing river steamers, the report stated that:

[r]equirements on the western rivers are the least possible load draft, economical speed, readiness of handling the vessel, and freight and passenger capacity.

Report of the Commissioner of Corporations on Transportation by Water in the United States, Water-Borne Traffic (Washington D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1909), pp. 370-371 [LRA Box/File: 18/10].

Report of the Commissioner of Corporations on Transportation by Water in the United States, General Conditions of Transportation by Water (Washington D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1909), pp. 128-129 [LRA Box/File: 18/10].

In the case of towboats large reserve power is an important item. $^{103}\,$

Although the report conceded that little change had been made in the stern-wheel, light-draft steamers in two decades, it declared that recently "a new type of light-draft steamer has been developed, with screwpropeller built in a tunnel in the after part of the vessel." A vessel similar to this operating on the Ohio River had a draft of 3 feet, with length of 150 and beam of 26. 104

Water Trails West, a more recent (and more objective) compilation of essays by western writers regarding various western contained additional article which included one streams, information about navigation on the Colorado River as well as other This essay, by Donald H. Bufkin and C.L. western waterways. Sonnichsen, indicated that boats larger than that proposed by Ives were used successfully on the Colorado. According to Bufkin and Sonnichsen, the largest ship to use the Colorado was the Mohave II. With a length of 175 feet (over three times that of the Explorer described in the <u>History of Arizona Territory</u> and one and three quarters as long as Ives' boat), the Mohave II had a 32-foot beam. This was 10 feet wider than Ives' recommendation. The Mohave II was approximately 190 tons and drew less than two feet of water.

Report of the Commissioner of Corporations on Transportation by Water in the United States, General Conditions of Transportation by Water (Washington D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1909), p. 138 [LRA Box/File: 18/10].

Report of the Commissioner of Corporations on Transportation by Water in the United States, General Conditions of Transportation by Water (Washington D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1909), p. 139 [LRA Box/File: 18/10].

(Ives suggested only one foot, while the <u>History of Arizona</u> claimed two and a half). Other boats similar to the <u>Mohave II</u> in use in the West, according to Bufkin and Sonnichsen, were all over 100 feet in length and over 25 in width. Further, these vessels were generally stern-wheeled, making them easier to navigate streams filled with sandbars and shallow water. 105

summary and conclusions regarding western watercraft: The state of commercial boating technology around the turn of the century make it clear that the Verde River was not susceptible to navigation at the time of Arizona statehood. The flow in the Verde, while perennial, was not consistent enough to support the boats used for transport. A draft of two feet could not be had in a river that was sometimes only a few inches deep (see the footnote on page 93 above for some of the documents showing gage readings of the Verde). Furthermore, the channel's shifting nature made its course unreliable as well as dangerous.

¹⁰⁵ Donald H. Bufkin and C.L. Sonnichsen, "Steamboat Through Hell: River Traffic on the Colorado of the West," in <u>Water Trails</u> West, (Garden City: Doubleday & Company, 1978), pp. 218-230 [LRA Box/File: 18/11].

GENERAL SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS REGARDING THE VERDE RIVER

Since modern settlement began in the central Arizona area in the mid-nineteenth century, there have been a multitude of documents created describing the Verde River. These cover a wide spectrum of published and unpublished sources, including federal and state (and territorial) materials, diaries, journals, reminiscences, photographs, and other archival records.

Some of the most important sources for ascertaining the nature of the Verde River prior to and at the time of Arizona's statehood in 1912 are survey field notes and plats created by U.S. government surveyors as they carried out their responsibilities mapping Directed by manuals conveying precise instructions, surveyors were to make careful note of the region in which they were working, and they were provided with specific instructions about how to record the presence of navigable bodies of water. substantial part of the area through which the Verde River flowed was surveyed prior to 1912, and in some cases resurveys were done for some sections of the river. Significantly, although these surveys were undertaken by many different parties at different times and under various seasonal conditions, none of the federal surveyors indicated in his field notes or on the related plats that the Verde River was navigable. While some sections of the stream were, in fact, meandered, the surveyors' field notes clearly show that those meanders had been done to conform with surveying instructions not related to navigability. In addition, the field notes and plats illustrated a stream that varied enormously in flow and that had a changing channel in many places. Moreover, the notes and plats contain references to roads parallelling the Verde, especially in the fertile Verde Valley, suggesting that transportation was carried out on land and not on the river.

Supporting the U.S. government surveys' determination that the Verde River was not navigable are federal government homestead patents, U.S. grants to Arizona, and Arizona's disposition of those lands. Over 120 patents were issued by the U.S. Government Land Office to parcels of land through which the Verde River ran. every single case when these patents were formalized, the United States made no effort to deny title to the applicants based on a possible claim of ownership due to Arizona's sovereignty. Furthermore, when lands were granted to Arizona through which the Verde River flowed, the state made no effort to obtain in-lieu selections for the acreage covered by the stream's bed -- as it would have been entitled to do had the Verde River been navigable at the time of statehood. And, when Arizona subsequently disposed of lands it had acquired from the federal government through which the Verde River ran, the state made no indication that it was withholding the bed of the river due to navigability and the public's interest.

The federal and state grant and patenting process is significant in relation to determining the Verde River's navigability because with so many different parcels and transfers of land involved, a large number of parties ultimately reached the same conclusion -- that the Verde River was not navigable. Each

applicant who requested land through which the river flowed implicitly asserted the river's non-navigability; each federal official approving a homestead application or grant to Arizona reached the same implicit conclusion, as did each state authority who sold Arizona's federally-granted lands. Not only did many individuals all indicate the same finding with regard to the Verde River's non-navigability, but they did so over a lengthy span of time, and their actions covered a large and diverse geographic area.

Further strengthening the finding that the Verde River was not navigable in 1912 are other published and unpublished records of the U.S. government. Records of the U.S. Geological Survey, the Reclamation Service, and the Indian Service all described a stream that was extremely erratic in flows, unreliable in relation to channels, subject to severe floods, and potentially dangerous.

Much like the federal agencies' records, explorers' journals, personal reminiscences, other historical documents, and more recent historical studies all reached the same conclusion regarding the lack of navigability of the Verde River. Indeed, the Arizona Territorial Legislature, as one of its first acts in 1865, declared that the only stream in Arizona that was navigable was the Colorado, and Karen Smith, historian of the Salt River Project, declared in her seminal study of that federal undertaking that the Phoenix area had never had any port on a navigable body of water. Photographs of the Verde prior to or near the time of statehood add

further evidence that the stream could hardly be considered navigable.

From this wealth of information, covering a huge array of documentary sources, only one conclusion can be reached: The Verde River was not navigable on or before February 14, 1912.

APPENDIX A -- UNPUBLISHED RECORDS -- ARCHIVES AND AGENCIES

ARIZONA STATE UNIVERSITY (ARIZONA HISTORICAL FOUNDATION)

FILE TITLE: 4331 P3 P25 1880 AZ

COLLECTION:

ARCHIVE: ASU-Arizona Historical Foundation

FILE TITLE: "Arizona Newspaper Project"

COLLECTION: Newspaper Index

LOCATION:

ARCHIVE: ASU-Arizona Historical Foundation

ARIZONA STATE UNIVERSITY (LUHRS SPECIAL COLLECTIONS)

FILE TITLE: (1954) Combined Flow of Salt and Verde Rivers,

Reservoir Capacity and Water Stored, 1889-1953

COLLECTION:

ARCHIVE: ASU-Luhrs

FILE TITLE: (1957) Graph of Combined Flow of Salt and Verde Rivers

COLLECTION:

ARCHIVE: ASU-Luhrs

ARIZONA STATE ARCHIVES, PHOENIX

FILE TITLE: Report of the Governor of Arizona to the Secretary of

the Interior, 1885

COLLECTION: Record Group 6, Secretary of the Territory LOCATION: Box 41, Record Group6, 1869-1881 41:580

ARCHIVE: Arizona State Archives

FILE TITLE: Report of the Governor of Arizona to the Secretary of

the Interior, 1889

COLLECTION: Record Group 6, Secretary of the Territory LOCATION: Box 43, Record Group 6 1882-1889, 43:607

ARCHIVE: Arizona State Archives

FILE TITLE: Report of the Acting Governor of Arizona to the

Secretary of the Interior, 1890

COLLECTION: Record Group 6, Secretary of the Territory LOCATION: Box 44, Record Group6, 1885-1890 44:621

ARCHIVE: Arizona State Archives

FILE TITLE: Stream Discharge Summary, Combined Flow of Salt and Verde Rivers Above Granite Reef, Chart Prepared by D.M. Lewis (?)

on April 8, 1936

COLLECTION: Records of the Arizona State Planning Board

LOCATION: 1910-1938, Box 1

ARCHIVE: Arizona State Archives

FILE TITLE: (1936) Stream Discharge Summary, Combined Flow of Salt and Verde Rivers above Granite Reef, Chart prepared by D.M. Lewis

(?) on April 8, 1936

COLLECTION: Records of the Arizona State Planning Board, 1910-1938

LOCATION: Box 1

ARCHIVE: Arizona State Archives

FILE TITLE: "Combined Flow, Salt and Verde Rivers" COLLECTION: Water Commissioner of Maricopa County

LOCATION: Microfilm Roll 137.1.5
ARCHIVE: Arizona State Archives

ARIZONA STATE LAND DEPARTMENT, PHOENIX

FILE TITLE: Arizona Stream Navigability Study for the Verde River:

Salt River Confluence to Sullivan Lake

COLLECTION: LOCATION:

ARCHIVE: Arizona State Land Department

FILE TITLE: Microfiche copies of field notes and survey plats for

Gila and Verde Rivers

COLLECTION: LOCATION:

ARCHIVE: Arizona State Land Department

FILE TITLE: State Patents and State Plats Overlying the Verde River

COLLECTION: LOCATION:

ARCHIVE: Arizona State Land Department

ARIZONA STATE LIBRARY, PHOENIX

FILE TITLE: Map Showing Agricultural Land and Ditches of the Verde

Valley

COLLECTION: Map Collection

LOCATION:

ARCHIVE: Arizona State Library

CALIFORNIA STATE LIBRARY, SACRAMENTO

FILE TITLE: Lewis Birdsall Harris to brother Clinton, June 16, 1850

COLLECTION: Lewis Birdsall Harris Collection

LOCATION:

ARCHIVE: California State Library

CAMP VERDE HISTORICAL SOCIETY, CAMP VERDE, ARIZONA

FILE TITLE: Verde Valley Ditches

COLLECTION: vertical files LOCATION: vertical files

ARCHIVE: Camp Verde Historical Society

FILE TITLE: Verde Valley Homesteaders

COLLECTION: vertical files LOCATION: vertical files

ARCHIVE: Camp Verde Historical Society

FORT VERDE HISTORICAL PARK, CAMP VERDE, ARIZONA

FILE TITLE: Photo collection COLLECTION: vertical files LOCATION: vertical files

ARCHIVE: Fort Verde State Historical Park

SALT RIVER PROJECT, PHOENIX

FILE TITLE: "Drainage Map of Arizona Showing Perennial Streams and

Some Important Wetlands"

COLLECTION: LOCATION:

ARCHIVE: Salt River Project Archives

FILE TITLE: "Salt River Project, Arizona - Project History, Year

1911"

COLLECTION:

ARCHIVE: Salt River Project Archives

FILE TITLE: (1988) "An Historical Analysis of the Salt River,

1830-1912"
COLLECTION:
LOCATION:

ARCHIVE: Salt River Project Archives

FILE TITLE: (April-May, 1915) Water Power Utilization in Arizona,

"Part I, Introduction" and "Salt River & Smaller Tributaries"

COLLECTION:

ARCHIVE: Salt River Project Archives

FILE TITLE: Water Power Development papers, Misc.

COLLECTION: LOCATION:

ARCHIVE: Salt River Project Archives

FILE TITLE: Inspection Report. Report of Inspector Joe H. Norris, Agency or School, Camp McDowell, Arizona, Date of Report April 9,

1910, Section 1, Subject Land, Water and Timber

COLLECTION: LOCATION:

ARCHIVE: Salt River Project Archives

FILE TITLE: [Letter from C.F. Larrabee to Charles W. Goodman, May

19, 1905] COLLECTION: LOCATION:

ARCHIVE: Salt River Project Archives

FILE TITLE: [Letter from C.R. Olberg to W.H. Code, February 10,

1909]

COLLECTION: LOCATION:

ARCHIVE: Salt River Project Archives

FILE TITLE: [Letter from J.R. Meskimons to the Com. Indian Affairs,

May 20, 1905] COLLECTION:

LOCATION:

ARCHIVE: Salt River Project Archives

FILE TITLE: [Letter from Meskimons to Com. Ind. Affairs, April 15,

1905]

COLLECTION: LOCATION:

ARCHIVE: Salt River Project Archives

FILE TITLE: In the Court of Claims, No. 13,861, Congressional. Anna

E. White Shipp, et al., Petitioners, v. The United States.

Claimants' Brief on Merits

COLLECTION: LOCATION:

ARCHIVE: Salt River Project Archives

FILE TITLE: Letter from W.H. Gill to "Friends," April 20, 1905

COLLECTION: LOCATION:

ARCHIVE: Salt River Project Archives

FILE TITLE: Report of Farmer in Charge of Camp M'Dowell

Reservation, Phoenix, Ariz, September 22, 1905

COLLECTION: LOCATION:

ARCHIVE: Salt River Project Archives

FILE TITLE: Report Upon a Municipal Water Works System for Phoenix,

Arizona, May 22nd, 1906

COLLECTION: LOCATION:

ARCHIVE: Salt River Project Archives

FILE TITLE: COLLECTION: LOCATION:

ARCHIVE: Salt River Project Archives

FILE TITLE: Report on the Proposed Verde River Forest Reserve,

Arizona, 1904 COLLECTION: LOCATION:

ARCHIVE: Salt River Project Archives

FILE TITLE: Rio Verde Canal Co.

