## 

| 1  |  | I                                | BEFORE THE                          |                             |  |  |
|----|--|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|
| 2  | ARIZO  | ONA NAVIGABLE S                  | STREAM ADJUDICATI                   | ON COMMISSION               |  |  |
| 3  |  |                                  |                                     |                             |  |  |
| 4  | IN THE MATTER OF THE NAVIGABILITY ) OF THE VERDE RIVER FROM ITS ) NO. 04-009-NAV HEADWATERS AT SULLIVAN LAKE TO ) THE CONFLUENCE WITH THE SALT ) ADMINISTRATIVE RIVER, YAVAPAI, GILA AND MARICOPA ) HEARING COUNTIES, ARIZONA. ) |                                  |                                     |                             |  |  |
| 5  |  |                                  |                                     |                             |  |  |
| 6  |  |                                  |                                     |                             |  |  |
| 7  |  |                                  |                                     |                             |  |  |
| 8  |  |                                  |                                     |                             |  |  |
| 9  |  |                                  |                                     |                             |  |  |
| 10 | At:  | Phoenix, Ari                     | zona                                |                             |  |  |
| 11 | Date:  | February 23,                     | 2015                                |                             |  |  |
| 12 | Filed:   | March 17, 201                    | 15                                  |                             |  |  |
| 13 |  |                                  |                                     |                             |  |  |
| 14 |  | REPORTER'S TRA                   | ANSCRIPT OF PROCE                   | EEDINGS                     |  |  |
| 15 |  |                                  | VOLUME 9                            |                             |  |  |
| 16 |  | Pages 1889                       | 9 through 2125, I                   | Inclusive                   |  |  |
| 17 |  |                                  |                                     |                             |  |  |
| 18 |  |                                  |                                     |                             |  |  |
| 19 |  |                                  |                                     |                             |  |  |
| 20 |  |                                  |                                     |                             |  |  |
| 21 |  | Court Pen                        | COASH & COASH,                      | INC. Videoconferencing      |  |  |
| 22 |  | 1802 N.                          | 7th Street, Phoe-<br>-1440 mh@coa   | enix, AZ 85006              |  |  |
| 23 |  | 002 230                          | Prepared by:                        |                             |  |  |
| 24 |  |                                  | Jody L. Lenschow<br>Certificate No. |                             |  |  |
| 25 |  |                                  | cerenticate ivo.                    | 30172                       |  |  |
|    |  | & COASH, INC.<br>pashandcoash.co | om                                  | 602-258-1440<br>Phoenix, AZ |  |  |

| 1  | INDEX TO EXAMINATIONS  |              |
|----|--|--------------|
| 2  | WITNESS  | PAGE         |
| 3  | ROBERT A. MUSSETTER, Ph.D.                                       |              |
| 4  | CONTINUED DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MCGINNIS                     | 1894         |
| 5  | CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. HERNBRODE CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. HELM | 1991<br>2100 |
| 6  | CROSS-EXAMINATION BI MR. HELM                                    | 2100         |
| 7  |  |              |
| 8  |  |              |
| 9  |  |              |
| 10 |  |              |
| 11 |  |              |
| 12 |  |              |
| 13 |  |              |
| 14 |  |              |
| 15 |  |              |
| 16 |  |              |
| 17 |  |              |
| 18 |  |              |
| 19 |  |              |
| 20 |  |              |
| 21 |  |              |
| 22 |  |              |
| 23 |  |              |
| 24 |  |              |
| 25 |  |              |

602-258-1440 Phoenix, AZ

- 1 Q. Well, we had the Day brothers, who were
- 2 commercially trapping. Would that be a commercial use,
- 3 in your mind?
- 4 A. That's your characterization. I'm not
- 5 familiar with the Day brothers.
- Q. If they were trapping for profit, would that
- 7 be commercial navigation?
- 8 A. It could be. But, again, you're getting off
- 9 into legal determinations. I'm not an attorney, and
- 10 I'm not here to interpret the law in terms of what
- 11 constitutes that aspect of commercial navigation. If
- 12 they were trapping for commercial purposes and that
- 13 fits the definition, then I suppose so.
- 14 Q. Well, you opined in your report and in your
- 15 PowerPoint several times that the Verde is not suitable
- 16 for the types of craft that were used commercially at
- 17 statehood; but you never anywhere in your report say
- 18 what type of craft those are.
- 19 So I'm trying to figure out what type of
- 20 craft you're talking about and what the requirements
- 21 for navigability were.
- 22 A. Well, the standard for navigation, from a
- 23 commercial standpoint, would be the types of boats that
- 24 could haul cargo and -- well, I shouldn't say and. Who
- 25 could haul cargo, and these would have to be craft that