COLLECTION: Bartlett Dam AZ HAER No. 25
LOCATION: Research Materials, Box 2 of 3
ARCHIVE: Salt River Project Archives

FILE TITLE: M. Wormser, et al. vs. The Salt Valley Canal Co., et

al.

COLLECTION: Court cases

LOCATION:

ARCHIVE: Salt River Project Archives

FILE TITLE: "Ap. 21, 1903 to Ap. 16, 1904; p. 2450-2699"

COLLECTION: Newspaper Clippings

LOCATION: Black Binders

ARCHIVE: Salt River Project Archives

FILE TITLE: "Feb. 14, 1902 to Ap. 20, 1903; p. 2200-2449"

COLLECTION: Newspaper Clippings

LOCATION: Black Binders

ARCHIVE: Salt River Project Archives

FILE TITLE: "Jan. 1912 to Nov. 1912"

COLLECTION: Newspaper Clippings

LOCATION: Black Binders

ARCHIVE: Salt River Project Archives

FILE TITLE: "Newsclips 2/1904 - 10/1906"

COLLECTION: Newspaper Clippings

LOCATION: Black Binders

ARCHIVE: Salt River Project Archives

FILE TITLE: SRP 1, Roll 23

COLLECTION: Record Group 115, 1902-1919

LOCATION: SRP 1, Roll 23

ARCHIVE: Salt River Project Archives

FILE TITLE: Roll 1, Headquarters Records, Ft. Verde, Letters,

Telegrams Sent, July 2, 1873 - Mar. 18, 1891

COLLECTION: Record Group 393, Records of the Adjutant General

LOCATION: M1076

ARCHIVE: Salt River Project Archives

FILE TITLE: Roll 10, Headquarter Records, Ft. Verde, AZ, Special

Orders Received, Orders Rec'vd Misc. Commands, 1868-83

COLLECTION: Record Group 393, Records of the Adjutant General

LOCATION: M1076

ARCHIVE: Salt River Project Archives

FILE TITLE: Roll 11, Headquarters Records, Ft. Verde, Az.,

Miscellaneous Records, 1869-1891

COLLECTION: Record Group 393, Records of the Adjutant General

LOCATION: M1076

ARCHIVE: Salt River Project Archives

U.S. BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT, PHOENIX

FILE TITLE: Master Title Plat and Historical Index for Township 10

North, Range 6 East

COLLECTION:

ARCHIVE: U.S. Bureau of Land Management

FILE TITLE: Master Title Plat and Historical Index for Township 11

North, Range 6 East

COLLECTION:

ARCHIVE: U.S. Bureau of Land Management

FILE TITLE: Master Title Plat and Historical Index for Township 12

1/2 North, Range 4 East

COLLECTION:

ARCHIVE: U.S. Bureau of Land Management

FILE TITLE: Master Title Plat and Historical Index for Township 12

North, Range 5 East

COLLECTION:

LOCATION:

ARCHIVE: U.S. Bureau of Land Management

FILE TITLE: Master Title Plat and Historical Index for Township 12

North, Range 6 East

COLLECTION:

LOCATION:

ARCHIVE: U.S. Bureau of Land Management

FILE TITLE: Master Title Plat and Historical Index for Township 13

North, Range 5 East

COLLECTION:

ARCHIVE: U.S. Bureau of Land Management

FILE TITLE: Master Title Plat and Historical Index for Township 14

North, Range 4 East

COLLECTION: LOCATION:

ARCHIVE: U.S. Bureau of Land Management

FILE TITLE: Master Title Plat and Historical Index for Township 14

North, Range 5 East

COLLECTION: LOCATION:

ARCHIVE: U.S. Bureau of Land Management

FILE TITLE: Master Title Plat and Historical Index for Township 15

North, Range 3 East

COLLECTION: LOCATION:

ARCHIVE: U.S. Bureau of Land Management

FILE TITLE: Master Title Plat and Historical Index for Township 15

North, Range 4 East

COLLECTION: LOCATION:

ARCHIVE: U.S. Bureau of Land Management

FILE TITLE: Master Title Plat and Historical Index for Township 16

North, Range 3 East

COLLECTION: LOCATION:

ARCHIVE: U.S. Bureau of Land Management

FILE TITLE: Master Title Plat and Historical Index for Township 17

North, Range 1 West

COLLECTION:

LOCATION:

ARCHIVE: U.S. Bureau of Land Management

FILE TITLE: Master Title Plat and Historical Index for Township 17

North, Range 2 East

COLLECTION:

LOCATION:

ARCHIVE: U.S. Bureau of Land Management

FILE TITLE: Master Title Plat and Historical Index for Township 17

North, Range 3 East

COLLECTION: LOCATION:

ARCHIVE:

U.S. Bureau of Land Management

FILE TITLE: Master Title Plat and Historical Index for Township 18

North, Range 1 East

COLLECTION: LOCATION:

ARCHIVE:

U.S. Bureau of Land Management

FILE TITLE: Master Title Plat and Historical Index for Township 18

North, Range 1 West

COLLECTION:

ARCHIVE:

U.S. Bureau of Land Management

FILE TITLE: Master Title Plat and Historical Index for Township 18

North, Range 2 East

COLLECTION:

LOCATION:

ARCHIVE: U

U.S. Bureau of Land Management

FILE TITLE: Master Title Plat and Historical Index for Township 2

North, Range 7 East

COLLECTION:

LOCATION:

ARCHIVE:

U.S. Bureau of Land Management

FILE TITLE: Master Title Plat and Historical Index for Township 3

South, Range 7 East

COLLECTION:

LOCATION:

ARCHIVE:

U.S. Bureau of Land Management

FILE TITLE: Master Title Plat and Historical Index for Township 4

North, Range 7 East

COLLECTION:

LOCATION:

ARCHIVE:

U.S. Bureau of Land Management

FILE TITLE: Master Title Plat and Historical Index for Township 5

North, Range 7 East

COLLECTION:

LOCATION:

ARCHIVE:

U.S. Bureau of Land Management

FILE TITLE: Master Title Plat and Historical Index for Township 6

North, Range 7 East

COLLECTION:

LOCATION:

ARCHIVE:

U.S. Bureau of Land Management

FILE TITLE: Master Title Plat and Historical Index for Township 7

North, Range 7 East

COLLECTION: LOCATION:

ARCHIVE: U.S. Bureau of Land Management

FILE TITLE: Master Title Plat and Historical Index for Township 8

North, Range 6 East

COLLECTION:

ARCHIVE: U.S. Bureau of Land Management

FILE TITLE: Master Title Plat and Historical Index for Township 9

1/2 North, Range 6 East

COLLECTION: LOCATION:

ARCHIVE: U.S. Bureau of Land Management

FILE TITLE: Master Title Plat and Historical Index for Township 9

North, Range 6 East

COLLECTION: LOCATION:

ARCHIVE: U.S. Bureau of Land Management

FILE TITLE: Tract Book for Township 14 North, Range 5 East

COLLECTION:

ARCHIVE: U.S. Bureau of Land Management

FILE TITLE: Federal Land Patents overlying Verde River

COLLECTION: General Land Office

LOCATION:

ARCHIVE: U.S. Bureau of Land Management

FILE TITLE: Field Notes and Survey Plat for Township 13 North,

Range 5 East

COLLECTION: General Land Office

LOCATION:

ARCHIVE: U.S. Bureau of Land Management

FILE TITLE: Field Notes and Survey Plat for Township 2 North, Range

7 East

COLLECTION: General Land Office

LOCATION:

ARCHIVE: U.S. Bureau of Land Management

FILE TITLE: Field Notes and Survey Plat for Township 3 North, Range

7 East

COLLECTION: General Land Office

LOCATION:

ARCHIVE: U.S. Bureau of Land Management

FILE TITLE: Field Notes and Survey Plat for Township 5 North, Range

7 East

COLLECTION: General Land Office

LOCATION:

ARCHIVE: U.S. Bureau of Land Management

FILE TITLE: Field Notes for Township 10 North, Range 6 East

COLLECTION: General Land Office

LOCATION:

ARCHIVE: U.S. Bureau of Land Management

FILE TITLE: Field Notes for Township 11 North, Range 6 East

COLLECTION: General Land Office

LOCATION:

ARCHIVE: U.S. Bureau of Land Management

FILE TITLE: Field Notes for Township 11 North, Range 7 East

COLLECTION: General Land Office

LOCATION:

ARCHIVE: U.S. Bureau of Land Management

FILE TITLE: Field Notes for Township 12 North, Range 5 East

COLLECTION: General Land Office

LOCATION:

ARCHIVE: U.S. Bureau of Land Management

FILE TITLE: Field Notes for Township 12 North, Range 6 East

COLLECTION: General Land Office

LOCATION:

ARCHIVE: U.S. Bureau of Land Management

FILE TITLE: Field Notes for Township 12.5 North, Range 4 East

COLLECTION: General Land Office

LOCATION:

ARCHIVE: U.S. Bureau of Land Management

FILE TITLE: Field Notes for Township 6 North, Range 7 East

COLLECTION: General Land Office

LOCATION:

ARCHIVE: U.S. Bureau of Land Management

FILE TITLE: Field Notes for Township 7 North, Range 6 East

COLLECTION: General Land Office

LOCATION:

ARCHIVE: U.S. Bureau of Land Management

FILE TITLE: Field Notes for Township 7 North, Range 7 East

COLLECTION: General Land Office

LOCATION:

ARCHIVE: U.S. Bureau of Land Management

FILE TITLE: Field Notes for Township 9 North, Range 6 East

COLLECTION: General Land Office

LOCATION:

ARCHIVE: U.S. Bureau of Land Management

FILE TITLE: Field Notes for Township 9.5 North, Range 6 East

COLLECTION: General Land Office

LOCATION:

ARCHIVE: U.S. Bureau of Land Management

FILE TITLE: Master Title Plat and Historical Index for Township 2

North, Range 7 East

COLLECTION: General Land Office

LOCATION:

ARCHIVE: U.S. Bureau of Land Management

FILE TITLE: Master Title Plat and Historical Index for Township 3

North, Range 7 East

COLLECTION: General Land Office

LOCATION:

ARCHIVE: U.S. Bureau of Land Management

FILE TITLE: Plats and Field Notes for Township 16 North, Range 3

East

COLLECTION: General Land Office

LOCATION:

ARCHIVE: U.S. Bureau of Land Management

FILE TITLE: Plats and Field Notes for Township 14 North, Range 4

East

COLLECTION: General Land Office

LOCATION:

ARCHIVE: U.S. Bureau of Land Management

FILE TITLE: Plats and Field Notes for Township 15 North, Range 3

East

COLLECTION: General Land Office

LOCATION:

ARCHIVE: U.S. Bureau of Land Management

FILE TITLE: Plats and Field Notes for Township 15 North, Range 4

East

COLLECTION: General Land Office

LOCATION:

ARCHIVE: U.S. Bureau of Land Management

FILE TITLE: Plats and Field Notes for Township 17 North, Range 1

Woet

COLLECTION: General Land Office

LOCATION:

ARCHIVE: U.S. Bureau of Land Management

FILE TITLE: Plats and Field Notes for Township 17 North, Range 2

East

COLLECTION: General Land Office

LOCATION:

ARCHIVE: U.S. Bureau of Land Management

FILE TITLE: Plats and Field Notes for Township 17 North, Range 3

East

COLLECTION: General Land Office

LOCATION:

ARCHIVE: U.S. Bureau of Land Management

FILE TITLE: Plats and Field Notes for Township 18 North, Range 1

East

COLLECTION: General Land Office

LOCATION:

ARCHIVE: U.S. Bureau of Land Management

FILE TITLE: Plats and Field Notes for Township 18 North, Range 1

West

COLLECTION: General Land Office

LOCATION:

ARCHIVE: U.S. Bureau of Land Management

FILE TITLE: Plats and Field Notes for Township 18 North, Range 2

East

COLLECTION: General Land Office

LOCATION:

ARCHIVE: U.S. Bureau of Land Management

FILE TITLE: Plats and Field Notes for Township 4 North, Range 7

East

COLLECTION: General Land Office

LOCATION:

ARCHIVE: U.S. Bureau of Land Management

FILE TITLE: Survey Plat for Township 14 North, Range 5 East

COLLECTION: General Land Office

LOCATION:

ARCHIVE: U.S. Bureau of Land Management

U.S. LIBRARY OF CONGRESS

FILE TITLE: Verde River Irrigation, Dec. 1935

COLLECTION: Papers of William E. Borah

LOCATION: Box 434

ARCHIVE: Library of Congress

U.S. NATIONAL ARCHIVES -- ROCKY MOUNTAIN REGION, DENVER

FILE TITLE: "Project History, Verde Project, Arizona, Volume I-Calendar Year 1933"

COLLECTION: Record Group 115, U.S. Bureau of Reclamation LOCATION: Engineering & Research Center PROJECT HISTORIES

ARCHIVE: National Archives-Rocky Mountain Region

FILE TITLE: "Phelps Dodge Corporation Morenci Water Development Horseshoe Dam Unit on Verde River, Maricopa County, Arizona, January, 1944"

COLLECTION: Record Group 115, U.S. Bureau of Reclamation LOCATION: Engineering & Research Center PROJECT REPORTS

ARCHIVE: National Archives-Rocky Mountain Region

FILE TITLE: "Salt River Project, Final History (to 1916)" COLLECTION: Record Group 115, U.S. Bureau of Reclamation

LOCATION: Engineering and Research Center Project Histories,

1911-1991, Box 142

ARCHIVE: National Archives-Rocky Mountain Region

FILE TITLE: "Salt River Project-Annual Project History, 1916"

COLLECTION: Record Group 115, U.S. Bureau of Reclamation

LOCATION: Engineering and Research Center Project Histories,

1911-1991, Box 142

ARCHIVE: National Archives-Rocky Mountain Region

FILE TITLE: "Annual Report of Operation and Maintenance for the Agricultural Year 1914-1915"

COLLECTION: Record Group 115, U.S. Bureau of Reclamation

LOCATION: Engineering and Research Center Project Histories, 1911-1991, Box 158

ARCHIVE: National Archives-Rocky Mountain Region

FILE TITLE: "118 SALT RIVER PROJECT. Settlement of Water Rights Thru 1913 118"

COLLECTION: Record Group 115, U.S. Bureau of Reclamation

LOCATION: Entry 3, General Administrative and Project Records, 1902-1919

ARCHIVE: National Archives-Rocky Mountain Region

FILE TITLE: "118 SALT RIVER PROJECT. Settlement of Water Rights, 1914 thru June 1919 118"

COLLECTION: Record Group 115, U.S. Bureau of Reclamation

LOCATION: Entry 3, General Administrative and Project Records, 1902-1919

ARCHIVE: National Archives-Rocky Mountain Region

FILE TITLE: "261 SALT RIVER PROJECT. Salt River Valley WUA May 1 to Dec 31, 1905 261"

COLLECTION: Record Group 115, U.S. Bureau of Reclamation

LOCATION: Entry 3, General Administrative and Project Records,

1902-1919

ARCHIVE: National Archives-Rocky Mountain Region

FILE TITLE: "261 SALT RIVER PROJECT. Salt River Valley WUA, Thru May, 1903 261"

COLLECTION: Record Group 115, U.S. Bureau of Reclamation

LOCATION: Entry 3, General Administrative and Project Records, 1902-1919

ARCHIVE: National Archives-Rocky Mountain Region

FILE TITLE: "54-B3 SALT RIVER. Repayments: Corres. re: Furnishing Flood Waters to Water Users 54-B3"

COLLECTION: Record Group 115, U.S. Bureau of Reclamation

LOCATION: Entry 3, General Administrative and Project Records, 1902-1919

ARCHIVE: National Archives-Rocky Mountain Region

FILE TITLE: 929-4 ARIZONA Surveys & Investigations PARADISE VALLEY (Verde River) THRU MAY 1918. 929-4

COLLECTION: Record Group 115, U.S. Bureau of Reclamation

LOCATION: Entry 3, Box 299

ARCHIVE: National Archives-Rocky Mountain Region

FILE TITLE: "SALT RIVER PROJECT, Consulting Engineer Reports, January 1, 1907 - December 31, 1912."

COLLECTION: Record Group 115, U.S. Bureau of Reclamation

LOCATION: Entry 3, General Administrative and Project Records, 1902-1919

ARCHIVE: National Archives-Rocky Mountain Region

FILE TITLE: "SALT RIVER PROJECT, Consulting Engineers Reports, January 1, 1913 - December 31, 1913"

COLLECTION: Record Group 115, U.S. Bureau of Reclamation

LOCATION: Entry 3, General Administrative and Project Records, 1902-1919

ARCHIVE: National Archives-Rocky Mountain Region

FILE TITLE: "SALT RIVER PROJECT, Consulting Engineers Reports, January 1, 1914 - December 31, 1914."

COLLECTION: Record Group 115, U.S. Bureau of Reclamation

LOCATION: Entry 3, General Administrative and Project Records, 1902-1919

ARCHIVE: National Archives-Rocky Mountain Region

FILE TITLE: "SALT RIVER PROJECT. Board of Survey Reports. 544-D"

COLLECTION: Record Group 115, U.S. Bureau of Reclamation

LOCATION: Entry 3, General Administrative and Project Records,

1902-1919

ARCHIVE: National Archives-Rocky Mountain Region

FILE TITLE: "SALT RIVER PROJECT. Classification of Lands, Soil Surveys 559"

COLLECTION: Record Group 115, U.S. Bureau of Reclamation

LOCATION: Entry 3, General Administrative and Project Records, 1902-1919

National Archives-Rocky Mountain Region ARCHIVE:

FILE TITLE: "SALT RIVER PROJECT. Consulting Engineers Reports, Thru

COLLECTION: Record Group 115, U.S. Bureau of Reclamation

Entry 3, General Administrative and Project Records, LOCATION:

1902-1919

National Archives-Rocky Mountain Region ARCHIVE:

FILE TITLE: "SALT RIVER PROJECT. Corres. Re Board of Survey 544-D"

COLLECTION: Record Group 115, U.S. Bureau of Reclamation

Entry 3, General Administrative and Project Records, LOCATION:

1902-1919

National Archives-Rocky Mountain Region ARCHIVE:

FILE TITLE: "SALT RIVER PROJECT. Corres. Re Board of Survey. Jan 1, 1916 to 544-D"

COLLECTION: Record Group 115, U.S. Bureau of Reclamation

Entry 3, General Administrative and Project Records, LOCATION:

1902-1919

National Archives-Rocky Mountain Region ARCHIVE:

FILE TITLE: "SALT RIVER PROJECT. Water Appropriations" COLLECTION: Record Group 115, U.S. Bureau of Reclamation

Entry 3, General Administrative and Project Records, LOCATION:

1902-1919

National Archives-Rocky Mountain Region ARCHIVE:

FILE TITLE: "023.6- VERDE RIVER Verde Irrigation, Press Releases

Thru 1929"

COLLECTION: Record Group 115, U.S. Bureau of Reclamation

Entry 7, General Administrative and Project Records, LOCATION:

1919-1929, Verde/Paradise Verde Project

National Archives-Rocky Mountain Region ARCHIVE:

FILE TITLE: "032.-SALT RIVER PARADISE VERDE, Water Rights,

Settlement of Indian Thru 1929, 032.-"

COLLECTION: Record Group 115, U.S. Bureau of Reclamation LOCATION: Entry 7, General Administrative and Project Records,

1919-1929, Verde/Paradise Verde Project

National Archives-Rocky Mountain Region ARCHIVE:

FILE TITLE: "090. SALT RIVER PARADISE VERDE Miscellaneous Thru 1929"

COLLECTION: Record Group 115, U.S. Bureau of Reclamation

Entry 7, General Administrative and Project Records, LOCATION:

1919-1929, Verde/Paradise Verde Project

National Archives-Rocky Mountain Region ARCHIVE:

FILE TITLE: "140. SALT RIVER PARADISE VERDE Legislation Thru 1929

140." COLLECTION: Record Group 115, U.S. Bureau of Reclamation

Entry 7, General Administrative and Project Records, LOCATION:

1919-1929, Verde/Paradise Verde Project

ARCHIVE: National Archives-Rocky Mountain Region

FILE TITLE: "222.- SALT RIVER PARADISE VERDE Drainage. Verde River

Irrigation and Power Dist. Thru 1929 222.-"

COLLECTION: Record Group 115, U.S. Bureau of Reclamation

LOCATION: Entry 7, General Administrative and Project Records,

1919-1929, Verde/Paradise Verde Project

ARCHIVE: National Archives-Rocky Mountain Region

FILE TITLE: "301. SALT RIVER PARADISE VERDE Engineering Reports &

Estimates Thru 1929 301."

COLLECTION: Record Group 115, U.S. Bureau of Reclamation

Entry 7, General Administrative and Project Records, LOCATION:

1919-1929, Verde/Paradise Verde Project

National Archives-Rocky Mountain Region

FILE TITLE: "301. VERDE RIVER Report dated 1920 by the Beckman &

Linden Engineering Corporation. 301."

COLLECTION: Record Group 115, U.S. Bureau of Reclamation

Entry 7, General Administrative and Project Records, LOCATION:

1919-1929, Verde/Paradise Verde Project

ARCHIVE: National Archives-Rocky Mountain Region

FILE TITLE: "301. VERDE RIVER. Engineering Reports Thru 1920"

COLLECTION: Record Group 115, U.S. Bureau of Reclamation LOCATION: Entry 7, General Administrative and Project Records,

1919-1929, Verde/Paradise Verde Project

ARCHIVE: National Archives-Rocky Mountain Region

U.S. NATIONAL ARCHIVES, SOUTHWEST REGION, LAGUNA NIGUEL

FILE TITLE: Palo Verde Project: 860-M: - Reports: Misc.

COLLECTION: Record Group 48, Records of the Office of the Secretary

of the Interior, Office of the Solicitor

LOCATION: Miscellaneous Projects, Box 6 (Palmdale Project to Palo

Verde Project)

ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives branch, Southwest Region

U.S. NATIONAL ARCHIVES I, WASHINGTON, D.C.

FILE TITLE: Vol. 9 of 14, Fort McDowell, AR

COLLECTION: Record Group 393, Records of the U.S. Army Commands

LOCATION: Entry 2

ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: "Volume 1, Letters Sent From Aug. 18, 1865 to Oct. 11,

COLLECTION: Record Group 393, Records of the U.S. Army Commands

LOCATION: Entry 2, Letters Sent, August 18, 1865 to Oct. 11,

1867, Fort McDowell, Arizona

ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: "Arizona, Camp McDowell"

COLLECTION: Record Group 49, U.S. General Land Office

LOCATION: Abandoned Military Reservations File, Arizona, Camp

McDowell-Target Ranges, Box 15'
ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: Camp Verde, Arizona, See Fort Verde

COLLECTION: Record Group 49, U.S. General Land Office

LOCATION: Division "K" Abandoned Military Reservation Files, Box

16

ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: "Verde River Irrigation & Power District, Canal and Reservoir File"

COLLECTION: Record Group 49, U.S. General Land Office

LOCATION: Division "K" Abandoned Military Reservation Files, Box

17

ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: No Title: Will insert blank page with "Untitled" written on it

COLLECTION: Record Group 49, U.S. General Land Office

LOCATION: Division "K" Abandoned Military Reservation Files, Box

17

ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: Rio Verde Canal Co.

COLLECTION: Record Group 49, U.S. General Land Office

LOCATION: Entry 569, Division "F" Old Canal and Reservoir Files,

1891-1929, Box 14

ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: Paradise Valley Water Users Asso.

COLLECTION: Record Group 49, U.S. General Land Office

LOCATION: Entry 572, Division "F" New Canal and Reservoir Files,

Box 146

ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: Paradise Verde Water Users Ass No. 2

COLLECTION: Record Group 49, U.S. General Land Office

LOCATION: Entry 572, Division "F" New Canal and Reservoir Files,

Box 146

ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: Upper Verde Reservation Ditch and Water Right

COLLECTION: Record Group 49, U.S. General Land Office

LOCATION: Entry 572, Division "F" New Canal and Reservoir Files,

Box 194

ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: Central Verde Ditch, Arizona

COLLECTION: Record Group 49, U.S. General Land Office

LOCATION: Entry 572, Division "F" New Canal and Reservoir Files,

Box 32

ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: "66254 - 1913 (1) Camp Verde 308"

COLLECTION: Record Group 75, U.S. Bureau of Indian Affairs

LOCATION: Entry 121, Camp Verde, Decimal No. 308, Land

Allotments-Box 1600

ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: "6624 - 1913 (2) Camp Verde 308"

COLLECTION: Record Group 75, U.S. Bureau of Indian Affairs

LOCATION: Entry 121, Camp Verde, Decimal No. 308, Land

Allotments-Box 1601

ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: "66254 - 1913 (3) Camp Verde 308"

COLLECTION: Record Group 75, U.S. Bureau of Indian Affairs

LOCATION: Entry 121, Camp Verde, Decimal No. 308, Land

Allotments-Box 1601

ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: "12718 1926 Camp Verde 341"

COLLECTION: Record Group 75, U.S. Bureau of Indian Affairs

LOCATION: Entry 121, Camp Verde, Decimal No. 341, Land

Allotments-Box 1601

ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: "56540 1926 Camp Verde 341"

COLLECTION: Record Group 75, U.S. Bureau of Indian Affairs

LOCATION: Entry 121, Camp Verde, Decimal No. 341, Land

Allotments-Box 1601

ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: "Camp McDowell 1905"

COLLECTION: Record Group 75, U.S. Bureau of Indian Affairs

LOCATION: Entry 653, District 4, Box 73

ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: "Camp McDowell 1906"

COLLECTION: Record Group 75, U.S. Bureau of Indian Affairs

LOCATION: Entry 653, District 4, Box 73

ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: "Camp McDowell 1909"

COLLECTION: Record Group 75, U.S. Bureau of Indian Affairs

LOCATION: Entry 653, District 4, Box 73

ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: "Annual Report of Chief Engineer, 1913"

COLLECTION: Record Group 75, U.S. Bureau of Indian Affairs

LOCATION: Entry 654, Box 1

ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: "Resume of Irrigation Conditions End of Fiscal Year

1914, June 30, 1914"

COLLECTION: Record Group 75, U.S. Bureau of Indian Affairs

LOCATION: Entry 655, Box 29

ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: Report on Flood Damage, Camp McDowell Res., C.R. Olberg

Feb. 1916

COLLECTION: Record Group 75, U.S. Bureau of Indian Affairs

LOCATION: Entry 657, Box 48, Reports and Related Records,

1891-1946

ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: Report on Irrigation of Camp McDowell Indian Res. Ariz.