- 1 would be big enough to handle a useful amount of that
- 2 type of material.
- 3 Q. So can a canoe haul cargo?
- 4 A. A canoe can haul cargo.
- 5 Q. A useful amount of cargo?
- 6 A. Depends on your purpose.
- 7 Q. Any purpose. Can you use a canoe for a
- 8 commercial purpose?
- 9 A. You can use a canoe for commercial purposes,
- 10 sure.
- 11 Q. Is that the type of boat you were talking
- 12 about when you talked about the Verde was not useful
- 13 for commercial purpose?
- 14 A. Well, again, I think there's a lot more to it
- 15 than just the question of could I get a canoe down the
- 16 river with some load of cargo. I don't think that's
- 17 the complete standard.
- 18 Q. Okay. So what's the rest of your standard?
- 19 A. Well, you need to have reliable flows. You
- 20 need to be able to navigate, work through long enough
- 21 reaches so that you're not continually having to drag
- 22 and portage around obstacles. I think that's the
- 23 primary thing that I would say.
- Q. So if you don't have to drag, if you don't
- 25 have to portage, then that would be sufficient depth

for commercial navigation?

- 2 A. With the type of boats that --
- 3 Q. Your requirement isn't a steamboat, or are
- 4 you requiring that a steamboat be useful?
- 5 A. I certainly would not require that a
- 6 steamboat be.

1

- 7 O. Okay. Something less than that?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Is there any circumstance where a pool and
- 10 riffle river could be navigable? There are going to be
- 11 shallower places, deeper places. Does that always mean
- 12 that that river's not navigable?
- 13 A. Not necessarily.
- 14 Q. Can meandering rivers ever be navigable?
- 15 A. Sure.
- 16 O. Small rivers?
- 17 A. You'll have to define small.
- 18 Q. I can't.
- 19 Can a large river ever be nonnavigable?
- 20 A. Sure.
- O. How come?
- 22 A. In the extreme case, Niagara Falls I doubt
- 23 would be navigable, so -- and that's a pretty big
- 24 river.
- Q. Would it surprise you that Niagara Falls is

- there are no types of boats that could meet the 1
- 2 criteria.
- 3 So you said that canoes were available in
- 4 Arizona?
- 5 Α. Yes.
- And that they could be used for trade and 6 Q.
- travel? 7
- 8 Α. Under some circumstances, yes.
- 9 So if we have evidence of canoes being used Ο.
- on the Verde, that would be evidence of commercial use? 10
- 11 Α. I did not say that.
- 12 Okay. So what is -- I realize that you Q.
- 13 didn't say that. I'm trying to understand why you
- 14 didn't say that, why you disagree with that statement.
- A few odd uses of a boat on some reaches of a 15 Α.
- river does not constitute navigability, in my opinion. 16
- 17 And based on the data that I've shown, the information
- that I have presented, the things that I've talked 18
- 19 about, the Verde River, there's shallow spots, there
- are obstructions to travel. It would make it very 20
- difficult to use that river for commercial purposes 21
- 22 with any type of boat.
- 23 So it needs to be repeatable, is what you're 0.
- 24 telling me?
- 25 I think it -- yes, I think it does need to be Α.

- 1 repeatable.
- 2 Q. Do you have a threshold number of times --
- 3 A. I don't.
- 4 Q. -- you need to be able to do it in a row?
- 5 A. No.
- 6 Q. Do you have a threshold number of months that
- 7 it needs to be susceptible to use?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. Did you do any research on the types of boats
- 10 commonly used for trade and travel at the time of
- 11 Arizona statehood?
- 12 A. No, I did not do research on that matter.
- 13 Q. Okay. And I apologize if I already asked you
- 14 this, because I think I jumped ahead somewhere.
- 15 How much cargo would a boat need to carry to
- 16 be, in your mind, useful for commercial navigation?
- 17 A. I can't give you an answer to that.
- 18 Q. 300 pounds, 400 pounds, 500 pounds?
- 19 A. Those are your words.
- 20 Q. You have absolutely no numbers in mind?
- 21 A. I did not try to quantify that number with
- 22 respect to the Verde River.
- Q. Okay. Would you agree that a trip where
- 24 passengers paid a guide and for the rental of boats, is
- 25 that a commercial use?