F.R. Schanck, Feb 1913

COLLECTION: Record Group 75, U.S. Bureau of Indian Affairs

LOCATION: Entry 657, Box 48, Reports and Related Records,

1891-1946

ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: "Report on the Irrigation of the Ft. McDowell Indian

Res. Verde River Valley, Ariz. to R.G. Valentine, W.H. Roscrans,

Aug 31, 1916"

COLLECTION: Record Group 75, U.S. Bureau of Indian Affairs

LOCATION: Entry 657, Salt River-Verde, Box 48

ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: "Report on Proposed Irrigation Project, McDowell Res.,

Ariz. C.R. Olberg. Jan. 1916, Vol. 1"

COLLECTION: Record Group 75, U.S. Bureau of Indian Affairs

LOCATION: Entry 657, Salt River-Verde, Box 49

ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: "Fort McDowell, Arizona"

COLLECTION: Record Group 94, Records of the Adjutant General's

Office, 1780s-1917, Records of Divisions

LOCATION: Box 65, Military Reservation Division, early 1800s-1916

ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: "Medical Histories of Posts, Fort McDowell, 1865 to

December 1872"

COLLECTION: Record Group 94, Records of the Adjutant General's

Office, 1780s-1917, Records of Divisions

LOCATION: Vol. 35

ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: "Medical History of the Post, Fort McDowell Arizona

Territory, Department of Arizona, January, 1884"

COLLECTION: Record Group 94, Records of the Adjutant General's

Office, 1780s-1917, Records of Divisions

LOCATION: Vol. 39

ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: VERDE - post, camp or Fort. Aban. Military Res. -

Arizona

COLLECTION: Record Group 49, U.S. General Land Office

LOCATION: Entry 690, Abandoned Military Reservation Files, Box 17

ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: Box 158A, Tonto National Forest, Folder 1 COLLECTION: Record Group 49, U.S. General Land Office LOCATION: Entry 918, National Forests, 1891-1955

ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: Box 35, Coconino National Forest

COLLECTION: Record Group 49, U.S. General Land Office LOCATION: Entry 918, National Forests, 1891-1955

ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: Cash Entry Patent File 129, John Wood COLLECTION: Record Group 49, U.S. General Land Office

LOCATION: Serial Land Patents
ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: Cash Entry Patent File 130, Reuben C. Campbell

COLLECTION: Record Group 49, U.S. General Land Office

LOCATION: Serial Land Patents
ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: Cash Entry Patent File 134, Bradley C. Rain COLLECTION: Record Group 49, U.S. General Land Office

LOCATION: Serial Land Patents
ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: Cash Entry Patent File 144, George W. Hull COLLECTION: Record Group 49, U.S. General Land Office

LOCATION: Serial Land Patents
ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: Cash Entry Patent File 148, Preston W. Burford

COLLECTION: Record Group 49, U.S. General Land Office

LOCATION: Serial Land Patents
ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: Cash Entry Patent File 149, Josiah Marr COLLECTION: Record Group 49, U.S. General Land Office

LOCATION: Serial Land Patents
ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: Cash Entry Patent File 157, Mitchel Burch COLLECTION: Record Group 49, U.S. General Land Office

LOCATION: Serial Land Patents
ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: Cash Entry Patent File 158, William Munds COLLECTION: Record Group 49, U.S. General Land Office

LOCATION: Serial Land Patents
ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: Cash Entry Patent File 162, David W. Strahan COLLECTION: Record Group 49, U.S. General Land Office

LOCATION: Serial Land Patents
ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: Cash Entry Patent File 174, Andrew J. Winningham

COLLECTION: Record Group 49, U.S. General Land Office

LOCATION: Serial Land Patents
ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: Cash Entry Patent File 185, Everett A. Jordan

COLLECTION: Record Group 49, U.S. General Land Office

LOCATION: Serial Land Patents
ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: Cash Entry Patent File 215, John C. Duff COLLECTION: Record Group 49, U.S. General Land Office

LOCATION: Serial Land Patents
ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: Cash Entry Patent File 220, Alonzo Bristow COLLECTION: Record Group 49, U.S. General Land Office

LOCATION: Serial Land Patents
ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: Cash Entry Patent File 237, William F. Wilber

COLLECTION: Record Group 49, U.S. General Land Office

LOCATION: Serial Land Patents
ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: Cash Entry Patent File 238, Robert M. Rogers COLLECTION: Record Group 49, U.S. General Land Office

LOCATION: Serial Land Patents
ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: Cash Entry Patent File 250, Frank Knell COLLECTION: Record Group 49, U.S. General Land Office

LOCATION: Serial Land Patents
ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: Cash Entry Patent File 277, Thomas R. King COLLECTION: Record Group 49, U.S. General Land Office

LOCATION: Serial Land Patents
ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: Cash Entry Patent File 318, Farrall Tiernan COLLECTION: Record Group 49, U.S. General Land Office

LOCATION: Serial Land Patents
ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: Cash Entry Patent File 329, James Brown COLLECTION: Record Group 49, U.S. General Land Office

LOCATION: Serial Land Patents
ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: Cash Entry Patent File 330, Willie A. Jordan COLLECTION: Record Group 49, U.S. General Land Office

LOCATION: Serial Land Patents
ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: Desert Land Entry Patent 439098, Dea Mee Get COLLECTION: Record Group 49, U.S. General Land Office

LOCATION: Serial Land Patents
ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: Desert Land Entry Patent File 717758, Ada D. Andrews

COLLECTION: Record Group 49, U.S. General Land Office

LOCATION: Serial Land Patents
ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: Forest Lieu Patent File 264746, Santa Fe Pacific

Railroad Company

COLLECTION: Record Group 49, U.S. General Land Office

LOCATION: Serial Land Patents
ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: Forest Lieu Patent File 580415, Santa Fe Pacific

Railroad Company

COLLECTION: Record Group 49, U.S. General Land Office

LOCATION: Serial Land Patents
ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: Homestead Entry Patent File 155, David Scott COLLECTION: Record Group 49, U.S. General Land Office

LOCATION: Serial Land Patents
ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: Homestead Entry Patent File 158, William Nichols

COLLECTION: Record Group 49, U.S. General Land Office

LOCATION: Serial Land Patents
ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: Homestead Entry Patent File 166, Jackson Thompson

COLLECTION: Record Group 49, U.S. General Land Office

LOCATION: Serial Land Patents
ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: Homestead Entry Patent File 169, Henry Williams

COLLECTION: Record Group 49, U.S. General Land Office

LOCATION: Serial Land Patents
ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: Homestead Entry Patent File 171435, Louis Tiszo

COLLECTION: Record Group 49, U.S. General Land Office

LOCATION: Serial Land Patents
ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: Homestead Entry Patent File 187, Lewis A. Willard

COLLECTION: Record Group 49, U.S. General Land Office

LOCATION: Serial Land Patents
ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: Homestead Entry Patent File 192, James M. Williams

COLLECTION: Record Group 49, U.S. General Land Office

LOCATION: Serial Land Patents
ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: Homestead Entry Patent File 198, Thomas F. Peters

COLLECTION: Record Group 49, U.S. General Land Office

LOCATION: Serial Land Patents
ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: Homestead Entry Patent File 199, Henry J. Peters

COLLECTION: Record Group 49, U.S. General Land Office

LOCATION: Serial Land Patents
ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: Homestead Entry Patent File 220, John C. Duff

COLLECTION: Record Group 49, U.S. General Land Office

LOCATION: Serial Land Patents
ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: Homestead Entry Patent File 228, Thomas Carroll

COLLECTION: Record Group 49, U.S. General Land Office

LOCATION: Serial Land Patents
ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: Homestead Entry Patent File 253, Leonard Carroll, Sr.

COLLECTION: Record Group 49, U.S. General Land Office

LOCATION: Serial Land Patents
ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: Homestead Entry Patent File 290917, John Thomas Logan

COLLECTION: Record Group 49, U.S. General Land Office

LOCATION: Serial Land Patents
ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: Homestead Entry Patent File 307045, Josiah L. Murdock

COLLECTION: Record Group 49, U.S. General Land Office

LOCATION: Serial Land Patents
ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: Homestead Entry Patent File 349, John T. Nelson

COLLECTION: Record Group 49, U.S. General Land Office

LOCATION: Serial Land Patents
ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: Homestead Entry Patent File 350305, David Strahan

COLLECTION: Record Group 49, U.S. General Land Office

LOCATION: Serial Land Patents
ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: Homestead Entry Patent File 363, John W. Ralston

COLLECTION: Record Group 49, U.S. General Land Office

LOCATION: Serial Land Patents
ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: Homestead Entry Patent File 364, William S. Head

COLLECTION: Record Group 49, U.S. General Land Office

LOCATION: Serial Land Patents
ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: Homestead Entry Patent File 431987, L. William Pugh

COLLECTION: Record Group 49, U.S. General Land Office

LOCATION: Serial Land Patents
ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: Homestead Entry Patent File 444072, Joseph Brazil

COLLECTION: Record Group 49, U.S. General Land Office

LOCATION: Serial Land Patents
ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: Homestead Entry Patent File 465357, John N. Alexander

COLLECTION: Record Group 49, U.S. General Land Office

LOCATION: Serial Land Patents
ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: Homestead Entry Patent File 512, John B. Ricketts

COLLECTION: Record Group 49, U.S. General Land Office

LOCATION: Serial Land Patents
ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: Homestead Entry Patent File 522538, Louis Tiszo

COLLECTION: Record Group 49, U.S. General Land Office

LOCATION: Serial Land Patents
ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: Homestead Entry Patent File 532826, Luis Lopez Reyes

COLLECTION: Record Group 49, U.S. General Land Office

LOCATION: Serial Land Patents
ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: Homestead Entry Patent File 539, William H. Hawkins

COLLECTION: Record Group 49, U.S. General Land Office

LOCATION: Serial Land Patents
ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: Homestead Entry Patent File 546, Lennie Young

COLLECTION: Record Group 49, U.S. General Land Office

LOCATION: Serial Land Patents
ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: Homestead Entry Patent File 564, Jules J. Humbert

COLLECTION: Record Group 49, U.S. General Land Office

LOCATION: Serial Land Patents
ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: Homestead Entry Patent File 565, August J. Humbert

COLLECTION: Record Group 49, U.S. General Land Office

LOCATION: Serial Land Patents
ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: Homestead Entry Patent File 590591, Harlin Wood

COLLECTION: Record Group 49, U.S. General Land Office

LOCATION: Serial Land Patents
ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: Homestead Entry Patent File 624521, Benjamin McDonald

COLLECTION: Record Group 49, U.S. General Land Office

LOCATION: Serial Land Patents
ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: Homestead Entry Patent File 646, Wallace B. Willard

COLLECTION: Record Group 49, U.S. General Land Office

LOCATION: Serial Land Patents
ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: Homestead Entry Patent File 666, Ralph A. Dykstra

COLLECTION: Record Group 49, U.S. General Land Office

LOCATION: Serial Land Patents
ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: Homestead Entry Patent File 680819, Caroline E. Adams

COLLECTION: Record Group 49, U.S. General Land Office

LOCATION: Serial Land Patents
ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: Homestead Entry Patent File 716502, John F. Ralston

COLLECTION: Record Group 49, U.S. General Land Office

LOCATION: Serial Land Patents
ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: Homestead Entry Patent File 728034, Patrick F. Geary

COLLECTION: Record Group 49, U.S. General Land Office

LOCATION: Serial Land Patents
ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: Homestead Entry Patent File 737605, Lee Smart COLLECTION: Record Group 49, U.S. General Land Office

LOCATION: Serial Land Patents
ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: Homestead Entry Patent File 793381, Timothy P. Sullivan

COLLECTION: Record Group 49, U.S. General Land Office

LOCATION: Serial Land Patents
ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: Homestead Entry Patent File 844648, Joseph H. Morrison

COLLECTION: Record Group 49, U.S. General Land Office

LOCATION: Serial Land Patents
ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: Homestead Entry Patent File 850, George C. Brown

COLLECTION: Record Group 49, U.S. General Land Office

LOCATION: Serial Land Patents
ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: Homestead Entry Patent File 88473, Samuel G.

Wallingford

COLLECTION: Record Group 49, U.S. General Land Office

LOCATION: Serial Land Patents
ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

FILE TITLE: Mining Entry Patent File 335072, Sycamore Mining

Smelting and Development Company

COLLECTION: Record Group 49, U.S. General Land Office

LOCATION: Serial Land Patents
ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives

U.S. NATIONAL ARCHIVES II, COLLEGE PARK, MARYLAND

FILE TITLE: File 2184

COLLECTION: Record Group 57, Records of the U.S. Geological Survey LOCATION: Entry 369, Conservation Division, Water and Power Branch, Records Concerning Land and Stream Classification, 1900-61 ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives II

FILE TITLE: Progress Report upon Geographical and Geological Explorations and Surveys West of the 100th Meridian in 1872... COLLECTION: Record Group 57, Records of the U.S. Geological Survey, Wheeler Survey

LOCATION: Entry 20, Report on Wheeler Survey in 1872, Box 1

ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives II

FILE TITLE: Coconino National Forest Atlas

COLLECTION: Record Group 95, U.S. Forest Service Cartographics

LOCATION: Entry 65, Forest Atlases, 1908-25

ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives II

FILE TITLE: Prescott National Forest Atlas

COLLECTION: Record Group 95, U.S. Forest Service Cartographics

LOCATION: Entry 65, Forest Atlases, 1908-25

ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives II

FILE TITLE: Tonto National Forest Atlas

COLLECTION: Record Group 95, U.S. Forest Service Cartographics

LOCATION: Entry 65, Forest Atlases, 1908-25

ARCHIVE: U.S. National Archives II

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA

FILE TITLE: Notes and Description of Life and Events at Old Camp Lincoln, Arizona, and New Camp Lincoln on the Rio Verde in 1865-66

COLLECTION: Unpublished manuscript

LOCATION:

ARCHIVE: University of Arizona Library

UTAH STATE HISTORICAL SOCIETY

FILE TITLE: Guy M. Keysor Journal

COLLECTION: Guy M. Keysor

LOCATION:

ARCHIVE: Utah State Historical Society

WATER RESOURCES CENTER ARCHIVES, BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA

FILE TITLE: Storage Irrigation System of the Rio Verde Canal Co. of

Phoenix, Arizona

COLLECTION: James Dix Schuyler Collection

LOCATION: 138-Part 1

ARCHIVE: Water Resources Center Archives

FILE TITLE: Rio Verde Canal and Reservoirs COLLECTION: James Dix Schuyler Collection

LOCATION: 138-Part 2

ARCHIVE: Water Resources Center Archives

FILE TITLE: Report Upon the Development and Distribution of the

Water Resources of Salt River Valley, Arizona COLLECTION: Joseph B. Lippincott Collection

LOCATION: 52-2

ARCHIVE: Water Resources Center Archives

APPENDIX B -- PUBLISHED SOURCES

BOOKS AND PUBLISHED GOVERNMENT DOCUMENTS

A Historical and Biographical Record of the Territory of Arizona. Chicago: McFarland & Poole, 1896.

A Report on Barracks and Hospitals with Descriptions of Military Posts. Washington, D.C.: War Department, Surgeon General's Office, 1870.

An Historical Survey of McDowell Mountain Regional Park, Maricopa County, Arizona. Phoenix: Maricopa County Parks and Recreation Department, 1963.

Annual Report of the Commissioner of Indian Affairs to the Secretary of the Interior for the year 1870. Washington D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1870.

Annual Report of the Commissioner of Indian Affairs to the Secretary of the Interior for the Year 1871. Washington D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1872.

Annual Report of the Commissioner of Indian Affairs to the Secretary of the Interior for the Year 1872. Washington D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1872.

Annual Report of the Commissioner of Indian Affairs to the Secretary of the Interior for the Year 1875. Washington D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1875.

Arizona State Land Department. Land Code of the State of Arizona Including Federal Land Grants and State Laws Appertaining to State Lands and Water Effective July 1, 1943. Phoenix: Arizona State Land Department, 1943.

Bashford, Cole. <u>Compiled Laws of Arizona, 1864-1871</u>. Albany: Weed, Parsons, and Company, 1871.

Bolton, Herbert Eugene. <u>Spanish Exploration in the Southwest</u>, 1542-1706. New York: McGraw-Hill Book Co, 1932.

Brophy, Blake, ed. <u>Phoenix 1870-1970 in Photographs</u>. Phoenix: Arizona Photographic Associates, 1970.

Cady, John H. Arizona's Yesterday. Patagonia: John H. Cady, 1916.

Clarke, D.W. Looking Back Across the Years, in Pioneer Stories of Arizona's Verde Valley. Camp Verde: Verde Valley Pioneers Association, 1954.

Comeaux, Malcolm L. <u>Arizona, A Geography</u>. Boulder: Westview Press, 1981.

Corbusier, William T. <u>Verde to San Carlos: Recollections of a Famous Army Surgeon and His Observant Family on the Western Frontier, 1869-1886</u>. Tucson: Dale Stuart King, 1968.

Davis, Arthur Powell. <u>Irrigation Near Phoenix</u>, <u>Arizona</u>, U.S Geological Survey Water Supply Paper No. 2. Washington D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1897.

Eason, Nicholas J. <u>Fort Verde: An Era of Men and Courage</u>. Camp Verde: Fort Verde Museum Society, 1966.

Espejo, Antonio de. Report of Antonio de Espejo in The Rediscovery of New Mexico, 1580-1594: The Explorations of Chamuscado, Espejo, Castano de Sosa, Morlete, and Leyva de Bonilla and Humana. Albuquerque: University of New Mexico Press, 1966.

Faulk, Odie. <u>Arizona: A Short History</u>. Norman: University of Oklahoma Press, 1970.

Fewkes, J. Walter. Antiquities of the Upper Verde River and Walnut Creek Valleys, Arizona. Washington D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1912.

Follansbee, et al., Robert. <u>Surface Water Supply of the U.S.</u>, 1911-Part IX. Colorado River Basin, U.S. Geological Survey Water Supply Paper, No. 309. Washington D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1914.

Follansbee, et al., Robert. <u>Surface Water Supply of the U.S., 1912-Part IX. Colorado River Basin</u>, U.S. Geological Survey Water Supply Paper 329. Washington D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1914.

Forbes, R.H. <u>Irrigation and Agricultural Practice In Arizona</u>. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1911.

Foreman, Grant, ed. <u>Pathfinder in the Southwest: The Itinerary of Lieutenant A.W. Whipple During His Exploration for a Railway Route from Fort Smith to Los Angeles in the Years 1853 and 1854, by Amiel Weeks Whipple.</u> Norman: University of Oklahoma Press, 1941.

Freeman, W.B., et al. <u>Surface Water Supply of the U.S. 1907-8, Part IX. Colorado River Basin</u>, U.S. Geological Survey Water Supply Paper, No. 249. Washington D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1910.

Freeman, W.B., et al. <u>Surface Water Supply of the U.S. 1909</u>, <u>Part IX. Colorado River Basin</u>, U.S. Geological Survey Water Supply

Paper, No. 269. Washington D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1911.

Freeman, W.B., et al. <u>Surface Water Supply of the U.S.-Colorado River Basin</u>, U.S. Geological Survey Water Supply Paper, No. 289. Washington D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1912.

Goodman, David M. <u>Arizona Odyssey</u>. Tempe: Arizona Historical Foundation, 1969.

Grover, Nathan C. <u>Surface Water Supply of the U.S., 1913-Part IX.</u> <u>Colorado River Basin</u>, U.S. Geological Survey Water Supply Paper, No. 359. Washington D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1916.

Grover, Nathan C. <u>Surface Water Supply of the U.S., 1914-Part IX.</u> <u>Colorado River Basin</u>, U.S. Geological Survey Water Supply Paper, No. 389. Washington D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1917.

Grover, Nathan C. <u>Surface Water Supply of the U.S., 1915-Part IX.</u> <u>Colorado River Basin</u>, U.S. Geological Survey Water Supply Paper, No. 409. Washington D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1918.

Grover, Nathan C. <u>Surface Water Supply of the U.S., 1916-Part IX.</u> <u>Colorado River Basin</u>, U.S. Geological Survey Water Supply Paper No. 439. Washington D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1919.

Grover, Nathan C. <u>Surface Water Supply of the U.S., 1917-Part IX.</u> <u>Colorado River Basin</u>, U.S. Geological Survey Water Supply Paper, No. 459. Washington D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1921.

Grover, Nathan C. <u>Surface Water Supply of the U.S., 1918-Part IX.</u> <u>Colorado River Basin</u>, U.S. Geological Survey Water Supply Paper, No. 479. Washington D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1922.

Hannum, Anna Paschall, ed. <u>A Quaker Forty-Niner: The Adventures of Charles Edward Pancoast</u>. Philadelphia, N.p., 1930.

Hayden, Carl. A History of the Pima Indians and the San Carlos Irrigation Project, S. Doc. 11, 89 Cong., 1 sess., 1865.

Heap, Gwinn Harris. Central Route to the Pacific, from the Valley of the Mississippi to California: Journal of the Expedition of E.F. Beale . . . From Missouri to California in 1853. Glendale: The Arthur H. Clark Company, 1957.

Horton, Arthur G. An Economic, Political and Social Survey of Phoenix and the Valley of the Sun. Tempe: Southside Progress, 1941.

Hoyt, John C. Report of Progress of Stream Measurements for the Calendar Year 1903, Part IV. Interior Basin, Pacific, and Hudson

Bay Drainage, U.S. Geological Survey Water Supply Paper, No. 100. Washington D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1904.

Latimer, Joseph P. The Rape of the McDowell Reservation by the Indian Bureau: A Statement of Facts. Np., 1921.

Lloyd, Elwood. Arizonology. Flagstaff: The Coconino Sun, 1933.

Luckingham, Bradford. <u>The Urban Southwest: A Profile History of Albuquerque</u>, El Paso, and Phoenix. El Paso: Texas Western Press, 1982.

Marion, J.M. <u>Notes of Travel Through Arizona in 1870</u>. Tucson: University of Arizona Press, 1965.

Meeker, R.I., et al. <u>Surface Water Supply of Colorado River Drainage Above Yuma</u>, U.S. Geological Survey Water Supply Paper No. 211. Washington D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1908.

Mindeleff, Cosmos. <u>Aboriginal Remains in Verde Valley, Arizona</u>. Washington D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1896.

Mullen, Charles P., comp. <u>Land Code of the State of Arizona Including Federal Land Grants and State Laws Appertaining to State Lands</u>. Phoenix: Arizona Land Department, 1936.

Myrick, David F. Railroads of Arizona, Volume II: Phoenix and the Central Roads. San Diego: Howell-North Books, 1980.

Newell, Frederick. <u>First Annual Report of the Reclamation Service</u>, <u>from June 17 to December 1, 1902</u>. Washington D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1903.

Newell, Frederick H. <u>Third Annual Report of the Reclamation Service, 1903-4</u>. Washington D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1905.

Newell, Frederick H. <u>Surface Water Supply of the U.S.-Colorado River Basin</u>, U.S. Geological Survey Water Supply Paper, No. 75. Washington D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1903.

Newell, Frederick H. <u>Surface Water Supply of the U.S.-Colorado River Basin</u>. U.S. Geological Survey Water Supply Paper, No. 85. Washington D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1903.

Nicolson, John, ed. <u>The Arizona of Joseph Pratt Allyn</u>. Tucson: University of Arizona Press, 1974.

Public Land Code State of Arizona, Land Code of 1915 with Amendments. Phoenix: G.G. Morgan Printing Company, 1921.

- <u>Public Land Code, State of Arizona, Laws of Second Special Session, Second State Legislature, 1915.</u> Phoenix: Republican Print Shop, 1915.
- Reed, Bill. The Last Bugle Call: A History of Fort McDowell, Arizona Territory. Parsons: McClain Printing Company, 1977.
- Reports of Explorations and Surveys to Ascertain the Most Practicable and Economical Route for a Railroad from the Mississippi River to the Pacific Ocean. H. Ex. Doc. 91, 33 Cong. 2 sess., 1855.
- Research Management West. <u>A Historical Analysis of the Verde River, Arizona, Prepared for Miscal, Weeks, McIntyre, and Friedlander</u>. Tempe, N.p. 1987.
- Rusinek, Walter. <u>Battle for the Verde River: Water, Power, and Politics in Arizona, 1890-1934</u>. Tucson: University of Arizona Press.
- Schroeder, Albert H. A Brief History of the Yavapai of the Middle Verde Valley. Flagstaff: Museum of Northern Arizona, 1952.
- Smith, L.P. <u>Long-Term Streamflow Histories of the Salt and Verde Rivers</u>, <u>Arizona as Reconstructed from Tree Rings</u>. Tucson: University of Arizona, 1901.
- Stein, Pat H. <u>Data Recovery for Phase I of the Fort McDowell Irrigation Betterment Project: Historical Archaeology at the Fort McDowell Indian Reservation, Maricopa County, Arizona</u>. Tempe: Archaeological Research Services, Inc., 1984.
- Sublette, W.J., et al. <u>Outdoor Recreation in the Salt-Verde Basin of Central Arizona: Demand and Value</u>. Tucson, 1975.
- Summerhayes, Martha. <u>Vanished Arizona: Recollections of the Army Life of a New England Woman</u>. Lincoln: University of Nebraska, 1979.
- Tellman, Barbara, et al. <u>Arizona's Changing Rivers: How People Have Affected the Rivers</u>. Tucson: University of Arizona, 1997.
- Turney, Omar A. <u>Use and Duty of Water on the Verde River</u>. Cleveland: Cleveland Daily Record, 1901.
- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. <u>List of Bridges Over the Navigable Waters of the United States, 1925</u>. Washington D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1926.
- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. <u>List of Bridges Over the Navigable Waters of the United States</u>, 1927. Washington D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1928.

- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. <u>List of Bridges Over the Navigable Waters of the United States</u>, <u>Revised to January 1, 1935</u>. Washington D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1936.
- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. <u>List of Bridges Over Navigable Waters of the United States, Revised to July 1, 1941</u>. Washington D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1948.
- U.S. Forest Service. <u>Analysis of the Criteria for Navigability</u>, <u>Verde River</u>. Washington D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1996.
- U.S. Geological Survey. <u>Surface Water Supply of the U.S.-Colorado River Basin</u>, U.S. Geological Survey Water Supply Paper No. 66. Washington D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1902.
- U.S. Geological Survey. <u>Surface Water Supply of the U.S.-Colorado River Basin</u>, U.S. Geological Survey Water Supply Paper, No. 50. Washington D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1901.
- U.S. Geological Survey. <u>Surface Water Supply of the U.S.-Colorado River Basin</u>, U.S. Geological Survey Water Supply Paper, No. 274. Washington D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1911.
- U.S. Geological Survey. <u>Eighteenth Annual Report of the United States Geological Survey to the Secretary of the Interior, 1896-97, Part IV-Hydrography</u>. Washington D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1897.
- U.S. Geological Survey. <u>Eleventh Annual Report of the United States Geological Survey to the Secretary of the Interior, 1889-1890, Part II-Irrigation</u>. Washington D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1891.
- U.S. Geological Survey. <u>Nineteenth Annual Report of the United States Geological Survey to the Secretary of the Interior, 1897-98, Part IV-Hydrography</u>. Washington D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1899.
- U.S. Geological Survey. <u>Sixth Annual Report of the United States</u> <u>Geological Survey to the Secretary of the Interior, 1884-85</u>. Washington D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1885.
- U.S. Geological Survey. <u>Summary of Records of Surface Waters at Stations on Tributaries in Lower Colorado River Basin, 1888-1938</u>, U.S. Geological Survey Water Supply Paper No. 1049. Washington D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1947.
- U.S. Geological Survey. Thirteenth Annual Report of the United States Geological Survey to the Secretary of the Interior, 1891-92, Part III-Irrigation. Washington D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1893.

- U.S. Geological Survey. <u>Twelfth Annual Report of the United States Geological Survey to the Secretary of the Interior, 1890-91, Part II-Irrigation</u>. Washington D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1891.
- U.S. Geological Survey. <u>Twentieth Annual Report of the Geological Survey for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 1899, Part IV.</u> Washington D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1900.

Wallace, Andrew. <u>Sources and Readings in Arizona History</u>. Tucson: Arizona Pioneers' Historical Society, 1965.

Wallace W. Elliot & Co. <u>History of Arizona Territory Showing its</u>
Resources and Advantages with Illustrations: <u>Descriptive of Its</u>
Scenery, Residences, Farms, Mines, Mills, Hotels, Business, Houses,
Schools, Churches, Etc. San Francisco: Wallace W. Elliot & Co.,
1884.

Wells, Edmund. Argonaut Tales. New York: F.H. Hitchcock, 1927.

Wheeler, George M. <u>Preliminary Report Concerning Explorations and Surveys</u>, <u>Principally in Nevada and Arizona</u>. Washington D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1872.

Wyllys, Rufus K. <u>Arizona: The History of a Frontier State</u>. Phoenix: Hobson & Herr, 1950.

ARTICLES AND OTHER MATERIALS

Bartlett, Katherine. "Notes Upon the Routes of Espejo and Farfan to the Mines in the Sixteenth Century," <u>New Mexico Historical Review</u> 17 (1942).

Bigler, Henry W. "Extracts from the Journal of Henry W. Bigler," Utah Historical Quarterly 5 (1933).

Byrkit, James W. "A Log of the Verde: The 'Taming' of an Arizona River," The Journal of Arizona History 19.

Chamberlain, Sue Abbey. "Fort McDowell Indian Reservation: Water Rights and Indian Removal, 1910-1930," <u>Journal of the West</u> 14 (1975).

Davis, John. "Canals of Verde Valley," unpublished manuscript, Flagstaff: Museum of Northern Arizona, 1985.

Gerke, Margo. "Tenderfoot Cruise on the Verde," Desert 22 (1959).

Hagemann, E.R. "Scout Out from Fort McDowell," <u>Arizonia, The Journal of Arizona History</u> (1964).

Homer, W.J. "Sanctified Fraud," Arizona Magazine (1906).

Huntington, Dan J.W. "Fort McDowell in the Eighties," unpublished manuscript, Phoenix: Arizona Department of Library and Archives (1957).

"Journal of Lewis Birdsall Harris, 1836-1842," <u>Southwestern</u> <u>Historical Quarterly</u> 25 (1922).

Lange, Jerry. "Rio Salado, the River that Refuses to Die," <u>Outdoor</u> <u>Arizona</u> 47 (1975).

Martin, Maybelle Eppard (ed.). "From Texas to California in 1849: Diary of C.C. Cox," Southwestern Historical Quarterly 29 (1925).

Munson, Robert A. "Territorial Verde Valley," Plateau 53.

Parkman, J.H. "Californians Stranded by 1891 Flood," <u>Arizona Days</u> and <u>Ways</u> (1955).

Pierson, Lloyd. "A Short History of Camp Verde, Arizona, to 1890," El Palacio 64 (1957).

"Pioneer Stories of Arizona's Verde Valley," Verde Valley Pioneers Association, (1954).

"Records of Progress and Irrigation Developments in the Semi-Tropical Southwest Territory," <u>Irrigation Age</u> 4 (1893).

Reed, Allen C. "Verde Valley," Arizona Highways 27 (1951).

"Reminiscence of C.C. Cox," <u>Southwestern Historical Quarterly</u> 6 (1902).

"The Rio Verde Company," Arid Region 2 (1892).

Robinson, R.E.L. "Pre-Columbian Engineering in Arizona," Californian Illustrated Magazine 5 (1894).

Root, W.A. "Arizona Territory," Rural Californian 15 (1892).

Smythe, William Ellsworth. "The New Era in Arizona," <u>Irrigation Age</u> 4 (1893).

Stanley, Rex. "Float Trip Down the Verde," <u>Arizona Wildlife-Sportsman</u> (1952).

Wahmann, Russell. "Railroading in the Verde Valley," <u>Journal of Arizona History</u> 12 (1971).

Weece, Ray. "Exploring the Upper Verde," Outdoor Arizona 44 (1972).

Whitman, Leslie. "I Conquered the Verde," The Current News 19 (1960).

APPENDIX C -- FEDERAL AND STATE PATENTS

FEDERAL PATENTS

LOCATION: Township 13N, PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: DATE: 01/02/1913		Section	5
LOCATION: Township 13N, PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: DATE: 04/11/1918	Range 5E, HE 624521	Section	5
LOCATION: Township 13N, PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: DATE: 06/26/1913	Range 5E, CE 344278	Section	6
LOCATION: Township 13N, PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: DATE: 06/26/1913	Range 5E, CE 344278	Section	6
LOCATION: Township 13N, PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: DATE: 09/30/1899	Range 5E, CE 626	Section	6
LOCATION: Township 13N, PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: DATE: 06/11/1900	Range 5E, CE 654	Section	6
LOCATION: Township 13N, PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: DATE: 05/06/1907	Range 5E, CE 705	Section	6
LOCATION: Township 13N, PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: DATE: 10/16/1895	Range 5E, HE 364	Section	6
LOCATION: Township 13N, PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: DATE: 10/16/1895	Range 5E, HE 364	Section	6
LOCATION: Township 13N, PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: DATE: 10/04/1900	Range 5E, CE 655	Section	7
LOCATION: Township 13N, PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: DATE: 07/19/1940	Range 5E, HE 110880	Section 0	7
LOCATION: Township 13N, PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: DATE: 01/29/1923	Range 5E, HE 894082	Section	7

LOCATION: Township 13N, Range 5E, Section 7
PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: IND TR PAT 926562

DATE: 12/14/1923

LOCATION: Township 13N, Range 5E, Section 8
PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: CE 130

DATE: 12/15/1879

LOCATION: Township 13N, Range 5E, Section 8
PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: HE 104

DATE: 01/09/1886

LOCATION: Township 13N, Range 5E, Section 8
PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: HE 546
DATE: 10/12/1900

LOCATION: Township 13N, Range 5E, Section 9
PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: HE 512

DATE: 11/24/1903

LOCATION: Township 13N, Range 5E, Section 14 PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: CE 687

DATE: 10/28/1902

LOCATION: Township 13N, Range 5E, Section 16
PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: CE 134

DATE: 12/15/1879

LOCATION: Township 13N, Range 5E, Section 16
PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: HE 160

DATE: 11/20/1882

LOCATION: Township 13N, Range 5E, Section 17
PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: HE 169

DATE: 06/24/1890

LOCATION: Township 13N, Range 5E, Section 17 PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: HE 19

DATE: 11/15/1881

LOCATION: Township 13N, Range 5E, Section 21
PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: CE 129

DATE: 12/15/1879

LOCATION: Township 13N, Range 5E, Section 21 PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: HE 114

DATE: 11/02/1891

LOCATION: Township 13N, Range 5E, Section 21 PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: HE 350305

DATE: 08/14/1913

LOCATION: Township 13N, Range 5E, Section 21

PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: HE 67

DATE: 01/09/1886

LOCATION: Township 13N, Range 5E, Section 22

PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: HE 887439

DATE: 11/13/1922

LOCATION: Township 13N, Range 5E, Section 27

PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: HE 478

DATE: 06/10/1898

LOCATION: Township 13N, Range 5E, Section 27

PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: HE 844648

DATE: 01/23/1922

LOCATION: Township 13N, Range 5E, Section 34

PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: CE 16

DATE: 02/27/1901

LOCATION: Township 13N, Range 5E, Section 34

PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: CE 329

DATE: 12/31/1890

LOCATION: Township 14N, Range 4E, Section 2

PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: CE 185

DATE: 07/27/1885

LOCATION: Township 14N, Range 4E, Section 2

PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: CE 238

DATE: 12/16/1889

LOCATION: Township 14N, Range 4E, Section 3

PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: CE 149

DATE: 12/05/1884

LOCATION: Township 14N, Range 4E, Section

PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: CE 157

DATE: 12/05/1884

LOCATION: Township 14N, Range 4E, Section 3

PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: CE 220

DATE: 12/16/1889

LOCATION: Township 14N, Range 4E, Section 3

PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: CE 237

DATE: 12/16/1889

LOCATION: Township 14N, Range 4E, Section 3

PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: HE 444

DATE: 11/10/1897

LOCATION: Township 14N, Range 4E, Section 4

PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: CE 543

DATE: 06/10/1896

LOCATION: Township 14N, Range 4E, Section 4

PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: HE 68

DATE: 12/30/1884

LOCATION: Township 14N, Range 4E, Section 4

PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: HE 88473

DATE: 11/08/1909

LOCATION: Township 14N, Range 4E, Section 11

PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: CE 144

DATE: 12/05/1884

LOCATION: Township 14N, Range 4E, Section 11

PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: HE 192

DATE: 08/23/1893

LOCATION: Township 14N, Range 4E, Section 11

PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: HE 363

DATE: 06/11/1895

LOCATION: Township 14N, Range 4E, Section 13

PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: CE 631

DATE: 08/14/1899

LOCATION: Township 14N, Range 4E, Section 13

PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: CE 657

DATE: 06/11/1900

LOCATION: Township 14N, Range 4E, Section 13

PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: HE 60

DATE: 09/03/1884

LOCATION: Township 14N, Range 4E, Section 13

PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: HE 803

DATE: 07/27/1904

LOCATION: Township 14N, Range 4E, Section 14

PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: CE 148

DATE: 12/05/1884

LOCATION: Township 14N, Range 4E, Section 14

PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: HE 716502

DATE: 11/03/1919

LOCATION: Township 14N, Range 4E, Section 15

PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: HE 791341

DATE: 01/21/1921

LOCATION: Township 14N, Range 4E, Section 15 PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: RRIS 813150 DATE: 06/30/1921

LOCATION: Township 14N, Range 4E, Section 23 PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: CE 646

DATE: 06/11/1900

LOCATION: Township 14N, Range 4E, Section 24
PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: CE 290917
DATE: 09/09/1912

LOCATION: Township 14N, Range 4E, Section 24
PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: CE 606
DATE: 11/11/1898

LOCATION: Township 14N, Range 4E, Section 24
PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: CE 628
DATE: 07/15/1899

LOCATION: Township 14N, Range 4E, Section 24
PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: CE 650
DATE: 06/11/1900

LOCATION: Township 14N, Range 4E, Section 25 PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: CE 661 DATE: 10/04/1900

LOCATION: Township 14N, Range 4E, Section 25 PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: CE 683 DATE: 08/12/1902

LOCATION: Township 14N, Range 4E, Section 25
PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: CE 684
DATE: 08/12/1902

LOCATION: Township 15N, Range 3E, Section 1
PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: HE 680819
DATE: 06/02/1919

LOCATION: Township 15N, Range 3E, Section 12
PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: CE 158
DATE: 07/27/1885

LOCATION: Township 15N, Range 3E, Section 12 PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: HE 187 DATE: 05/11/1894

LOCATION: Township 15N, Range 3E, Section 12 PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: HE 646 DATE: 02/12/1902

LOCATION: Township 15N, Range 3E, Section 12

PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: HE 78

DATE: 09/03/1884

LOCATION: Township 15N, Range 4E, Section 7

PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: HE 465357

DATE: 03/29/1915

LOCATION: Township 15N, Range 4E, Section 17

PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: HE 1097660

DATE: 06/17/1938

LOCATION: Township 15N, Range 4E, Section 18

PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: CE 439098

DATE: 10/29/1914

LOCATION: Township 15N, Range 4E, Section 18

PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: DLE 717758

DATE: 11/08/1919

LOCATION: Township 15N, Range 4E, Section 18

PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: HE 171435

DATE: 01/19/1911

LOCATION: Township 15N, Range 4E, Section 18

PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: HE 770091

DATE: 08/26/1920

LOCATION: Township 15N, Range 4E, Section 19

PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: HE 522538

DATE: 04/01/1916

LOCATION: Township 15N, Range 4E, Section 20

PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: HE 799033

DATE: 03/03/1921

LOCATION: Township 15N, Range 4E, Section 20

PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: HE 982184

DATE: 07/13/1926

LOCATION: Township 15N, Range 4E, Section 33

PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: HE 88173

DATE: 11/08/1909

LOCATION: Township 15N, Range 4E, Section 35

PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: RRIS 813150

DATE: 06/30/1921

LOCATION: Township 16N, Range 3E, Section 8

PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: CE 215

DATE: 12/16/1889

LOCATION: Township 16N, Range 3E, Section 8
PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: HE 220

DATE: 11/23/1891

LOCATION: Township 16N, Range 3E, Section 8

PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: HE 253

DATE: 02/23/1892

LOCATION: Township 16N, Range 3E, Section 8

PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: HE 539

DATE: 02/08/1900

LOCATION: Township 16N, Range 3E, Section 9

PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: HE 153

DATE: 02/24/1908

LOCATION: Township 16N, Range 3E, Section 9

PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: HE 666

DATE: 03/07/1902

LOCATION: Township 16N, Range 3E, Section 16

PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: State Grant

DATE: 12/27/1877

LOCATION: Township 16N, Range 3E, Section 17

PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: CE 330

DATE: 06/24/1895

LOCATION: Township 16N, Range 3E, Section 20

PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: FLS 264746

DATE: 05/09/1912

LOCATION: Township 16N, Range 3E, Section 20

PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: FLS 264746

DATE: 05/09/1912

LOCATION: Township 16N, Range 3E, Section 20

PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: HE 564

DATE: 06/28/1900

LOCATION: Township 16N, Range 3E, Section 21

PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: CE 665

DATE: 02/20/1901

LOCATION: Township 16N, Range 3E, Section 21

PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: HE 565

DATE: 10/12/1900

LOCATION: Township 16N, Range 3E, Section 21

PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: HE 717

DATE: 01/30/1906

LOCATION: Township 16N, Range 3E, Section 26

PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: CE 692

DATE: 07/18/1903

LOCATION: Township 16N, Range 3E, Section 26

PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: HE 166

DATE: 12/31/1890

LOCATION: Township 16N, Range 3E, Section 27

PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: CE 162

DATE: 12/05/1884

LOCATION: Township 16N, Range 3E, Section 27

PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: HE 1052701

DATE: 01/11/1932

LOCATION: Township 16N, Range 3E, Section 27

PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: HE 283

DATE: 12/09/1892

LOCATION: Township 16N, Range 3E, Section 27

PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: HE 405

DATE: 12/18/1896

LOCATION: Township 16N, Range 3E, Section 27

PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: HE 532826

DATE: 06/10/1916

LOCATION: Township 16N, Range 3E, Section 28

PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: CE 174

DATE: 11/20/1883

LOCATION: Township 16N, Range 3E, Section 28

PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: FLS 580415

DATE: 04/25/1917

LOCATION: Township 16N, Range 3E, Section 28

PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: HE 431987

DATE: 09/17/1914

LOCATION: Township 16N, Range 3E, Section 28

PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: HE 728034

DATE: 01/16/1920

LOCATION: Township 16N, Range 3E, Section 30

PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: IND RES X PAT 297309

DATE: 10/21/1912

LOCATION: Township 16N, Range 3E, Section 35

PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: HE 143

DATE: 08/19/1890

LOCATION: Township 16N, Range 3E, Section 35

PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: HE 155

DATE: 08/19/1890

LOCATION: Township 16N, Range 3E, Section 35

PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: HE 158

DATE: 08/19/1890

LOCATION: Township 16N, Range 3E, Section 35

PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: HE 228

DATE: 11/23/1891

LOCATION: Township 16N, Range 3E, Section 35

PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: HE 590591

DATE: 07/03/1917

LOCATION: Township 17N, Range 1W, Section 1

PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: CE 250

DATE: 08/08/1889

LOCATION: Township 17N, Range 1W, Section 3

PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: CE 318

DATE: 09/28/1894

LOCATION: Township 17N, Range 1W, Section 4

PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: HE 270566

DATE: 06/01/1912

LOCATION: Township 17N, Range 1W, Section 5

PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: In Lieu 58

DATE: 06/27/1922

LOCATION: Township 17N, Range 1W, Section 6

PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: In Lieu 65

DATE: 11/10/1922

LOCATION: Township 17N, Range 1W, Section

PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: HE 199

DATE: 11/02/1891

LOCATION: Township 17N, Range 1W, Section 8

PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: HE 198

DATE: 02/09/1891

LOCATION: Township 17N, Range 1W, Section 9

PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: HE 349

DATE: 02/23/1895

LOCATION: Township 17N, Range 3E, Section 7

PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: ME 335072

DATE: 05/20/1913

LOCATION: Township 17N, Range 3E, Section 17

PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: HE 737605

DATE: 03/01/1920

LOCATION: Township 17N, Range 3E, Section 33

PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: HE 793381

DATE: 02/03/1921

LOCATION: Township 18N, Range 1E, Section 29

PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: HE 444072

DATE: 11/21/1914

LOCATION: Township 18N, Range 1W, Section 35

PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: HE 850

DATE: 05/23/1906

LOCATION: Township 18N, Range 1W, Section 36

PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: CE 277

DATE: 12/16/1889

LOCATION: Township 18N, Range 2E, Section 31

PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: CE 26

DATE: 12/30/1905

LOCATION: Township 18N, Range 2E, Section 31

PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: CE 45039

DATE: 02/01/1909

LOCATION: Township 18N, Range 2E, Section 31

PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: HE 36

DATE: 04/01/1907

ARIZONA STATE PATENTS

LOCATION: Township 15N, Range 3E, Section 12

PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: 53564

DATE: 01/08/1996

LOCATION: Township 15N, Range 3E, Section 12

PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: 031 7323

DATE: 01/22/1988

LOCATION: Township 15N, Range 3E, Section 12

PATENT TYPE AND NUMBER: N 6938

DATE: 07/20/1983

APPENDIX D -- VITA OF DOUGLAS R. LITTLEFIELD Littlefield Research Associates 6207 Snake Road Oakland, California 94611

Telephone: (510) 339-1017

EDUCATION:

- American history. University of California, Los Angeles, 1987. Dissertation: "Interstate Water Conflicts, Compromises, and Compacts: The Rio Grande."
- University of Maryland, College Park, M.A. American history. 1979. Master's thesis: "A History of the Potomac Company and Its Colonial Predecessors."
- B.A. English literature. Brown University, 1972.

CONSULTING AND EXPERT WITNESS EXPERIENCE:

- 1995 Present: Research historian and consultant for the Salt River Project (Arizona). Providing historical documentation and report on the commercial navigability of the Salt, Gila, and Verde rivers in 1912 for use in relation to hearings in front of the Arizona Navigable Stream Adjudication Commission.
- 1995 Present: Research historian and consultant for Nebraska Providing historical of Water Resources. Department documentation and report on the history of Nebraska v. Wyoming, 325 U.S. 589 (1945), for use in present litigation between Nebraska and Wyoming over the apportionment of the waters of the North Platte River.
- Research historian and consultant for Simms and 1993 - 1994: Stein, attorneys specializing in water law in Santa Fe, New Mexico. Providing historical documentation and affidavit testimony for use in In re: the General Adjudication of All Rights to Use Water in the Big Horn River System and All Other Sources, State of Wyoming (presently on appeal to the Wyoming Supreme Court as Nos. 94-58 to 94-63).
- Research historian and consultant for Legal 1991 - Present: Counsel, Division of Water Resources, Kansas State Board of Agriculture. Providing historical documentation and report on water rights and history of apportionment of Republican River among Kansas, Nebraska, and Colorado.

- 1991 1993: Research historian and consultant for Carlsmith, Ball, Wichman, Murray, Case, Mukai & Ichiki, in Long Beach, California. Provided historical documentation and report for use in Nickel Enterprises v. State of California, Kern County Superior Court, Case No. 199557, regarding past uses of Kern River. Testified as an expert witness historian in this case for eleven days.
- 1989 1990: Research historian for Pacific Enterprises, Los Angeles, California. Directed historical research for and coauthored a corporate history of this southern California holding company entitled The Spirit of Enterprise: A History of Pacific Enterprises, 1867-1989 (1990).
- 1988 1989: Research historian and consultant for Water Defense Association, Roswell, New Mexico. Provided historical documentation on the history of water rights claims along the Bonito, Hondo, and Ruidoso rivers in southeastern New Mexico for use in State v. Lewis, Chaves County Cause Nos. 20294 & 22600, Consolidated.
- 1986 1990: Research historian and consultant for Legal Counsel, Division of Water Resources, Kansas State Board of Agriculture. Provided historical documentation and report on water rights and interstate apportionment of the Arkansas River between Kansas and Colorado for use in U.S. Supreme Court case, Kansas v. Colorado, October Term 1985, Original No. 105. Testified as an expert witness historian for twelve days.
- 1986 1989: Research historian and consultant for Legal Counsel, State Engineer Office, State of New Mexico. Provided historical documentation and report on water rights in the Carlsbad Irrigation District in southeastern New Mexico for use in State v. Lewis, Chaves County Cause Nos. 20294 & 22600, Consolidated.
- 1986 1987: Historical consultant for <u>National Geographic</u>
 <u>Magazine</u>. Advised editors on June 1987 article, "George Washington's Patowmack Canal."
- 1984 1986: Research historian and consultant for Legal Counsel, State Engineer Office, State of New Mexico. Provided historical documentation and report on the history of Rio Grande water rights and interstate apportionment disputes between New Mexico and Texas for use in <u>El Paso v. Reynolds</u>, U.S.D.C. Civ. No. 80-730-HB.

OTHER PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE:

- January 1992 1994: Member of Board of Editors of Western Historical Quarterly.
- 1991 1995: Part-time lecturer, Department of History, California State University, Hayward. Taught survey courses on American history and California history.
- 1980 1984: Editorial Assistant, <u>Pacific Historical Review</u>. Edited scholarly articles and book reviews.

PUBLICATIONS:

Books:

The Spirit of Enterprise: A History of Pacific Enterprises, 1867-1989 (coauthor, 1990).

Articles:

- "The Forensic Historian: Clio in Court," Western Historical Quarterly (1994).
- "The Rio Grande Compact of 1929: A Truce in an Interstate River Apportionment War," Pacific Historical Review (1991).
- "Eighteenth Century Plans to Clear the Potomac River: Technology, Expertise, and Labor in a Developing Nation," <u>Virginia</u> <u>Magazine of History and Biography</u> (1985).
- "The Potomac Company: A Misadventure in Financing an Early American Internal Improvement Project," <u>Business History Review</u> (1984).
- "Water Rights During the California Gold Rush: Conflicts over Economic Points of View," Western Historical Quarterly (1983).
- "Maryland Sectionalism and the Development of the Potomac Route to the West, 1768-1826," <u>Maryland Historian</u> (1983).

Book Reviews:

David C. Frederick, <u>Rugged Justice</u>: <u>The Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals and the American West</u>, 1891-1941 (Berkeley: University of California Press, 1994), in <u>Pacific Historical Review</u> (forthcoming).

- Daniel Tyler, The Last Water Hole in the West: The Colorado Big
 Thompson Project and the Northern Colorado Water Conservancy
 District (Niwot, Colorado: University Press of Colorado,
 1992), in Montana: The Magazine of Western History (1994).
- Lloyd Burton, American Indian Water Rights and the Limits of Law (Lawrence: University Press of Kansas, 1991), in Journal of the West (1994).
- Zachary A. Smith, ed., <u>Water and the Future of the Southwest</u> (Albuquerque: University of New Mexico Press, 1989), in Western Historical <u>Ouarterly</u> (1991).
- F. Lee Brown and Helen Ingram, <u>Water and Poverty in the Southwest</u> (Tucson: University of Arizona Press, 1987), in <u>The Public</u> Historian (1990).
- David J. Eaton and Michael Andersen, <u>The State of the Rio Grande/Rio Bravo: A Study of Water Resource Issues Along the Texas/Mexico Border</u> (Tucson: University of Arizona Press, 1987), in <u>New Mexico Historical Review</u> (1988).
- Pat Kelley, <u>River of Lost Dreams: Navigation on the Rio Grande</u> (Lincoln: University of Nebraska Press, 1986), in <u>Pacific Historical Review</u> (1988).
- Marc Reisner, <u>Cadillac Desert: The American West and Its</u>
 <u>Disappearing Water</u> (New York: Viking Penguin, Inc., 1986), in
 <u>Environmental Review</u> (1987).
- Thomas F. Hahn, <u>The Chesapeake and Ohio Canal: Pathway to the Nation's Capitol</u> (Metuchen, N.J.: Scarecrow Press, Inc., 1984), in <u>Business History Review</u> (1987).

PROFESSIONAL AFFILIATIONS:

American Association for State and Local History, American Historical Association, California Committee for the Promotion of History, California Historical Society, California Map Society, National Council on Public History, Ninth Judicial Circuit Court Historical Society, Organization of American Historians, Western History Association, Western Council on Legal History.

APPENDIX E -- VITA OF JENNIFER HOLWEGER

Littlefield Research Associates 5687 Miles Avenue, # 1 Oakland, California 94618 (510) 655-2166

EDUCATION:

- M.A. American History. University of California, Santa Barbara, 1995.
- B.A. History and Political Science, University of California, Santa Barbara, with Honors, 1993.

AREAS OF CONCENTRATION IN GRADUATE STUDY:

American Environmental Policy, River Management, 20th Century America, Western Settlement, Agricultural Policy, Legal History from 1900-1940.

HISTORICAL CONSULTING EXPERIENCE:

- 1995-Present: Assistant research historian and consultant for the Salt River Project (Arizona). Determining the commercial navigability of the Salt River, Gila River, and Verde River at the time of statehood (1912) through historical documentation for use in hearings before the Arizona Navigable Stream Adjudication Commission.
- 1995-Present: Assistant research historian and consultant for Nebraska Department of Water Resources. Providing research on the history of Nebraska v. Wyoming, 325 U.S. 589 (1945), for use in present litigation between Nebraska and Wyoming over the apportionment of North Platte River waters.

TEACHING EXPERIENCE:

1994-1995: Teaching Assistant. Department of History, University of California, Santa Barbara. United States History, settlement-present. Incorporation of environmental history into mainstream history taught by professors.

OTHER RELATED WORK EXPERIENCE:

- 1995: Water Policy Researcher/Analyst. Environmental Policy Center, San Francisco. Responsible for researching local government policies dealing with water efficiency and water quality. Updated information on Center's Web site, followed trends in policy making and assisted local government clients in implementing policies suitable for their particular locality.
- 1992: Campaign Aide. Santa Barbara District Supervisor Bill Wallace. Responsible for schedulings, mailings, and public relations work with University students in successful 1992 campaign.
- 1990-1991: Reporter. UC Santa Barbara's <u>Daily Nexus</u>. Reported on the community's environmental issues. Specifically followed stories associated with Chevron's use of the Santa Barbara Channel.
- 1991: Political Journalist Intern. Cable News Network (CNN), Washington, D.C. Produced a weekly newsletter summarizing national news for producers. Responsible for following specific national campaigns and compiling updates.

CONFERENCES ATTENDED:

American Environmental Historians, "Gambling With Our Environment."
March, 1995. Las Vegas.

SCHOLARLY WORKS:

- In Name But Not in Practice: The Role of the Agrarian Myth in Western Water Development and State Building. Partial fulfillment for M.A. Degree.
- Dam the Progressives: Multi-Purpose River Development, 1900-1914.

 Partial fulfillment for M.A. Degree.

BOOK REVIEWS:

William D. Rowley, <u>Reclaiming the Arid West</u>, <u>The Career of Francis G. Newlands</u> (Bloomington and Indianapolis: Indiana University Press, 1996), in <u>Journal for the History of Technology</u> (forthcoming).

INDEX

```
Adams 66, 67, 168
Aqua Fria 92
American Revolution 1
Anderson 66, 79
Andrews 75, 76, 165
Apache
Arizona Attorney General's Office
Arizona Secretary of State 3, 7
Arizona State Land Department
                               3, 7, 59, 79, 145, 171
Arizona State Legislature
                          78
Arizona State Planning Board 3, 7, 145
Arizona Territorial Legislature 109, 110, 142
Arizona Water Commissioner 3, 7
Army 3, 8, 89, 159, 172, 175, 176
Army Corps of Engineers 3, 8, 175, 176
Berkeley 3-5, 170, 192
boat 1, 114-116, 125, 132-136, 138
Brazil 71, 72, 167
Bufkin 138, 139
Bureau of Indian Affairs 3, 8, 88, 106, 107, 161, 162
Bureau of Land Management 3, 5, 6, 10, 28-33, 35-42, 44-55,
          59, 60, 64, 65, 67-72, 75, 76, 85, 86, 149, 150,
          151-155
Bureau of Reclamation 3, 8, 88, 102-104, 114, 156-159
           1, 3-5, 11, 132, 134, 145, 146, 170, 173, 178,
California
          190, 191-194
Callville
          110
Camp Childs 96
Camp McDowell Indian Reservation 88
Camp Verde 3, 14, 34, 36-38, 62, 63, 96, 113, 114, 117-119,
          146, 160, 161, 171, 172, 178
Camp Verde Military Reservation 34, 36-38
Cave Creek
           56, 84
channel vii, 5, 23, 25, 31, 32, 35, 56, 70, 92-96, 105-108,
          111, 116, 134-136, 139, 141, 195
Clark 12, 173
Clarkdale 3, 115, 126-131
Cochran 136
Coconino 63, 69, 163, 170, 174
     78, 79, 105-107, 147, 171, 174, 175
Code
          5, 8, 90, 93-97, 102-104, 109, 110, 114, 132-139,
Colorado
          142, 172-174, 176, 190, 191, 193
Columbia
         90, 97, 132
Commissioner of Corporations 4, 137, 138
          3, 56, 57, 73, 77, 78, 89, 96, 97, 99, 109, 110,
Congress
          155
Contzen
         30, 31
dam 14, 35, 37, 68, 99, 100, 104, 107, 110, 113, 117-119,
          148, 156, 195
```

```
Davis 63, 93-95, 103, 172, 177
Department of Agriculture 3
                            11, 12, 16-22, 24, 25, 29, 60,
Department of the Interior
          88, 107
Desert Land Act 66, 73-76
ditch 35, 37, 61, 76, 105, 107, 108, 160, 161
docks 1, 31
Drummond 36-38
Duke 72
Enabling Act 97
England 1, 175
Explorer 135, 136, 138
Farmer 28-33, 61, 92, 106, 107, 147
Fisher
       70
       3, 5, 37, 68, 71, 91, 106, 107, 111, 112, 115, 116,
flood
          157, 162, 178
Fort McDowell Military Reservation 30, 31
Fort Verde 3, 34, 114, 115, 120-125, 146, 160, 172
Foster
        34-36, 38
freight 97, 137
Gadsden Purchase
                 12
                    6-11, 17-21, 23, 24, 28, 31-33, 44-57,
General Land Office
          59, 64, 65, 67-72, 74-76, 80, 152-155, 160, 161,
          163-169
Geological Survey 3, 4, 7, 8, 13, 56, 57, 59, 68, 82-84, 88,
          89, 90-97, 99, 100, 108, 142, 169, 172-174, 176,
          177
Get 74, 75, 114, 115, 165
Gila 12, 13, 28, 31-33, 35, 36, 39-42, 57, 90-93, 98, 100,
          106, 107, 145, 190, 194
Gila and Salt River Base and Meridian 12, 13, 40-42, 57
Gill 106, 107, 147
Gillan 67, 68
Girand
        39-41
Grand Canyon 133, 136
Granite Reef 14, 99, 145
Gulf of California 132, 134
Hanna 103, 104
Hart 103, 104
Hassayampa 92
Hayden 111, 112, 173
Hesse 41
Hill 12, 67, 101, 102, 171
Holsinger 111
Horseshoe 14, 100-102, 104, 111, 156
House of Representatives 111, 112
Hoyt 94, 95, 173
hydroelectric 4, 67, 97, 98
in-lieu 61, 67, 77, 78, 141
indemnity 61, 77
Indian Service 88, 89, 105, 106, 108, 142
```

```
irrigation vii, 3, 5, 9, 15, 30, 31, 35, 37, 58, 61, 63, 73,
         90, 91, 92, 98, 99, 102, 105-111, 114, 155, 158,
         159, 160, 162, 170, 172, 173, 175-178, 191
     134-136, 138, 139
Ives
      3, 115, 129-131
Jerome
Jordan 64, 68, 69, 164, 165
Jordon 64
Krell 72
Lake Mead
          134
Land Ordinance
               12
Leupp 106
manual 11, 16-25, 29, 34, 36, 38, 40, 41, 60
Marr 64, 163
McCullough 69
         3, 30, 31, 56, 82, 88, 93, 95, 96, 98, 100, 105,
McDowell
          106, 107, 108, 147, 159-163, 171, 174, 175, 177,
          178
        6, 16, 17, 21, 22, 24-26, 29-32, 34, 36-42
meander
       114, 115
Mearns
Mellon
        136, 137
Meskimons 106, 147
Mexican Boundary Commission 12
Mexican-American War 10
Mexico 10-12, 172, 177, 190, 191, 193
Millar
      71
           138, 139
Mohave II
Morrison 63, 64, 169
        97-99
Murphy
New Mexico 12, 172, 177, 190, 191, 193
Newell 94, 101, 102, 174
Ohio 11, 12, 77, 138, 193
       107, 147, 162
Olberg
Old Northwest 11
Oliver
        42
        11, 12, 15-17, 60
Oregon
Paradise Valley 3, 102-104, 157, 160
Paradise-Verde Water Users' Association 103
patent 6, 7, 9, 56, 58, 60-76, 79, 80, 163-169, 180-189
Paulden, Arizona
Phoenix 5-7, 28-33, 35-42, 44-55, 60, 64, 65, 67-72, 74-76,
          79, 98, 99, 102, 106-108, 110, 111, 113, 114, 142,
          144-149, 170-175, 177, 178
photo 114-116, 146
Powell 63, 90, 91, 94, 133, 134, 172
Powell Irrigation Survey 90
Prescott 2, 111, 170
railroad 61, 67, 68, 71, 113, 137, 165, 175
      106, 163
rain
Reclamation Service 4, 59, 63, 88, 89, 98-103, 108, 142, 174
      175, 178
Rio Verde Canal Company 110, 111
riparian 24
```

```
road 1, 36, 39, 110, 190
Robinson 178
Rogers 64, 164
Roosevelt 99, 100, 104
       98
runoff
Sacramento 97, 132, 145
Salt Lake City 110
Salt River Indian Reservation 28, 30-33, 88
Salt River Project 8, 59, 98-104, 106-108, 111, 142, 146,
          147, 148, 149, 156-158, 194
Salt River Valley 100, 102, 104, 156, 157, 170
San Carlos 172, 173
San Francisco Mountains Forest Reserve 67
San Joaquin 132
San Pedro 92
     32, 33, 91, 95, 96, 105, 107, 109, 114, 115, 124, 134,
          135
Santa Fe Pacific Railroad 67, 68, 165
Schuyler
         4, 170
Secretary of the Interior 63, 89, 91-93, 103, 105, 106, 135,
          144, 159, 171, 176, 177
Silas J. Lewis 137
Smith 42, 68, 112, 113, 142, 172, 175, 193
Sonnichsen 138, 139
Sullivan 69, 70, 145, 169
       vi, 3, 4, 6-8, 10-13, 15-34, 36-42, 44-57, 59, 60,
survey
          63, 68-70, 82-84, 88-97, 99, 100, 103, 106, 108,
          140, 142, 145, 152, 153, 155, 157, 158, 169, 171,
          172, 173, 174, 176, 177, 192
Surveyor General 11, 12, 15-17, 30, 31, 35-39, 41, 42, 60
Sycamore Mining, Smelting & Development Company 70
Tempe 8, 110, 112, 173, 175
Territory of Arizona 35, 40-42, 57, 171
Tiernan 72, 165
Tiszo 65, 166, 167
Tonto 111, 163, 170
Turret Peak
             56, 83
Tusayan National Forest 71
       1, vi, vii, 2-10, 13-15, 26-43, 56-81, 88-125, 129,
Verde
          130, 131, 133, 139-146, 148, 149, 152, 155-163,
          170, 171, 172, 174-179, 190, 194
Verde River Forest Reserve 111, 148
Virgin River 134, 136
Wallingford 64, 65, 169
Water Resources Center Archives 3, 4, 170
water right 74, 75, 160
Wheeler 89, 90, 96, 97, 169, 177
         74
Wilhelm
         148
Wormser
Wright 38, 39
Yuma 94, 134, 174
```

ORIGINAL

R/0-6-97 D


96-005-017 Verde River


ASSESSMENT OF THE VERDE RIVER'S NAVIGABILITY PRIOR TO AND ON THE DATE OF ARIZONA'S STATEHOOD, FEBRUARY 14, 1912

by


Douglas R. Littlefield, Ph.D. Littlefield Research Associates 6207 Snake Road Oakland, California 94611 510-339-1017

October 3, 1997






FEDERAL LAND PATENTS ALONG THE HISTORICAL VERDE RIVER CHANNEL BETWEEN TI7N, R3E AND TI6N, R3E GILA AND SALT RIVER MERIDIAN, ARIZONA



FEDERAL LAND PATENTS ALONG THE HISTORICAL VERDE RIVER CHANNEL BETWEEN TISN, R3E AND TISN, R4E GILA AND SALT RIVER MERIDIAN, ARIZONA



FEDERAL LAND PATENTS ALONG THE HISTORICAL VERDE RIVER CHANNEL BETWEEN TISN, R4E AND TI4N, R4E GILA AND SALT RIVER MERIDIAN, ARIZONA



FEDERAL LAND PATENTS ALONG THE HISTORICAL VERDE RIVER CHANNEL BETWEEN THE NW 14 AND THE SE 14 OF TIBN,RSE GILA AND SALT RIVER MERIDIAN, ARIZONA




EXHIBIT 7 STATE LAND PITENTS ALONG THE HISTORICAL VERDE RIVER CHANNEL BETWEEN T15N, R3I AND T14N, R4E GILA AND SALT RIVER MERIDIAN, ARIZONA THEN AREA OF STUDY RIE REE 0 0 0 0 0 BUBLION ! Tax Day, No. 10000 SE To Michael St. 10000 SE